LA-UR- 97-2546 CONF-971/25--

# Effect of Compositional Variation in Plutonium on Process Shielding Design

Thomas H. Brown
Los Alamos National Laboratory

AUG 2 7 1997

RECEIVED

Radiation dose rate from plutonium with high  $^{239}$ Pu content varies with initial notalitide content, radioactive decay time, and impurity elemental content. Large variation in photon dose rate may result from variation in  $^{241}$ Pu and  $^{236}$ Pu initial contents. The high intensity, low-energy  $\gamma$ -emission from  $^{241}$ Am, the first daughter of  $^{241}$ Pu, may cause large doses for unshielded processing of bare plutonium. The high energy  $\gamma$ -emission from  $^{208}$ Tl, a daughter in the  $^{236}$ Pu decay chain, may be the primary photon dose contributor for heavily shielded processes. Variation in neutron dose rate results from variation in impurity ( $\alpha$ ,n) reactions and spontaneous fission, due to variation in the  $^{241}$ Am and  $^{240}$ Pu contents, respectively. Because dose variation implies shielding variation, knowledge of the composition of the plutonium to be processed should be incorporated in shielding design analysis.

The two idealized states of "old plutonium" and "clean plutonium," whose initial compositions are given in Table I, provide approximate upper and lower bounds on dose rate variation. Old plutonium has not undergone chemical separation of non-plutonium elements since initial separation following reactor production and has aged to produce maximum dose rate. Clean plutonium has undergone enough separation and aging to eliminate neutron dose from impurity  $(\alpha,n)$  reactions and photon dose from <sup>241</sup>Am and <sup>236</sup>Pu progeny. The clean plutonium state can be approached with existing separation technology. <sup>1-4</sup>

Whole-body dose rates were calculated for the two composition states, using unshielded and shielded plutonium spheres of varying density. The dose rates from these variable density spheres are similar to those from expanded plutonium configurations encountered during processing. The dose location of 40 cm from the sphere center is representative of operator standoff for direct

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED DITIC QUALITY INSPECTED &

MASTER

### **DISCLAIMER**

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, make any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

handling of plutonium inside a glove box. The radiation sources were generated by using ORIGEN-S<sup>5</sup> to calculate nuclide decay and photon energy spectra and SOURCES<sup>6</sup> to calculate neutron energy spectra. Radiation transport was performed with MCNP-4A<sup>7</sup>, incorporating the ANSI/ANS-1991 fluence-to-dose factors<sup>8</sup>.

The results in Table II have shielding implications for glove boxes with only structurally inherent shielding, especially for processing of old plutonium in an expanded configuration. To preclude streaming through the glove and viewing ports, additional photon shielding equivalent to the glove box steel walls must be located between the plutonium and the ports. However, the inconvenience to hands-on operations imposed by the additional shielding may necessitate remote handling. Even with a shielding equivalency design, it is unlikely that the 1-rem annual dose design requirement of would be met for exposure times needed for most processes.

Further reduction in total dose rate by using lead to reduce photon dose rate is shown in Table III for two density cases representing compact and expanded plutonium configurations. As lead thickness increases, the relative difference in total dose rate between old and clean plutonium diminishes, due to the rapidly increasing attenuation of  $^{241}$ Am high-intensity, low-energy  $\gamma$ -emission in old Pu. Photon dose rate becomes insignificant after lead thicknesses of 1-2 cm and  $\geq 5$  cm for clean and old plutonium, respectively. Significant additional dose rate reduction can be achieved only by reducing neutron dose rate with thick hydrogenous shielding. Maintaining shielding equivalency at the glove ports with thick shielding would almost certainly dictate remote handling.

Table I
Initial Nuclidic and Impurity Contents of Plutonium
Metal for Calculating Photon and Neutron Sources

| Plut              | onium Isotopes and | Impurities |         |               |          |  |
|-------------------|--------------------|------------|---------|---------------|----------|--|
| NT. I'I           | Initial Content (w | t % of Pu) | 1731    | Content (ppm) |          |  |
| Nuclide           | Old Pu             | Clean Pu   | Element | Old Pu        | Clean Pu |  |
| <sup>236</sup> Pu | 2.5e-6 (25 ppb)*   | 0          | Be      | 3             | 0        |  |
| <sup>238</sup> Pu | 0.05†              | 0.05†      | В       | 50            | 0        |  |
| <sup>239</sup> Pu | 92.33‡             | 93.89      | C       | 200           | 0        |  |
| <sup>240</sup> Pu | 6.50†              | 5.96§      | Mg      | 500           | 0        |  |
| <sup>241</sup> Pu | 1.00†              | 0          | Al      | 130           | 0        |  |
| <sup>242</sup> Pu | 0.10†              | 0.10†      |         |               |          |  |
| <sup>241</sup> Am | 0.02 (200 ppm)†    | 0          |         |               |          |  |

<sup>\*</sup>Value is based on the isotopic content of plutonium calculated to be produced in blanket region of a Liquid-Metal-Fast-Breeder Reactor<sup>10</sup>. 10 ppb of <sup>236</sup>Pu and 0.02 wt % of <sup>238</sup>Pu were produced in the blanket region. The 10 ppb of <sup>236</sup>Pu was scaled up to 25 ppb to be consistent with the above listed 0.05 wt % <sup>238</sup>Pu limit. The rationale for scaling is the expected small variation of <sup>236</sup>Pu: <sup>238</sup>Pu ratio with variation of <sup>238</sup>Pu content because both <sup>236</sup>Pu and <sup>238</sup>Pu are produced from neutron irradiation of <sup>237</sup>Np. Other results in the reference suggest a slowly varying <sup>236</sup>Pu: <sup>238</sup>Pu ratio with large increases in <sup>238</sup>Pu content.

†Maximum value from 1985 Rocky Flats specification<sup>11</sup>.

§Maximum shipment-average value from 1985 Rocky Flats specification.

‡Set to 100 minus sum of wt %s of the other nuclides.

#### Table II

## Dose Rates from 4.5-kg Homogeneous Plutonium Spheres Unshielded and Shielded by 0.7-cm Thick 304L Stainless Steel

(0.7-cm thick 304L stainless steel is representative of glove box walls)

Calculational model is 4.5-kg plutonium sphere either unshielded or centered inside 304L stainless-steel spherical shell with thickness of 0.7 cm and inside radius of 15 cm. Dose location is 40 cm from center of plutonium sphere.

|         | Dose Rate (mrem/h)* |          |        |          |                |            |        |            |        |            |  |
|---------|---------------------|----------|--------|----------|----------------|------------|--------|------------|--------|------------|--|
| Density | Photon              |          |        |          | Neutron+(n,γ)† |            | Total  |            |        |            |  |
| (g/cm³) | Unshielded          |          | Shi    | Shielded |                | Unshielded |        | Unshielded |        | Shielded ‡ |  |
|         | Old Pu              | Clean Pu | Old Pu | Clean Pu | Old Pu         | Clean Pu   | Old Pu | Clean Pu   | Old Pu | Clean Pu   |  |
| 19.86 § | 23.40               | 1.263    | 2.617  | 0.7342   | 4.380          | 2.982      | 27.8   | 4.24       | 7.00   | 3.72       |  |
| 2.546   | 90.14               | 4.837    | 8.107  | 2.758    | 1.731          | 1.137      | 91.9   | 5.97       | 9.84   | 3.90       |  |
| 1.074   | 158.4               | 8.347    | 11.83  | 4.570    | 1.632          | 1.066      | 160    | 9.41       | 13.5   | 5.64       |  |
| 0.489   | 266.3               | 13.28    | 15.66  | 6.675    | 1.597          | 1.040      | 268    | 14.3       | 17.3   | 7.71       |  |

<sup>\*</sup>Listed values are sample means with relative errors < 1%. (Relative error = one standard deviation of the mean ÷ sample mean)

†Less than 1%  $(n,\gamma)$  contribution to neutron+ $(n,\gamma)$  dose rate.

‡The shielded neutron+ $(n,\gamma)$  contribution is assumed to be equal to unshielded contribution. The neutrons are not significantly attenuated by the 0.7-cm thick 304L stainless steel; the  $(n,\gamma)$  photons may be significantly attenuated, but their dose rate contribution is insignificant.

§Theoretical density of alpha-phase plutonium metal.

Table III

Dose Rates from 4.5-kg Homogeneous Plutonium
Spheres Enclosed by Spherical-Shell Lead Shields

Each lead shield has inside radius of 15 cm and is concentric with the plutonium sphere. Dose location is 40 cm from center of plutonium sphere.

|   | Photon Dose Rate (mrem/h)                |          |  |          | Total Dose Rate (mrem/h) †               |          |  |          |  |
|---|--|----------|--|----------|--|----------|--|----------|--|
| Shield<br>Thickness<br>(cm)                                 | Pu Density of<br>19.86 g/cm <sup>3</sup> |          | Pu Density of<br>1.074 g/cm <sup>3</sup> |          | Pu Density of<br>19.86 g/cm <sup>3</sup> |          | Pu Density of<br>1.074 g/cm <sup>3</sup> |          |  |
|   | Old Pu                                   | Clean Pu |  |
| 0   | 23.40                                    | 1.263    | 158.4                                    | 8.35     | 27.8                                     | 4.24     | 160                                      | 9.41     |  |
| 0.02  | 9.88                                     | 0.940    | 56.6                                     | 6.06     | 14.3                                     | 3.92     | 58.2                                     | 7.13     |  |
| 0.05  | 4.22                                     | 0.837    | 20.3                                     | 5.36     | 8.60                                     | 3.82     | 21.9                                     | 6.43     |  |
| 0.1   | 2.75                                     | 0.732    | 12.2                                     | 4.62     | 7.13                                     | 3.71     | 13.8                                     | 5.69     |  |
| 0.2   | 2.35                                     | 0.583    | 10.0                                     | 3.57     | 6.73                                     | 3.56     | 11.6                                     | 4.64     |  |
| 0.5   | 1.78                                     | 0.306    | 6.69                                     | 1.72     | 6.16                                     | 3.29     | 8.32                                     | 2.79     |  |
| 1.0   | 1.26                                     | 0.115    | 4.20                                     | 0.566    | 5.64                                     | 3.10     | 5.83                                     | 1.63     |  |
| 2.0   | 0.752                                    | 0.0249   | 2.25                                     | 0.101    | 5.13                                     | 3.01     | 3.88                                     | 1.17     |  |
| 3.0   | 0.484                                    | 0.00927  | 1.38                                     | 0.0325   | 4.86                                     | 2.99     | 3.01                                     | 1.10     |  |
| 5.0   | 0.211                                    | 0.00252  | 0.571                                    | 0.00770  | 4.59                                     | 2.98     | 2.20                                     | 1.07     |  |
| Unshielded Neutron+(n,γ) Dose Rate (mrem/h) (from Table II) |  |          |  |          | 4.380                                    | 2.982    | 1.632                                    | 1.066    |  |

<sup>\*</sup>Listed values are sample means with relative errors < 1%. (Relative error = one standard deviation of the mean ÷ sample mean)

<sup>†</sup>The neutron+ $(n,\gamma)$  dose rates are not significantly reduced by lead with listed thicknesses. The unshielded neutron+ $(n,\gamma)$  dose rates have added to the shielded photon dose rates to obtain estimates of total dose rate.

#### References:

- 1. D. C. Christensen and L. J. Mullins, "Present Status of Plutonium Metal Production and Purification at Los Alamos--1982," Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-9674-MS (June 1983).
- 2. L. J. Mullins, A. N. Morgan, S. A. Apgar III, and D. C. Christensen, "Six-Kilogram Scale Electrorefining of Plutonium Metal," Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-9469-MS (September 1982).
- 3. L. J. Mullins, D. C. Christensen, and B. R. Babcock, "Fused Salt Processing of Impure Plutonium Dioxide to High-Purity Plutonium Metal," Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-9154-MS (January 1982).
- 4. L. J. Mullins and A. N. Morgan, "A Review of Operating Experience at the Los Alamos Plutonium Electrorefining Facility, 1963-1977," Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-8943 (December 1981).
- 5. O. W. Hermann and R. M. Westfall, "ORIGEN-S: Scale System Module to Calculate Fuel Depletion, Actinide Transmutation, Fission Product Buildup and Decay, and Associated Radiation Source Terms," Oak Ridge National Laboratory report NUREG/CR-0200, Rev. 5, Vol. 2 (ORNL/NUREG/CSD-2/V2/R5), Sec. F7, September 1995 (Draft).
- 6. W. B. Wilson, R. T. Perry, J. E. Stewart, T. R. England, D. G. Madland, and E. D. Arthur, "Development of the SOURCES Code and Data Library for the Calculation of Neutron Sources and Spectra from (α,n) Reactions, Spontaneous Fission, and (β,n) Delayed Neutrons," Los Alamos National Laboratory Progress Report LA-9841-PR (August 1983), pp. 65-66.
- 7. J. F. Briesmeister, Ed., "MCNP--A General Monte Carlo N-Particle Transport Code," Version 4A, Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-12625-Manual (November 1993).
- "American National Standard for Neutron and Gamma-Ray Fluence-to-Dose Factors," American Nuclear Society, ANSI/ANS-6.1.1-1991.
- 9. "Occupational Radiation Protection; Final Rule," 10 CFR 835, Part IV, §835.1002, Department of Energy, December 14, 1993.
- 10. M. Benedict, T. H. Pigford, and H. W. Levi, *Nuclear Chemical Engineering*, McGraw-Hill, 2nd Ed., 1981, Table 8.5 (page 370).
- 11. W. F. Weston, "Plutonium Metal Feed Specification for Use in the Weapon Program Rocky Flats Plan," Vol. I, Rev. A, Rockwell International, March 22, 1985.

# M97009138

| Report Number (14)                                 | LA-UR97-2546<br>CONF-971125            |
|--|--|
|  |  |
| Publ. Date (11) Sponsor Code (18) UC Category (19) | 199708<br>DOE/DP, XF<br>UC-707, DOE/ER |