



Updated February 28, 2024

Social Security: The Windfall Elimination Provision (WEP) and the Government Pension Offset (GPO)

Background

Social Security is a work-related, federal insurance program that provides monthly cash benefits to workers and their eligible family members in the event of the worker’s retirement, disability, or death. A worker’s employment or self-employment is considered covered by Social Security if the services performed in that job result in earnings that are taxable and creditable for program purposes. Although participation in Social Security is compulsory for most workers, about 6% of all workers in paid employment or self-employment are not covered by Social Security. Noncovered workers include state and local government employees covered by alternative staff-retirement systems; most permanent civilian federal employees hired before January 1, 1984, who are covered by the Civil Service Retirement System (CSRS) or other alternative retirement plan; employees covered by the Railroad Retirement system; domestic, election, or farm workers with earnings below certain thresholds; people with low levels of net earnings from self-employment; and certain nonimmigrants.

The Windfall Elimination Provision (WEP) and the Government Pension Offset (GPO) are two separate provisions that reduce regular Social Security benefits for workers and their eligible family members if the worker receives (or is entitled to) a pension based on earnings from employment *not covered* by Social Security.

The Windfall Elimination Provision

The WEP applies to most people who receive both a pension from noncovered work (including certain foreign pensions) and Social Security benefits based on fewer than 30 years of substantial earnings in covered employment or self-employment. In 2024, the amount of substantial earnings in covered employment or self-employment needed for a year of coverage (YOC) is \$31,275. This amount is adjusted annually by the growth in average wages in the economy, provided a cost-of-living adjustment (COLA) is payable. The WEP affects retired- or disabled-worker beneficiaries and their eligible dependents. However, it does not affect survivor beneficiaries.

The Social Security benefit formula is progressive, replacing a greater share of career-average earnings for low-paid workers than for high-paid workers. The regular benefit formula applies three factors—90%, 32%, and 15%—to three different brackets of a worker’s *average indexed monthly earnings* (AIME), which is a measure of career-average earnings in covered employment or self-employment. The result is the *primary insurance amount* (PIA), which is the worker’s basic benefit before any adjustments are made for factors such as COLAs, early retirement, or delayed retirement. For workers who become

eligible for benefits in 2024, the PIA is determined based on the formula in **Table 1**. The dollar amounts in the table, known as *bend points*, are adjusted annually for average earnings growth.

Table 1. Social Security Benefit Formula for Workers Who Attain Age 62, Become Disabled, or Die in 2024

Factor	Average Indexed Monthly Earnings (AIME)
90%	of the first \$1,174 of AIME, plus
32%	of AIME over \$1,174 and through \$7,078, plus
15%	of AIME over \$7,078

Source: CRS, based on Social Security Administration, *Benefit Formula Bend Points*.

For people with 20 or fewer YOCs who become eligible for benefits in 2024, the WEP reduces the first factor from 90% to 40%, resulting in a maximum reduction of \$587 (90% of \$1,174 *minus* 40% of \$1,174). For each year of substantial earnings in covered employment or self-employment in excess of 20, the first factor increases by 5%. For example, the first factor is 45% for those with 21 YOCs. The WEP factor reaches 90% for those with 30 or more YOCs and at that point is phased out.

The WEP includes a *guarantee* that the reduction in the benefit amount caused by the WEP formula can never exceed more than one-half of the noncovered pension. Thus, for workers who become eligible for benefits in 2024, the maximum reduction under the WEP may be less than \$587. In addition, because the WEP reduces the initial benefit amount *before* it is reduced or increased due to early retirement, delayed retirement credits, COLAs, or other factors, the difference between the final benefit with the WEP and the final benefit without the WEP may be less than or greater than \$587. However, the maximum WEP reduction is still limited to 50% of the noncovered pension.

How Many People Are Affected by the WEP?

As of December 2023, about 2.1 million people (or about 3% of all Social Security beneficiaries) were affected by the WEP. Nearly 2.0 million of those affected were retired-worker beneficiaries, which was about 4% of the entire retired-worker beneficiary population. The remaining affected individuals were disabled-worker beneficiaries and eligible family members of retired- or disabled-worker beneficiaries.

Legislative History and Rationale

The WEP was enacted in 1983 as part of major amendments designed to shore up the financing of Social

Security. Its purpose was to remove an unintended advantage or “windfall” that the regular Social Security benefit formula provided to workers who also had pensions from noncovered employment. The regular formula was intended to help workers who spent their careers in low-paying jobs, by providing them with a benefit that is relatively higher in relation to their career-average earnings in covered employment than the benefit that is provided for workers with high career-average earnings.

However, the formula could not differentiate between those who worked in low-paid jobs throughout their careers and other workers who appeared to have been low paid because they worked many years in jobs not covered by Social Security (these years are shown as zeros for Social Security benefit purposes). Thus, under the old law, workers who were employed for only a portion of their careers in jobs covered by Social Security—even highly paid ones—also received the advantage of the weighted formula, because their few years of covered earnings were averaged over their entire working career to determine the average covered earnings on which their Social Security benefits were based. The WEP is intended to remove this advantage.

The Government Pension Offset (GPO)

The GPO reduces the Social Security spouse’s or widow(er)’s benefits (hereinafter “spousal benefits”) of most people who also receive a pension based on federal, state, or local *government employment* not covered by Social Security. The program provides benefits to the spouses and widow(er)s of insured workers, because immediate family members are presumed to be dependent on a worker for their financial support and thus are presumed to be in need of such benefits when the family experiences a loss of income due to the worker’s retirement, disability, or death. In general, a spouse receives up to 50% of the worker’s PIA, and a widow(er) receives up to 100%.

Under Social Security’s *dual entitlement rule*, a person’s spousal benefit is reduced, dollar-for-dollar, by the amount of his or her own Social Security retired- or disabled-worker benefit but not below zero (i.e., a 100% offset). The difference, if any, is paid as a spousal benefit and is added to the worker’s Social Security benefit. In effect, the person receives the higher of the two Social Security benefit amounts, but not both. For example, if a person is entitled to a \$600 retired-worker benefit (based on his or her own work history in covered employment) and an \$800 spousal benefit (based on his or her spouse’s work history in covered employment), then the person would receive the \$600 worker benefit plus the \$200 difference between the worker benefit and the spousal benefit ($\$800 - \$600 = \$200$). The dual entitlement rule is an implicit test of a spouse’s or widow(er)’s dependency on an insured worker for his or her financial support.

The GPO is intended to replicate the dual entitlement rule for spouses and widow(er)s who receive pensions based on noncovered employment. The Social Security spousal benefit is reduced by an amount equal to two-thirds of the noncovered government pension (i.e., a 67% offset). If a person receives a monthly noncovered pension of \$900, two-thirds of that amount (or \$600) is deducted from his or

her Social Security spousal benefit. For example, if the same person were also entitled to a spousal benefit of \$800, then he or she would receive \$200 per month from Social Security ($\$800 - \$600 = \$200$). The other one-third of the noncovered government pension is assumed to be equivalent to a supplementary private pension, which would not cause a reduction in the Social Security spousal benefit.

How Many People Are Affected by the GPO?

In December 2023, 745,679 Social Security beneficiaries, or about 1% of all beneficiaries, had their benefits reduced by the GPO. Of those directly affected by the GPO, 51% were spouses and 49% were widow(er)s. About 68% of all GPO-affected beneficiaries had their benefits fully offset, and about 32% had their benefits partially offset.

Legislative History and Rationale

The GPO was enacted in 1977, after the Supreme Court ruled that men were not required to prove that they received at least one-half of their support from their wives in order to qualify for husband’s or widower’s benefits. (Women were not subject to an explicit dependency test, as they were presumed to be dependent on their husbands.) This ruling made hundreds of thousands of male retirees who worked in noncovered government employment immediately eligible for Social Security benefits as spouses or widowers, adding hundreds of millions of dollars annually to the cost of the program and raising questions about whether these were unnecessary or “windfall” benefits. To prevent the payment of full Social Security spousal benefits to people receiving a pension from noncovered government employment, Congress created the GPO as part of the Social Security Amendments of 1977 (P.L. 95-216), which provided that 100% of the noncovered government pension be subtracted from the Social Security spousal benefit.

The dollar-for-dollar reduction implicitly assumed exact equivalency between government pensions and Social Security worker benefits. However, government pensions often combined the elements of a worker’s Social Security benefit and a pension intended to supplement Social Security. Although a spouse covered under Social Security may have his or her spousal benefits reduced under the dual entitlement rule, that rule takes into account only his or her worker’s Social Security benefits and does not count income he or she may have from a private pension.

In response to this criticism, Congress lowered the GPO reduction to two-thirds of the noncovered government pension under the Social Security Amendments of 1983 (P.L. 98-21). The House version of the 1983 amendments called for the reduction to be lowered to one-third of the noncovered government pension. The House proposed a one-third reduction the previous year based on the rationale that “thirty-three percent approximates the portion of the CSRS annuity which is equivalent to social security retirement benefits for the average earner.” The Senate version of the bill contained no such provision and thus would have left standing the existing 100% offset. In conference, lawmakers agreed to a two-thirds reduction.

Zhe Li, Analyst in Social Policy

Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.