



U.S.-China Trade Relations


The People’s Republic of China (PRC or China) is the second-largest global economy and has been a top U.S. and global trading partner since joining the World Trade Organization in 2001. China is a key export market for U.S. aircraft, agriculture, semiconductor equipment/chips, gas turbines, and medical devices, and a key source of some U.S. consumer goods and intermediates (e.g., active pharmaceutical ingredients and auto parts). China’s system integrates state and corporate interests, enabling the government to use trade tools—antidumping, antitrust, technical standards, and procurement—economic coercion, and PRC intellectual property (IP) theft activity to advantage its firms and economic development goals. PRC government policies in many cases have required firms to transfer technology and capabilities in order to operate in strategic sectors. U.S. firms have faced a lack of reciprocity, trade barriers in some key areas, a growing PRC state role in commercial activity, expanding industrial policies, and rules governing economic security and data. In February 2024, the American Chamber of Commerce said 57% of its firms lack confidence in PRC market opening.

Longstanding trade concerns raised by U.S. officials and executives have broadened into a U.S. government focus on strategic competition with China. The executive branch and Congress have acted to address PRC practices that challenge U.S. economic leadership, distort markets, and hinder fair competition. Congress continues to deliberate on approaches and the use of U.S. authorities. H.Res. 11 established in 2023 a Select Committee on the Strategic Competition between the United States and the Chinese Communist Party to develop options on a bipartisan basis.

Trade and Investment


Goods: In 2023, China was the fourth-largest U.S. goods trading partner (with total trade at \$575 billion), the fourth-largest U.S. export market (\$147.8 billion), and the second largest source of U.S. imports (\$427.2 billion). Total 2023 U.S.-China goods trade fell by 17% over 2022: U.S. exports fell by 5.1% and U.S. imports fell by 20.4% due to China’s slowdown and supply chain shifts out of China. (Figure 1.)

Figure 1. U.S.-China Goods Trade (2001-2023)




Source: CRS with data from the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis. **Services:** China in 2022 accounted for 4.5% (\$41.5 billion) of U.S. services exports and 3.8% (\$26.6 billion) of U.S. services imports. (Figure 2.) Top U.S. exports to China are travel, technology and intellectual property (IP) licensing, and transport. In 2021, sales in China by majority U.S.-owned affiliates were \$471.6 billion. U.S. sales by majority PRC-owned firms were \$65.5 billion. A 2021 Bank of America study found that 16% of U.S. Fortune 500 firms depend on China for 5% to 20% of their revenue.

Figure 2. U.S.-China Services Trade (2001-2022)



Source: CRS with data from the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis.

Investment: In 2022, the U.S. foreign direct investment (FDI) position in China was \$126.1 billion, a 9% rise over 2021. China’s FDI stock in the United States was \$28.7 billion, a 7% drop from 2021. (Figure 3.) In 2022, China accounted for 0.5% of total FDI stock in the United States, while China accounted for 1.9% of U.S. FDI stock abroad.

Figure 3. U.S.-China FDI Position (2013-2022)

Source: CRS with data from the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis.

In 2022, U.S. net portfolio investment flows to China fell sharply, followed by over \$30 billion in net outflows in 2023 due to concerns about China’s growth prospects. Investments in China by U.S. private equity (PE) funds fell from \$140 billion in 2019, to \$93 billion in 2021, to \$4 billion in 2023, according to the data firm Preqin. As of December 2023, U.S. investors held \$322 billion in PRC (mainland China and Hong Kong) long-term securities (a 13.4% drop over 2022). PRC holdings of U.S. securities were \$1.87 trillion (a 4.5% rise over 2022), according to the Treasury Department. As of January 2024, the PRC was the second-largest foreign holder of Treasuries (\$1.05 trillion) after Japan. (This does not include PRC offshore holdings.)

Terms of Trade

The PRC government controls or influences the purchase, financing, and price of some top U.S. exports to China—aircraft, semiconductors, medical equipment, agriculture, and energy. It has sought to enhance its control of this trade and reduce reliance on U.S. imports by diversifying trade and relying on industrial policies that seek to use U.S. commercial ties to develop China’s capabilities. Some U.S. firms may initially benefit from China’s need for foreign products, services, and capabilities to fill gaps while PRC policies set targets to displace foreign firms once China gains competencies. The government funds some PRC firms and acquisitions of foreign firms through preferential lending and state-funded venture capital. Efforts include:

Aerospace: To meet PRC terms, some U.S. firms have partnered with and transferred advanced U.S. technology to PRC state firms to jointly develop a PRC aircraft (C-919).

Semiconductors: The PRC government funds imports of U.S. equipment to support China’s semiconductor industry.

Electric vehicles (EV). Some PRC government policies require firms to localize supply chains for EV batteries.

Medical devices and pharmaceuticals. PRC procurement rules set fixed prices which increase cost pressures and encourage firms in these sectors to produce in China.

Biotechnology. Some PRC state firms have acquired foreign firms to enhance China’s global position.

Critical minerals. China’s dominant role in global extraction and processing supports PRC manufacturing.

Energy. Some PRC purchases of U.S. liquefied natural gas involved related PRC investment in U.S. export terminals.

Capital markets. China seeks U.S. financial investment in some strategic sectors in which it restricts U.S. competition.

Key Issues Facing Congress

The Biden Administration’s National Security Strategy defines the United States and China as being engaged in strategic competition. The Administration’s trade approach calls for the United States to “out-compete” China by investing in U.S. innovation and working with allies and partners to strengthen and diversify supply chains and to counter and constrain PRC practices of concern. The Biden Administration has sustained communication lines, and, in 2023, created bilateral working groups under the Secretaries of the Treasury and Commerce on financial, commercial, and export control issues. Some Members have promoted such efforts as a chance to express concerns and manage tensions. Other Members have said such fora should not replace U.S. actions to counter PRC practices of concern.

During the 118th Congress, some Members have proposed restricting PRC digital platforms (e.g., TikTok), addressing data security, and overseeing foreign investment reviews and export control licensing decisions. Congress has passed measures that support the U.S. semiconductor and electric vehicle sectors and counter PRC industrial policies, and is debating whether and to what degree to restrict PRC ties to these sectors. Some Members have proposed restricting PRC purchases of U.S. land and U.S. research ties with China’s military. P.L. 117-336 directed the imposition of penalties for PRC IP theft.

Tariffs. In 2018, as part of an investigation under Section 301 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. §2411), the U.S. Trade Representative (USTR) concluded that China engaged in forced technology transfer, cyber-enabled theft of U.S. IP and trade secrets, discriminatory and nonmarket licensing practices, and state-funded strategic acquisitions of U.S. assets. USTR imposed tariffs on an estimated \$370 billion worth of U.S. imports from China. The PRC countered with tariffs on \$110 billion worth of U.S. trade. China’s commitments in a 2020 “Phase One” deal did not resolve many of the concerns USTR had raised. In 2018, President Donald J. Trump acted under Section 232 of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962 to impose tariffs on PRC steel (25%) and aluminum (10%) over national security concerns about subsidies. Most Section 301 and 232 tariffs are still in effect. Congress has been examining the costs and benefits of using tariffs to address PRC practices of concern. In May 2022, USTR initiated a tariff review and is to issue results in 2024. A March 2024 Section 301 petition seeks to address PRC shipping and shipbuilding practices.

Overcapacity: Emerging technology products made under PRC industrial policies are coming to market and driving PRC overcapacity and exports in sectors such as EVs. USTR has a 25% tariff on PRC EVs which may be shielding the U.S. market from PRC EV import surges that Europe faces. Some Members have called for a return to an annual review of China’s most-favored nation status to enhance oversight of PRC trade practices. Others have said this could invite trade volatility and retaliation.

De Minimis Trade. Section 321 of the Tariff Act of 1930 allows for U.S. imports under a *de minimis* threshold to enter free of tariffs and taxes and with minimal inspection. In 2016, Congress raised the threshold from \$200 to \$800. The surge in U.S. *de minimis* imports from China since 2018 has prompted legislation proposing to raise the threshold (e.g., S. 2004, S. 1969). U.S. Customs and Border

Protection reports that between FY2018-2021, 64% (\$149 billion) of U.S. *de minimis* imports were from the PRC.

Forced Labor. Section 307 of the Tariff Act of 1930 prohibits U.S. imports of products mined, manufactured, or produced with forced labor. P.L. 117-78 prohibits imports from Xinjiang, China, under a rebuttable presumption that they are made with forced labor. Congress is focused on enforcement of this provision (e.g., H.R. 4567).

Technology. The U.S. government has restricted certain PRC entities to address its concerns that the PRC is using U.S. technology to boost the PRC's strategic capabilities. Since 2022, the Biden Administration has adopted sectoral controls on advanced semiconductor technology exports to

China and U.S. investment in some PRC technologies, and has moved to restrict bulk data transfers to China. Congress is debating the adequacy of U.S. export controls. A 2023 report released by House Foreign Affairs Committee Chair McCaul issued recommendations to address the report's findings that Commerce is approving a large number of items that it controls for national security reasons to China and that "sensitive, militarily useful items" are "not subject to any licensing requirement for transfers to China."

Karen M. Sutter, Specialist in Asian Trade and Finance

IF11284

Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.