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ABSTRACT

If \( \{x_n\} \) is a countable set of points everywhere dense on \((0,1)\), and \( \{j_n\} \) a set of corresponding positive numbers with \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} j_n = J < \infty \), then a monotone nondecreasing function \( f(x) \) on \([0,1]\), with \( f(0) = 0 \), \( f(1) = J \), which is continuous on \([0,1]\) except at each \( x_n \), where it is right continuous, but left discontinuous with jump \( j_n \), is necessarily a sum of step functions uniquely determined by the \( x_n, j_n \). A curious function, explicitly defined by O. Frisch, is proved to be such a function, with jump \( j(p/q) = 1/(2^q - 1) \) at each (reduced) rational point \( p/q \) on \((0,1)\), and continuous elsewhere. Moreover, it is rational valued iff \( x \) is rational, and has some remarkable number theoretic properties, stemming from its character as a sum of step functions.

1. STRUCTURE OF A MONOTONE FUNCTION

In this part, \( \{x_n\} \) denotes a fixed, countable set of points everywhere dense on \((0,1)\), and \( \{j_n\} \) a set of corresponding numbers \( j_n > 0 \), with finite sum \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} j_n = J \). Such a set of pairs \( x_n, j_n \) serves to define a monotone function \( s(x) \) as a sum of step functions.

**Theorem 1.** If \( s_n(x) \) is the step function

\[
 s_n(x) = \begin{cases} 
 0, & 0 \leq x < x_n \\
 j_n, & x_n \leq x \leq 1,
\end{cases}
\]

then
then \( s(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n(x) \) is well defined on \([0,1]\), and

(a) \( s(x) \) is strictly increasing on \([0,1]\), with \( s(0) = 0, s(1) = 1 \),
(b) \( s(x) \) is continuous on \([0,1]\) except at the points \( x_n \),
(c) at \( x_n \), \( s(x) \) is right continuous, but left discontinuous with jump \( j_n \).

Most of these properties of \( s(x) \) are proved in Ref. 1, p. 129, and the rest are easily established.

In fact, \( s(x) \) is the only such function, in the sense of the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** If \( f(x) \) is a monotone nondecreasing function on \([0,1]\), with \( f(0) = 0, f(1) = 1 \), which is continuous except at the points \( x_n \), and at \( x_n \) is right continuous but left discontinuous with jump \( j_n \), then

\[
f(x) = \sum_{\frac{x}{m} \leq x} j_m, \; 0 < x < 1 .
\]  

Hence \( f(x) \) is uniquely determined by the \( x_n, j_n \), and must be the function \( s(x) \) of Theorem 1, with all its properties.

**Proof.** Since \( f(x) - f(0) \geq \sum_{\frac{x}{m} \leq x} j_m \) and \( f(1) - f(x) \geq \sum_{\frac{x}{m} > x} j_m \) (Ref. 2, p. 205), we have \( f(1) = f(1) - f(0) \geq \sum_{n} j_n = 1 \). Since we have stipulated that \( f(1) = 1 \), the equality (1) follows, and the rest is obvious.

**Note.** The condition \( f(1) = 1 \) is essential. For, if \( s(x) \) is the function of Theorem 1, then \( f(x) = s(x) + ax, a > 0 \), satisfies all the other conditions of Theorem 2. It is curious that, if we take \( j_n = \varepsilon/2^n > 0 \), then \( f(1) = \varepsilon + a \), where the sum \( \varepsilon \) of the jumps (the only discontinuities) may be arbitrarily small, and the total variation \( f(1) - f(0) \) arbitrarily large.

**II. A STRANGE FUNCTION OF OTTO FRISCH**

In a private communication to N. Metropolis, O. Frisch has defined a very curious function \( f(x) \): For \( x \) on \([0,1]\), and \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \), let \( x_n = nx, s_n = [x_n] \),
\[
b_n = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } g_n = g_{n+1} \\
1 & \text{if } g_n < g_{n+1}
\end{cases}
\]

and \( f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n / 2^n \). This function has the properties of the following theorem, some of which were stated (without proof) by Frisch.

**Theorem 3.** The function \( f(x) \) is right continuous at \( x = 0 \), with \( f(0) = 0 \), and left continuous at \( x = 1 \), with \( f(1) = 1 \); strictly increasing on \([0,1]\); rational at every (reduced) rational point \( p/q \) on \((0,1)\), where it is right continuous but left discontinuous with a jump \( j(p/q) = 1/(2^q - 1) \), the sum of all jumps being unity; and continuous and irrational at every irrational \( x \) on \((0,1)\).

The theorem is in the nature of a summary, the truth of which will become apparent from the following remarks.

1. \( f(1) = 1 \). For \( x = 1 \), one has \( x_n = n, g_n = n, b_n = 1 \) for \( n \geq 0 \) and
   \[
f(1) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/2^n = 1.
\]

2. For \( 0 \leq x < 1 \), we may write \( f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n / 2^n \). For then \( x_0 = 0, x_1 = x < 1, g_0 = 0, g_1 = 0, \) and \( b_0 = 0 \). (It is convenient to include \( b_0 = 0 \) in the definition of \( f(x) \) for \( x < 1 \), and we do so hereafter.)

3. \( f(0) = 0 \). For \( x = 0 \), one has \( x_n = 0, g_n = 0, b_n = 0 \) for \( n \geq 0 \), and
   \[
f(0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 0/2^n = 0.
\]

4. For any \( x \) on \([0,1]\), the sequence \( g_0, g_1, g_2, \ldots \), can jump by at most \( 1 \). For, \( g_n \leq nx < g_n + 1 \) implies \( g_n \leq nx + x < (g_n + 1) + x \leq g_n + 2 \). Thus \( g_n \leq (n + 1) x \), and \( g_{n+1} \) is \( g_n \) or \( g_n + 1 \).

5. If \( x > 0 \), the sequence \( b_0, b_1, b_2, \ldots \), cannot terminate in \( 0 \). For, \( x_n = nx \rightarrow \infty \) as \( n \rightarrow \infty \). Hence \( g_n \rightarrow \infty \), whereas termination of \( \{b_n\} \) in \( 0 \) implies all \( g_n \) identical after some point.

6. If \( x < 1 \), the sequence \( b_0, b_1, b_2, \ldots \), cannot terminate in \( 1 \). If \( 1 = b_N = b_{N+1} = \ldots \) for some \( N \), we should have \( g_{N+k} = g_N + k \) for \( k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \) by (4), and hence \( g_N + k \leq (N + k) x < (g_N + k) + 1 \), or \( g_N/k + 1 \leq (N/k + 1) x < (g_N + 1)/k + 1 \). Since \( N \) is fixed, and \( k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \), this implies \( 1 \leq x \leq 1 \) and \( x = 1 \).

7. \( f(x) \) is strictly increasing on \([0,1]\). By (5) and (6), it suffices to prove \( f(x) < f(x') \) for \( 0 < x < x' < 1 \). Since \( x_n = nx \leq nx' = x'_n \) for all \( n \geq 0 \),
we must have $g_n \leq g'_n$ for all $n$. Moreover, there must be a first $N$ for which $g_N < g'_N$. Otherwise we should have all $g_n = g'_n$ whence $g_n \leq nx < g_n + 1$ and $g_n \leq nx' < g_n + 1$. Writing the first of these as $-g_n - 1 < -nx < -g_n$ and adding to the second gives $-1 < n(x' - x) < 1$, a contradiction for $x' > x$ and $n \to \infty$.

Thus for $x$ and $x'$, the $g_n$ sequences are of form (cf. (2)) $g_0 = 0$, $g_1 = 0$, $g_2$, ..., $g_{N-1}$, $g_N$, ..., where $g_N < g'_N$ for an $N \geq 2$. Now if $g_{N-1} < g_N$, then $g'_N > g_N > g_{N-1}$; $g'_N$ would be at least 2 more than its immediate predecessor, which is impossible by (4). Hence we must have $g_N = g_{N-1}$, $g'_N = g_{N-1} + 1$, and so $b_{N-1} = 0$, $b'_{N-1} = 1$. It then follows from (5) and (6) that $f(x) < f(x')$.

8. $f(x)$ is left continuous at $x = 1$. For $x = 1$ and $n \geq 1$, we have the defining sequences

\[
\begin{align*}
x_n & : 1 \ 2 \ 3 \ \ldots \ \ N-1 \ N \ N+1 \ \ldots \\
g_n & : 1 \ 2 \ 3 \ \ldots \ \ N-1 \ N \ N+1 \ \ldots \\
b_n & : \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ \ldots 
\end{align*}
\]

For small $\varepsilon > 0$, and $N$ the first integer for which $(N+1)\varepsilon > 1$, the corresponding sequences for $x' = 1 - \varepsilon$ will read

\[
\begin{align*}
x'_{n} & : 1-\varepsilon \ 2-2\varepsilon \ 3-3\varepsilon \ \ldots \ \ (N-1) - (N-1)\varepsilon \ \ N-\varepsilon \ (N+1) - (N+1)\varepsilon \ \ldots \\
g'_{n} & : 0 \ 1 \ 2 \ \ldots \ \ N-2 \ N-1 \ N-1 \ \ldots \\
b'_{n} & : \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ \ldots 
\end{align*}
\]

Clearly $f(1 - \varepsilon) \to 1 = f(1)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

9. $f(x)$ is right continuous at $x = 0$. For $x = 0$ and $n \geq 1$,

\[
\begin{align*}
x_n & : 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ \ldots \\
g_n & : 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ \ldots \\
b_n & : 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ \ldots 
\end{align*}
\]

For small $x' = \varepsilon > 0$, and $N$ the first integer for which $(N+1)\varepsilon \geq 1$, we have the sequences

\[
\begin{align*}
x'_{n} & : \varepsilon \ 2\varepsilon \ 3\varepsilon \ \ldots \ \ (N-1)\varepsilon \ N\varepsilon \ (N+1)\varepsilon \ \ldots \\
g'_{n} & : 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ \ldots \ \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ \ldots \\
b'_{n} & : \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ \ldots \ \ 0 \ 1 \ \ldots 
\end{align*}
\]

so that $f(\varepsilon) \to 0 = f(0)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

10. If $x = p/q$ is a reduced fraction on $(0,1)$, i.e., $q \geq 2$, $1 \leq p < q$, $(p,q) = 1$, then

\[g_{kq+1} + p = g_{(k+1)q+1} \]
for \(i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, q - 1\) and all \(k \geq 0\). For, \(g \leq (kq + 1)\) \(p/q < g + 1\) implies 
\((g + p) \leq (k + 1)q + 1\) \(p/q < (g + p) + 1\).

11. For a reduced fraction \(x = p/q\) on \((0, 1)\), the sequence \(\{b_n\}, n \geq 0\), is 
pure periodic, with a period of length \(q\), and \(f(x)\) is rational. For, by (10), 
the \(g_n\) sequence is of form \(g_0, g_1, \ldots, g_{q-1}; g_0 + p, g_1 + p, \ldots, g_{q-1} + p; 
g_0 + 2p, \ldots\), where \(g_0 = g_1 = 0\). Consequently the \(b_n\) sequence \(b_0, b_1, \ldots, 
b_{q-1}; b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{q-1}; b_0, \ldots\) is periodic of period \(k\), and \(f(x)\) is rational.

Ex. 1. For \(x = 1/2\), one has the sequences
\[
\begin{align*}
x_n &: 0, \ 1/2; \ 1, \ 3/2; \ 2, \ 5/2; \ 3, \ \ldots, 
g_n &: 0, \ 0; \ 1, \ 1; \ 2, \ 2; \ 3, \ \ldots, 
b_n &: 0, \ 1; \ 0, \ 1; \ 0, \ 1; \ 0, \ \ldots, 
f(1/2) &= 1/2 + 1/2^3 + 1/2^5 + \ldots = 2/3. 
\end{align*}
\]

Ex. 2. For \(x = 2/5\),
\[
\begin{align*}
x_n &: 0, \ 2/5, \ 4/5, \ 6/5, \ 8/5; \ 10/5, \ 12/5, \ 14/5, \ 16/5, \ 18/5; \ 20/5, \ \ldots, 
g_n &: 0, \ 0, \ 0, \ 1, \ 1; \ 2, \ 2, \ 2, \ 3, \ 3; \ 4, \ \ldots, 
b_n &: 0, \ 0, \ 1, \ 0, \ 1; \ 0, \ 0, \ 1, \ 0, \ 1; \ 0, \ \ldots, 
f(2/5) &= (1/2^2 + 1/2^4) \ (1 + 1/5^5 + 1/2^{10} + \ldots) = 10/31. 
\end{align*}
\]

12. For a reduced fraction \(x = p/q\) on \((0, 1)\), one has for all \(k \geq 1\),
\[
\begin{align*}
x_{kq-1} &= (kq - 1) \ p/q = kp - p/q, \ g_{kq-1} = kp - 1, 
x_{kq} &= (kq) \ p/q = kp, \ g_{kq} = kp, 
x_{kq+1} &= (kq + 1) \ p/q = kp + p/q, \ g_{kq+1} = kp .
\end{align*}
\]
Hence for \(x = p/q\), the \(b_n\) sequence is always of form \(0, b_1, \ldots, b_{q-2}, 1; 
0, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{q-2}, 1, 0, \ldots\).

13. For a reduced fraction \(x = p/q\) on \((0, 1)\), we have
\[
f(p/q) = (B + 1/2^{q-1})/(1 - 1/2^q), \text{ where } B = \sum_{1}^{q-2} b_n/2^n \ (B = 0 \text{ for } x = 1/2).
\]
This is clear from (12).

14. If \(x = p/q\) is a reduced fraction on \((0, 1)\), and \(\varepsilon > 0\) is very small, 
then for \(x' = p/q - \varepsilon\), the \(b_n\) sequence will begin with \(0, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{q-2}, 0; 
1, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{q-2}, 0; 1, \ldots\), the only change in the initial \(g_n\) being from 
the \(g\) - triads \(kp - 1, kp, kp\) to the \(g'\) triads \(kp - l, kp - 1, kp\), as may be 
inferrred from (12). For \(x_n = n(p/q)\) is an integer iff \(n\) is a multiple of \(q\).

15. It is clear that the smaller \(\varepsilon > 0\) is taken in (14), the longer this 
\(b_n\) - pattern will persist. Hence
\[
f((p/q)^-) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} f(p/q - \varepsilon) = (B + 1/2^q)/(1 - 1/2^q) .
\]
16. \( f(x) \) is left discontinuous at every reduced fraction \( x = p/q \) on \((0,1)\), with a left jump

\[
j(p/q) = f(p/q) - f((p/q)^-) = 1/(2^q - 1)
\]

This follows at once from (13) and (15).

17. \( f(x) \) is right continuous at every reduced fraction \( x = p/q \) on \((0,1)\). For small \( \varepsilon > 0 \), the \( b_n' \)-pattern for \( x' = p/q + \varepsilon \) will begin just as does the \( b_n \)-pattern for \( x = p/q \), and the smaller \( \varepsilon > 0 \), the longer this pattern will persist.

18. The sum of all the jumps \( j(p/q) \) is unity. Since for \( q \geq 2 \), there are \( \phi(q) \) reduced fractions \( p/q \) on \((0,1)\), at each of which there is the same jump \( j(p/q) = 1/(2^q - 1) \), we must have

\[
J = \sum_{0 < p/q < 1} j(p/q) = \sum_{q=2}^{\infty} \phi(q)/(2^q - 1) = 1
\]

The value 1 of the series may be inferred by setting \( y = 1/2 \) in the Liouville identity (Ref. 3, p. 240)

\[
\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \phi(q) y^q/(1 - y^q) \equiv y/(1 - y)^2, \quad |y| < 1
\]

(The latter is an easy consequence of the well known property \( \sum_{d|m} \phi(d) = m \) of the Euler \( \phi \)-function.)

19. \( f(x) \) is continuous at every irrational \( x = \theta \) on \((0,1)\). For small \( \varepsilon > 0 \), the \( b_n' \)-patterns for both \( x' = \theta + \varepsilon \) and for \( x' = \theta - \varepsilon \) will begin as does the \( b_n \)-pattern for \( x = \theta \), the latter because \( n\theta \) is never an integer, and the smaller \( \varepsilon > 0 \) is taken, the further this pattern will continue. Thus \( f(x) \) is right and left continuous at \( x = \theta \).

20. \( f(x) \) is irrational at every irrational \( x = \theta \) on \((0,1)\). Suppose on the contrary that for some irrational \( x = \theta \), the \( b_n \) sequence were terminally periodic of some period length \( q \). Since by (6) it cannot terminate in 1, we should have an \( N \) such that for all \( k \geq 0 \),

\[
b_{N+kq} = 0,
\]
and hence \[ g_{N+kq} = g_{N+kq+1}. \]
Now write \[ N\theta = g + \delta, \quad (N + 1)\theta = g + \epsilon, \]
where \( g = g_N \) is an integer, and \( 0 \leq \delta < \epsilon < 1 \).
Also let \( q\theta = c + n \),
where \( c = \lfloor q\theta \rfloor \), \( 0 < n < 1 \), and \( n \) is irrational.
Then \( (N + kq)\theta = g + \delta + kc + kn \), and \( (N + 1 + kq)\theta = g + \epsilon + kc + kn \)
must have the same integral part, for all \( k \geq 0 \).
Now \( \eta \) is irrational, and the numbers \( kn, k \geq 0 \) are uniformly distributed mod 1 (Ref. 4, p. 72). Hence there exists a \( K \) such that
\[
K\eta = h + r,
\]
where \( h \) is an integer, and
\[
0 < 1 - \epsilon < r < 1 - \delta < 1.
\]
Hence \( r + \delta < 1 \) and \( r + \epsilon > 1 \).

Then \( (N + Kq)\theta = g + \delta + Kc + h + r = (g + Kc + h) + (r + \delta) \), and \( (N + 1 + Kq)\theta = g + \epsilon + Kc + h + r = (g + Kc + h) + (r + \epsilon) \). But obviously these two numbers do not have the same integral part, and this is a contradiction.

III. NUMBER THEORY AND THE FRISCH FUNCTION

Since the Frisch function has the properties of Theorem 2, we may infer at once the following theorem.

Theorem 4. The Frisch function \( f(x) \) is the sum \( s(x) \) of the step functions in Theorem 1, where \( \{x_n\} \) is the set of all reduced fractions \( p/q \) on \((0,1)\), and \( j(p/q) = 1/(2^q - 1) \).

Thus \( f(x) \) has all the properties of \( s(x) \), some of which are easily obtained from the original definition in Part II, as we have seen, but others are by no means obvious.

It is interesting that the value of \( f(1/2) \) may be found both from the Frisch definition of \( f(x) \), and from its realization \( s(x) \). Thus \( f(1/2) = 2/3 \), as we saw in Part II (II), whereas
\[ s(1/2) = \sum_{p \leq 1/2, q} j(p/q) = j(1/2) + \sum_{q=3}^{\infty} \frac{(1/2) \phi(q)}{2^q - 1} \]
\[ = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{q=2}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(q)}{2^q - 1} - \frac{\phi(2)}{2^2 - 1} \right) = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{3} \right) = \frac{2}{3}. \]

But \( x = 1/2 \) is the only fraction on \((0,1)\) for which \( s(x) \) is easily evaluated. It seems remarkable therefore that the readily evaluated \( f(p/q) \) provides a means of summing the series \( s(p/q) \).

**Theorem 5.** If \( a/b \) is a rational number on \((0,1]\), and \( N(q,a/b) \) denotes the number of integers \( p \) prime to \( q \) for which \( 1 \leq p \leq (a/b) \cdot q \), then

\[ \sum_{q>b/a} \frac{N(q,a/b)}{2^q - 1} = f(a/b), \]

where \( f(a/b) \) is evaluated in Part II (13).

Note that \( N(q,1) = \phi(q) \) for \( q \geq 2 \), and \( N(q,1/2) = \frac{1}{2} \phi(q) \) for \( q \geq 3 \), but for other values of \( a/b \), \( N(q,a/b) \) seems to be a new arithmetic function with interesting properties. As a final example (cf. Part II (11), we note that

\[ 10/31 = f(2/5) = s(2/5) = \sum_{q=3}^{\infty} \frac{N(q,2/5)}{2^q - 1} \]
\[ = 1/7 + 1/15 + 2/31 + 1/63 + 2/127 + 2/255 + 2/511 + 2/1023 + 4/2047 + 1/4095 + 5/8191 + \ldots . \]

**Note.** The Frisch function seems a fitting companion to a similarly constructed function of van der Waerden, which is everywhere continuous, but nowhere differentiable (Ref. 5, p. 353).

Note added in proof: A short discussion of the function by Frisch will appear in Ref. 6.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>001-025</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>026-050</td>
<td>4.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>051-075</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>076-100</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101-125</td>
<td>6.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>126-150</td>
<td>7.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151-175</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176-200</td>
<td>9.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201-225</td>
<td>9.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>226-250</td>
<td>9.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>251-275</td>
<td>10.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>276-300</td>
<td>11.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>301-325</td>
<td>11.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>326-350</td>
<td>12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>351-375</td>
<td>12.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>376-400</td>
<td>13.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>401-425</td>
<td>13.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>426-450</td>
<td>14.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>451-475</td>
<td>14.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>476-500</td>
<td>15.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>501-525</td>
<td>15.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>526-550</td>
<td>15.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>551-575</td>
<td>16.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>576-600</td>
<td>16.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>601-up</td>
<td>16.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Add $2.50 for each additional 100-page increment from 601 pages up.