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Abstract

This note derives the distribution of electrical spreading currents along the length of solid conducting objects for which the length substantially exceeds the width. Sources and sinks of DC (or very low frequency AC) current are placed at one end of the object, and the fall-off of spreading current is studied as a function of length. The fall-off can be great; for instance in the case of a solid rectangular object, the fall-off of spreading current along the length is 27dB per unit width. Comparison is made to inductive coupling, which becomes important as frequency increases.
1 INTRODUCTION

When we inject a current into a solid conducting body at one point on its surface, and allow the current to flow back out at another point, the current distribution within the body spreads out around the direct path from source to sink. This is called “current spreading”, and is important in semiconductor design, where crosstalk among different devices on the same chip must be minimized [1].

In this note we are particularly interested in the decay of DC current spreading along the length of a long conducting body when both source and sink are at one end. We will find that the magnitude of spreading current generally falls off like $\exp(-kz)$, where $z$ is the distance away from the current source along the long axis of the body, and $k$ is a characteristic decay coefficient.

In the language of electrical engineering, we drive the body as a (poor!) transmission line with DC in differential mode at one end, and measure the decay along the distance $z$ as some number of dB per unit length,

$$K \equiv 20 \log_{10}(e)k \text{ dB/length.}$$

(1-1)

Note that $k$ is independent of the resistivity of the material (as long as the body is uniform in composition); it depends only on geometry of the body. The current source is assumed to supply the necessary voltage to drive the current; the decay is due to geometrical effects on the current distribution, as it wends its way through the resistive material. Experimentally, we can measure the fall-off of voltage $V(z)$ over a cross-section of the body, as a function of distance $z$ in the long direction of the body; see Figure 1. In fact this measurement would be easy to do and is strongly recommended for any practical applications.
Figure 1: Measurement of current spreading for a long object. We inject a known current in differential mode at the end of the semi-infinite object. We then measure voltages $V(z)$ across the object at various distances $z$ in the long dimension. The body has cross-section $S$ bounded by curve $C$.

At DC, the only important length scale is the cross-section size. For AC at all but the lowest frequencies, another length scale becomes important, namely the skin depth; and inductive effects will become important too. We begin by studying the DC problem. Finite-frequency effects including skin depth and induction will be briefly discussed in Section 4.
2 DC EQUATIONS AND THEIR SOLUTION

We use cartesian coordinates \((x, y, z)\) and model our solid body as a right cylinder with long axis along \(z\). The cross-section of the body is a region \(S\) in the \((x, y)\) plane bounded by a curve \(C\), and is constant in \(z\). One end of the body lies in the plane \(z = 0\), and the body extends over \(0 \leq z < \infty\), and so is half-infinite.

Hollow cylindrical bodies can be equally well modelled by using a curve \(C\) which consists of two (or more) separated components, one inside the other. A pair of separate conducting bodies could be modelled by a cross-section \(S\) consisting of two separate disconnected components; however we will take \(S\) to be connected unless otherwise specified.

The body is composed of material of some constant resistivity \(\sigma\). We study the stationary Maxwell equations [2] in which all time derivatives are neglected, and so all fields, and the current, will be functions of \((x, y, z)\) but not time \(t\).\(^1\) Steady electrical current flow within the body is described by the current density \(\mathbf{J}\) and obeys the equations

\[
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = 0, \quad \mathbf{J} = \sigma \mathbf{E}
\]

where \(\mathbf{E}\) is the electric field. On the sides of the cylinder no current flows across the surface, so the boundary condition is

\[
\hat{n} \cdot \mathbf{J} = 0 \quad \text{on } C \text{ for all } z \geq 0
\]

where \(\hat{n}\) is the unit normal to \(C\) in any plane \(z = \text{const}\). On the end \(z = 0\) of the cylinder we impose some source \(J_0\) of injected and sunk currents,

\[
\hat{z} \cdot \mathbf{J} = J_0(x, y) \quad \text{at } z = 0.
\]

\(^1\)In this section, frequency is DC, or so low that inductive effects can be neglected; for the latter see Section 4.
The electric field can be expressed in terms of the gradient of the electrostatic potential \( \Phi \),

\[
\vec{E} = -\nabla \Phi \quad (2-4)
\]

and eliminating both \( \vec{E} \) and \( \vec{J} \) from Eqs. (3.2, 3.5) we obtain equations determining \( \Phi \) as

\[
\nabla^2 \Phi = 0 \quad \text{inside the body} \quad (2-5)
\]

\[
\hat{n} \cdot \nabla \Phi = 0 \quad \text{on } C \text{ for all } z \geq 0 \quad (2-6)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z} = -\sigma^{-1} S(x, y) \quad \text{at } z = 0 \quad (2-7)
\]

which describe a well posed boundary value problem with Neumann boundary conditions. (For notation and methods see, e.g., [2]).

This problem can be solved by separation of variables,

\[
\Phi(x, y, z) = \psi(x, y) f(z) \quad (2-8)
\]

\[
\nabla_i^2 \psi(x, y) + k^2 \psi(x, y) = 0 \quad (2-9)
\]

\[
\hat{n} \cdot \nabla \psi = 0 \quad \text{on } C \quad (2-10)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 f(z)}{\partial z^2} = -k^2 f(z) \quad (2-11)
\]

with corresponding boundary conditions at \( z = 0 \), see below, where \( k \geq 0 \) is a separation constant, and where the Laplacian operator in the \((x, y)\) plane is

\[
\nabla^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}. \quad (2-12)
\]

We can form any solution \( \Phi \) by use of the eigenfunctions of the transverse Neumann boundary value problem,

\[
\nabla_i^2 \psi_i(x, y) = -\lambda_i \psi_i(x, y), \quad \hat{n} \cdot \nabla \psi = 0 \quad \text{on } C \quad (2-13)
\]

with eigenvalues \( \lambda_i, i = 0, 1, 2 \ldots \) by means of the expansion

\[
\Phi(x, y, z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i \psi_i(x, y) \exp(-k_i z) \quad (2-14)
\]
where $\lambda_i = k_i^2$. The eigenvalues $\lambda_i$ will be assumed ordered by nondecreasing value, and the eigenfunctions $\psi(x,y)$ will be normalized to unity in the $L_2$ norm.

For any body the lowest eigenvalue and its eigenfunction are always

$$\lambda_0 = 0 \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad (2-15)$$
$$\psi_0 = c_1 \quad (c_1 \text{ is a normalization constant}) \quad \quad \quad (2-16)$$

which corresponds to uniform current injection into the end of the body with no current sink; such a current has $k_0 = 0$ and therefore is constant in the $z$-direction; it represents common-mode current flow through the body to $z = \infty$.

Since we are interested in differential mode current injection we will henceforth assume that $c_0 = 0$; then the decay of the spreading current in $z$ is controlled by the next lowest eigenvalue $\lambda_1 > 0$.

$$k_1 = \sqrt{\lambda_1}, \quad \quad \quad \quad (2-17)$$
$$K = (8.69 \text{ dB})k_1. \quad \quad \quad \quad (2-18)$$
3 RESULTS FOR BODIES OF VARIOUS SHAPES

Example 1) The body is a solid rectangular object, so the cross-section \( S \) is a solid rectangle of dimensions \( d \) by \( w \) in the \( x \) and \( y \) directions respectively (with \( d \geq w \)). Then

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi_1 &= c_1 \cos(\pi x/d) \quad \text{independent of } y \quad (3-1) \\
\lambda_1 &= (\pi/d)^2 \quad (3-2) \\
k_1 &= \pi/d \quad (3-3) \\
K &= 27 \text{dB}/d. \quad (3-4)
\end{align*}
\]

This result shows a remarkably fast fall-off \( K \). For instance, to achieve an 80 dB fall-off, we need only go in the long direction three times the width.

Example 2) The body is a hollow rectangular box, so the cross-section \( S \) is a hollow square of dimensions \( d \) by \( w \) in the \( x \) and \( y \) directions respectively (with \( d \geq w \)), and with some small wall-thickness \( t \). Then to a good approximation

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi_1 &= c_1 \cos(\pi p/(d + w)) \quad (p \text{ is distance along the perimeter}) \quad (3-5) \\
\lambda_1 &= (\pi/(d + w))^2 \quad (3-6) \\
k_1 &= \pi/(d + w) \quad (3-7) \\
K &= 27 \text{dB}/(d + w) \quad (3-8)
\end{align*}
\]

independent of \( t \) when \( t \ll w \).

Example 3) The body is hollow and has a thin-walled cross-section of any shape, with \( P \) the total perimeter, that is, the length of \( C \). Then

\[
\begin{align*}
k_1 &= 2\pi/P \quad (3-9) \\
K &= 54 \text{dB}/P, \quad (3-10)
\end{align*}
\]
approximately independently of the wall-thickness \( t \ll P \)

**Example 4** The body is a solid circular cylinder, so the cross-section \( S \) is a solid disk of diameter \( d \). Then eigenfunctions are constructed out of Bessel functions \( J_n(r) \) and angular functions \( \exp(\im \phi) \), and

\[
\psi_1 = c_1 J_1(k_1 r) \exp(\im \phi) \quad (r \text{ and } \phi \text{ are polar coordinates}) \quad (3-11)
\]
\[
\lambda_1 = 4(x'_{10}^2 + 1^2)/d^2 \quad (x'_{10} \text{ is the first root of } J'_1) \quad (3-12)
\]
\[
k_1 = 4.19/d \quad (3-13)
\]
\[
K = 36 \text{ dB}/d. \quad (3-14)
\]

**Example 5** The body is an “I-beam”, so the cross-section is a letter “I” with depth \( d \) (in the \( y \)-direction), width \( w \) \((<d)\) (in the \( x \)-direction), and small thickness \( t \). Then a good approximation is

\[
\psi_1 = c_1 \cos(\pi y/d) \quad \text{independent of } x \quad (3-15)
\]
\[
\lambda_1 = (\pi/d)^2 \quad (3-16)
\]
\[
k_1 = \pi/d \quad (3-17)
\]
\[
K = 27 \text{ dB}/d. \quad (3-18)
\]

These examples are summarized in Figure 2.

**Example 6** We now turn to bodies constructed of discrete components, such as lattices of metal struts. To model these bodies we employ infinite ladders of resistors, as shown for example in Figure 3(a). Each stage consists of one transverse resistor (a “rung”) \( R_1 \), and two longitudinal resistors (the “stringers”) \( R_2 \). To compute the properties of such a ladder, use a well-known trick shown in Figure 3(b): The unknown effective resistance \( R_X \) looking into the end the ladder can be determined by observing that adding one more stage to the ladder, leaves it invariant, so

\[
1/R_X = 1/R_1 + 1/(R_X + 2R_2), \quad (3-19)
\]
Figure 2: Cross sections, dimensions and values of $K$ for the examples.
Figure 3: (a) A semi-infinite resistor ladder. All transverse resistors are $R_1$. All longitudinal resistors are $R_2$. (b) The effective resistance $R_X$ looking into the ladder, which can be determined by adding one stage, which gives the same $R_X$.

which is readily solved for $R_X$ as

$$R_X = -R_2 + \sqrt{R_2^2 + 2R_1R_2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3-20)

For instance, if all resistors are equal, $R_1 = R_2$, the fall-off is readily shown to be

$$K = 11 \text{ dB/stage} \quad \text{ladder of equal resistors} \quad \text{(3-21)}$$

To achieve higher values of $K$ we can subdivide the $R_2$’s and add more rungs $R_1$ for a total of $N$ rungs per stage, which we model with $R_2 = R_1/N$. We compute
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>11 dB/stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>17 dB/stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>21 dB/stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>24 dB/stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>27 dB/stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>39 dB/stage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These results show that adding more “rungs” increases the fall-off substantially.

**Example 7** We now turn to 3-dimensional resistor ladders, as in Figure 4(a). Each stage consists of four transverse resistors $R_1$, and four longitudinal resistors $R_2$. How shall we compute this? The “ladder trick” does generalize, but becomes cumbersome. A faster computation goes as follows. The ladder shows a four-fold rotational symmetry when we look along it from its end, so the eigenfunctions of current distribution must be proportional to $\exp(i m \phi)$ for $m = (0, 1, 2)$ where $\phi$ is angle about the long axis; see Figure 4(b,c,d). As can be expected, the $m = 0$ mode is common-mode current flow along the ladder to infinity, and can be ignored. The next-lowest modes are the two $m = 1$ modes, which are degenerate, shown in Figure 4(c). However, in these modes, half the transverse resistors $R_1$ carry no current because the voltage across them vanishes by symmetry. Therefore half of all the resistors $R_1$ can be chopped out, and the box decomposes into two 2-dimensional ladders as in Example 6). Therefore all the values of $K$ are exactly the same as found above for Example 6).

Again, these examples apply at frequencies so low that inductive effects can be neglected. What happens at higher frequency?
Figure 4: (a) A more elaborate semi-infinite resistor ladder, with a box-like stage. All transverse resistors are $R_1$. All longitudinal resistors are $R_2$. (b,c,d) The symmetries of the normal modes of this ladder are like $\exp(im\phi)$ with $m = (0, 1, 2)$ respectively.
4 FINITE-FREQUENCY EFFECTS: INDUCTION

As frequency $\omega$ is raised, the first effect to become important is induction of electromotive force (EMF) by the time-dependent magnetic field $\vec{B}$ (while charge separation, and displacement current associated with time-dependent $\vec{E}$ are still negligible). Maxwell’s equations can then be written [2]

\[ \nabla \cdot \vec{J} = 0, \quad \vec{J} = \sigma \vec{E} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4-1)
\[ \nabla \times \vec{B} = \mu \vec{J} \] \hspace{1cm} (4-2)
\[ \nabla \times \vec{E} = -\partial \vec{B} / \partial t \] (induction equation) \hspace{1cm} (4-3)
\[ \vec{E} = -\nabla \Phi - \partial \vec{A} / \partial t \] \hspace{1cm} (4-4)
\[ \vec{B} = \nabla \times \vec{A} \] \hspace{1cm} (4-5)
where
\[ \vec{A} = \text{vector potential with } \nabla \cdot \vec{A} = 0. \] \hspace{1cm} (4-6)

Here, all fields depend on time like $\exp(-i\omega t)$, and moreover depend on spatial coordinates $(x, y, z)$; $\mu$ is the magnetic permeability of the material. Eliminating $\vec{E}$, $\vec{B}$ and $\vec{J}$ leads to a Laplace equation for $\Phi$, and a diffusion equation for $\vec{A}$

\[ \nabla^2 \Phi = 0 \] \hspace{1cm} (4-8)
\[ \nabla^2 \vec{A} + i\mu \sigma \omega \vec{A} = -\mu \sigma \nabla \Phi. \] \hspace{1cm} (4-9)

The “skin effect” is one important effect governed by these equations: current tends to concentrate near the surface of a conducting body, in a layer of thickness roughly

\[ \delta = \sqrt{2/\mu \sigma \omega} \quad \text{“skin depth”} \] \hspace{1cm} (4-10)
with fields and currents falling off like $\exp(-d/\delta)$ with distance $d$ from the surface. When this is the main effect at finite frequency, we expect Eq. (3-10) above to still apply approximately, with the “body” replaced by a thin shell of thickness $t \sim \delta$. To estimate the effect, recall that skin depth is of order a few cm in common metals at 60Hz, except that it may be much less due to permeability of ferromagnetic materials. Thus for frequencies of 60Hz and higher, the thin-shell approximation Eq. (3-10) applies.

However a different effect may be much more important. Unlike currents, magnetic fields can traverse free space outside the body; different parts of the body can therefore be coupled by mutual inductance. This coupling can be expected to fall off, not exponentially, but as a power-law of distance $z$. (This is because the exciting current generally has some magnetic $\ell$-pole moment, and the magnetic field falls off like $(\text{distance})^{-\ell-2}$ from it. Technically, the equation for $\vec{A}$ has an unbounded domain, and therefore has a continuous spectrum of eigenvalues.)

Let us estimate the inductive effect of finite frequency $f$ in a rectangular body of cross-section $d \times w$ as above. If the current source has a magnetic dipole moment $\sim Id^2$, then the electric field induced by the time-changing magnetic field propagating through free space is roughly

$$E_{\text{induct}} \sim \frac{\omega \mu_0 I d^2}{8z^2}$$

where $\omega = 2\pi f$ is the angular frequency and $\mu_0$ is the constant permeability of free space (and we take the material as not strongly magnetic). This must be compared with the conductive electric field inside the material

$$E_{\text{conduct}} \sim \frac{I}{\sigma dw} \exp(-kz).$$

Their ratio is

$$\frac{E_{\text{induct}}}{E_{\text{conduct}}} \sim \frac{dw}{\delta^2} \cdot \frac{\exp(kz)d^2}{z^2}. \quad (4-13)$$
where Eq. (4-10) has been used to eliminate $\omega$. So in this example, inductive effects dominate spreading current at modest values of $z$, when $\delta$ is no larger than the transverse size. In a hollow body (or “I-beam”) of thickness $t$, the corresponding expression is

$$\frac{E_{\text{induct}}}{E_{\text{conduct}}} \sim \frac{dt}{\delta^2} \cdot \frac{\exp(kz)d^2}{z^2}$$

and inductive effects will certainly dominate when $\delta < t$.

For a discrete ladder (as in Examples 6 and 7 above), one significant effect is that each component now has a combination of resistive and self-inductive impedance. When this is the main effect of finite frequency, we still find exponential decay of currents along the ladder, and $K$ can computed in just the same way.$^2$

However, once again, mutual inductance is likely to dominate. The mutual inductance of distant discrete elements generally falls off as a power-law in stage number along the ladder, not as an exponential, and is likely to dominate at long distances.

For both continuous and discrete bodies, the important effect at high enough frequency is therefore likely to be the inductive coupling of distant elements, which would have to be computed by different methods than developed in this note. At yet higher frequencies, charge separation and capacitive coupling will eventually become important too. Finally, at RF frequencies, displacement current becomes important, giving radiative effects.

$^2$ $K$ now has an imaginary part which describes an uninteresting phase shift along the ladder.
5 CONCLUSIONS

We have shown how to compute the fall-off of spreading current in long conducting objects, for differential-mode DC current injection at one end. We have also computed a variety of examples. The results show that the fall-off is remarkably fast in many examples. Practical applications are recommended when the control of spreading currents is desirable. AC current spreading will differ due to inductive effects, and must also be carefully assessed.
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