



FY2009 Spring Supplemental Appropriations for Overseas Contingency Operations

Stephen Daggett, Coordinator
Specialist in Defense Policy and Budgets

Susan B. Epstein, Coordinator
Specialist in Foreign Policy

Curt Tarnoff
Specialist in Foreign Affairs

Rhoda Margesson
Specialist in International Humanitarian Policy

Kennon H. Nakamura
Analyst in Foreign Affairs

K. Alan Kronstadt
Specialist in South Asian Affairs

Sarah A. Lister
Specialist in Public Health and Epidemiology

July 15, 2009

Congressional Research Service

7-5700

www.crs.gov

R40531

Summary

On June 11, 2009, the House and Senate Appropriations Committees announced a conference agreement on H.R. 2346, a bill providing supplemental appropriations for the remainder of FY2009. The House passed the conference report (226 to 202) on June 16; the Senate passed it (91 to 5) on June 18. President Obama signed it into law (P.L. 111-32) on June 24.

On key issues, the agreement includes: \$5 billion, as in the Senate bill, to support U.S. loans to the International Monetary Fund, does not include a Senate provision allowing the Secretary of Defense to exempt photos of military detainees from release under the Freedom of Information Act; does not include \$80 million requested for the Department of Defense and the Department of Justice to facilitate closure of the Guantanamo Bay prison; prohibits the release of Guantanamo detainees in the United States and prohibits transfers of prisoners except to be prosecuted; provides \$1.9 billion for H1N1 pandemic flu preparedness (declared to be a pandemic by the World Health Organization on June 11), along with \$5.8 billion more, contingent on the President determining it is needed; and \$1 billion for the “Cash for Clunkers” program to provide payments to consumers who trade in their inefficient vehicles and purchase more fuel efficient ones.

Including the contingent influenza funding, the bill provides a total of \$105.9 billion in supplemental appropriations. The total includes \$79.9 billion for defense and intelligence activities in Iraq and Afghanistan; \$10.4 billion for international affairs (including food aid); \$5 billion for IMF loans; \$7.7 billion for influenza measures; \$250 million, as requested, for domestic fire fighting; \$847 million, as in the Senate bill, in unrequested funds for the Corps of Engineers for flood control projects; \$72 million, as requested, for Capitol Police radios; and \$1 billion for the “Cash for Clunkers” program.

The decision to exclude the Senate provision on detainee photos was reportedly approved in the conference only after the President agreed in a letter to take steps to prevent release of photos or videos of prisoner abuse. Because the bill does not include that provision, Democratic leaders said they were able to get enough support from House Democrats who initially opposed the bill to overcome opposition from Republicans who objected to IMF funding.

H.R. 2346 provides funds, with some adjustments, that the Administration requested in four supplemental appropriations proposals, including an April 9 request for \$83.4 billion in supplemental funding for defense, international affairs, domestic fire fighting, and other purposes; an April 30 request for \$1.5 billion for influenza preparedness and response; and a May 12 request for \$5 billion to support International Monetary Fund borrowing authority. On June 2, the Administration submitted an additional request for \$2.0 billion more for influenza response, for expanded authority to transfer funds from other appropriations for influenza measures, and for \$200 million in additional humanitarian assistance to Pakistan.

Contents

Most Recent Developments.....	6
Overview of FY2009 Supplemental Requests.....	7
April 9, 2009, Supplemental Request for Overseas Contingencies and Other Purposes	7
April 30, 2009, Supplemental Appropriations Request for Influenza Preparedness and Response	9
May 12, 2009, Supplemental Appropriations Request for International Monetary Fund Loans	9
June 2, 2009, Supplemental Appropriations Request for Additional Funding and Transfer Authority for Influenza Preparedness and Response and for Aid to Pakistan	9
Timing of the Supplemental	10
Overview of the Enacted Supplemental (P.L. 111-32).....	11
Overview of the House-Passed Bill, H.R. 2346.....	15
Highlights of Department of Defense Funding in the House-Passed Bill	16
Highlights of International Affairs Funding in the House-Passed Bill.....	18
Overview of the Senate-Passed Bill.....	18
Highlights of Department of Defense Funding in the Senate-Passed Bill.....	20
Highlights of International Affairs Funding in the Senate-Passed Bill	21
The FY2009 Defense Supplemental Request and Congressional Action.....	22
DOD's FY2009 Procurement Request	23
Non-War-Related Funding in the Defense Request	25
Enacted FY2009 Supplemental – \$4 billion Above Request for Defense.....	26
Major Issues in Congressional Action on the Defense Request.....	27
Added Congressional Funding for Major Weapons Programs	27
Defense Department Assistance to Pakistan.....	28
Congressional Final Action	29
Defense Department Role in Border Security with Mexico	29
Congressional Final Action	29
Congressional Final Action on Oversight Provisions	30
The FY2009 International Affairs Supplemental Request and Congressional Final Action	31
The Administration's Request.....	31
Congressional Final Action	32
Other FY2009 Supplemental Funding Requests and Congressional Action	37
Responding to the H1N1 Influenza Pandemic.....	37
Administration Request for Influenza Funding	37
House Action on Influenza Funding	39
Senate Action on Influenza Funding	40
Enacted Influenza Funding	41
Other Supplemental Funding Requests	42
Guantanamo Bay Prison Shutdown	43

Tables

Table 1. Congressional Action on FY2009 Supplemental by Title	14
Table 2. House and Senate Action on FY2009 Supplemental Appropriations: Department of Defense Funding by Title	17
Table 3. Defense Bridge and Supplemental Funding by Title, FY2007-FY2009 Enacted.....	22
Table 4. DOD Breakdown of Supplemental Funding by Functional Category: FY2007-FY2009.....	24
Table A-1. FY2009 Supplemental and Iraq Reconstruction: Request and Conference Report.....	45
Table B-1. FY2009 Supplemental and Afghanistan Aid: Request and Conference Report.....	49
Table C-1. FY2009 Supplemental Appropriations for Pakistan	54
Table F-1. FY2008 and FY2009 Bridge and Supplemental Defense Appropriations: Detail by Bill and Account	62
Table G-1. Table G-1: Congressional Action on FY2009 Supplemental (H.R. 2346/P.L. 111-32) by Title, Department, Program, and Account	73

Appendixes

Appendix A. FY2009 Supplemental Appropriations for Iraq Reconstruction.....	44
Appendix B. FY2009 Supplemental Appropriations for Assistance to Afghanistan	48
Appendix C. FY2009 Supplemental Appropriations for Assistance to Pakistan.....	52
Appendix D. FY2009 Supplemental Appropriations for Other Humanitarian Assistance	55
Appendix E. FY2009 Supplemental Appropriations for Support of the International Monetary Fund	60
Appendix F. FY2008 and FY2009 Defense Funding, Detail Table	62
Appendix G. FY2009 Supplemental Request and Congressional Action.....	73

Contacts

Author Contact Information	87
----------------------------------	----

Most Recent Developments

On June 11, 2009, the House and Senate Appropriations Committees announced a conference agreement on H.R. 2346, a bill providing supplemental appropriations for the remainder of FY2009. The House passed the conference report (H.Rept. 111-151) with a vote of 226 to 202 on June 16; the Senate passed it (91 to 5) on June 18. The full text of the conference agreement was released June 12. President Obama signed the bill into law (P.L. 111-32) on June 24.

The agreement includes \$5 billion, as in the Senate bill, to support U.S. loans to the International Monetary Fund, and does not include a Senate provision allowing the Secretary of Defense to exempt photos of military detainees from release under the Freedom of Information Act. Because the bill did not include that provision, there was enough support from House Democrats who initially opposed the bill to overcome opposition from Republicans who objected to IMF funding.

On June 2, 2009, the White House submitted a request for additional FY2009 supplemental appropriations of \$2.0 billion for influenza preparedness and response and \$200 million for humanitarian assistance to Pakistan.

On May 21, by a vote of 86-3, the Senate approved H.R. 2346, a bill providing additional supplemental appropriations for the remainder of FY2009. The House passed its version of the bill on May 14 by a vote of 368-60. Senate approval cleared the bill for consideration by a House-Senate conference committee.

Procedurally, on May 19, the Senate took up the House-passed supplemental bill and substituted the text of S. 1054, a version reported by the Senate Appropriations Committee on May 14. As reported and subsequently approved on the floor, the Senate bill provided \$91.3 billion, of which \$5 billion was to support loans to the International Monetary Fund (IMF) that were not part of the Administration's pending request, but that fulfill commitments that have been under discussion since last Fall. Apart from the IMF funds, the Senate bill provided \$86.3 billion, \$1.3 billion above the request. The House-passed version of the bill provided supplemental appropriations of \$96.3 billion, \$11.4 billion more than the Administration's amended request.

Earlier, the Administration submitted three requests for FY2009 supplemental appropriations that were addressed in the pending House and Senate bills. On April 9, 2009, the White House requested \$83.4 billion in supplemental appropriations for defense, international affairs, domestic fire fighting, and some other purposes. On April 30, 2009, following influenza outbreaks in Mexico and in parts of the United States, the White House requested \$1.5 billion for influenza preparedness and response measures. On May 12, the White House submitted a formal request for supplemental appropriations and for legislative language to support IMF loans in response to the global financial crisis.

Congress had provided down-payments on FY2009 war-related supplemental funding last year. On June 30, 2008, the President signed into law, P.L. 110-252, H.R. 2642, a bill providing supplemental appropriations for FY2008 and FY2009. The bill included \$65.9 billion for defense and \$4.0 billion for foreign affairs in FY2009. The Department of Defense (DOD) funding was mainly for operation and maintenance accounts, and was intended, together with money in the regular FY2009 defense appropriations act, to sustain ongoing military operations through the first few months of that fiscal year.

Overview of FY2009 Supplemental Requests

Between April 9, 2009 and June 2, 2009, the Administration submitted four requests for FY2009 supplemental appropriations, including:

- An April 9, 2009, request for \$83.4 billion in net additional FY2009 funding, mainly for defense and international affairs, with smaller amounts for domestic fire fighting, Department of Energy counter-proliferation programs, Justice Department programs including measures to facilitate closure of the Guantanamo Bay prison, National Security Council administration, and Capitol Police radios;
- An April 30, 2009, request for \$1.5 billion to be appropriated to the Executive Office of the President for transfer to other agencies for H1N1 influenza preparedness and response measures;
- A May 12, 2009, request for appropriations of \$5 billion to support U.S. financial backing of International Monetary Fund loans in response to the global financial crisis; and
- A June 2, 2009, request for an additional \$2.0 billion for influenza preparedness and response, \$200 million for humanitarian assistance to Pakistan, and several billion dollars of transfer authority for influenza response. The influenza-related funding and transfer authority were to be contingent on the President determining that additional resources are required to address critical needs related to emerging influenza viruses.

April 9, 2009, Supplemental Request for Overseas Contingencies and Other Purposes¹

On April 9, 2009, the Administration requested a net total of \$83.4 billion in additional supplemental appropriations for FY2009, comprised of \$86.8 billion in new appropriations, offset by \$3.4 billion of rescissions of previously appropriated funds. Of the total in the initial April 9 request,

- \$75.5 billion was for Department of Defense and intelligence activities related to operations in Iraq and Afghanistan;
- \$3.7 billion, offset by rescissions of \$3.4 billion, was for other defense activities;
- \$7.1 billion was for international affairs;
- \$89.5 million was for Department of Energy counter-proliferation programs in Russia, North Korea, and elsewhere;
- \$47 million was for Department of Justice national security related programs, including \$30 million to implement executive orders for shutting down the Guantanamo Bay prison and for related expenses (an additional \$50 million for

¹ White House Office of Management and Budget, "Estimate #1—FY 2009 Supplemental: Appropriations Request that will Fund Our Ongoing Military, Diplomatic, and Intelligence Operations," April 9, 2009, <http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/asset.aspx?AssetId=1086>.

moving prisoners out of Guantanamo Bay was in the request for the Department of Defense);

- \$2.9 million was for operations of the National Security Council;
- \$250 million was for the Forest Service and the Department of the Interior for fire fighting and rehabilitation of burned areas; and
- \$71.9 million was for the Legislative Branch to purchase secure radios for the Capitol Police.

Together with \$65.9 billion that Congress had already provided, if approved by Congress, the April 9 request for the Department of Defense would have brought the total supplemental defense funding for FY2009 to \$145 billion, partly offset by \$3.4 billion of rescissions.

The \$7.1 billion supplemental request for international affairs included:

- \$2.3 billion for State Department operations, including
 - \$261.5 million for Afghanistan Operations;
 - \$36.5 million for Pakistan Operations;
 - \$898.7 million for embassy security and construction;
 - \$836.9 million for international peacekeeping;
- \$4.8 billion for foreign assistance programs, including
 - \$980.0 million for aid to Afghanistan;
 - \$482.0 million for aid to Iraq;
 - \$497.0 million for aid to Pakistan;
 - \$715.0 million for aid to West Bank/Gaza;
 - \$500.0 million for humanitarian assistance; and
 - \$448.0 million to developing countries affected by the global financial crisis.

Together with \$4.0 billion provided in June 2008, the additional amount requested for foreign affairs would have brought the total supplemental appropriations for FY2009 to just over \$11 billion. In practice, however, there is often little to distinguish between what is being provided in the base budget and what has been funded with supplementals. Both regular and supplemental appropriations have been used to finance State Department operations in Iraq and Afghanistan and a wide range of foreign aid programs in Afghanistan and elsewhere. Of the \$4.0 billion in FY2009 supplemental foreign affairs funding provided in June, 2008, \$1.1 billion was for State Department accounts, of which \$550.5 million was for operations in Iraq, and \$2.9 billion was for country foreign aid allocations and international food assistance.

April 30, 2009, Supplemental Appropriations Request for Influenza Preparedness and Response²

On April 30, 2009, the White House requested an additional \$1.5 billion in FY2009 supplemental appropriations for pandemic flu preparedness and response measures. All of the money was requested to be appropriated to the Executive Office of the President in a new account entitled “Unanticipated Needs for Influenza,” available for transfer to other agencies.

May 12, 2009, Supplemental Appropriations Request for International Monetary Fund Loans³

On May 12, the White House formally sent Congress a request for supplemental appropriations and for legislative language to support increases in borrowing authority for the International Monetary Fund. One part of the proposal was to support an increase of about \$8 billion in the U.S. quota subscription to the IMF. A second part is to support an increase in U.S. support for the IMF’s “New Arrangements to Borrow” (NAB). This proposal would increase the overall NAB from \$50 billion to \$500 billion of which the U.S. share would be limited to \$100 billion. Since these amounts represent increases in amounts the IMF may borrow, the cost to the U.S. Treasury is nil if all the loans are repaid. Appropriations are required by congressional budget procedures governing credit and credit guarantees only to cover the amount of assumed risk to the Treasury of defaults on the loans. The Congressional Budget Office and the Office of Management and Budget have agreed to estimate the appropriations required at about \$5 billion. In the past, U.S. payments to the IMF have been considered to have no budget impact—they have been treated as an exchange of assets, with equivalent assets being transferred to the United States.⁴

June 2, 2009, Supplemental Appropriations Request for Additional Funding and Transfer Authority for Influenza Preparedness and Response and for Aid to Pakistan⁵

On June 2, the White House submitted an additional supplemental appropriations request for influenza preparedness and for aid to Pakistan. The OMB Director’s cover letter to the President, submitted as usual to Congress along with the request, notes that the House approved \$2.05 billion and the Senate \$1.5 billion for influenza response in their respective versions of H.R. 2346, the pending supplemental appropriations bill. The letter complained that neither the House

² White House Office of Management and Budget, “Estimate #2—FY 2009 Supplemental: Enhancing the Nation’s Capability to Respond to the 2009-H1N1 Flu Outbreak,” April 30, 2009, <http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/asset.aspx?AssetId=1150>.

³ White House Office of Management and Budget, “Estimate #3—FY 2009 Supplemental: Request for Changes Related to the International Monetary Fund (IMF), including the U.S. Quota Subscription to the IMF and U.S. Participation in the New Arrangements to Borrow,” May 12, 2009, <http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/asset.aspx?AssetId=1232>.

⁴ For a discussion, see CRS Report R40578, *The Global Financial Crisis: Increasing IMF Resources and the Role of Congress*, by Jonathan E. Sanford and Martin A. Weiss

⁵ White House Office of Management and Budget, “Estimate #5—FY 2009 Supplemental: Additional and Contingent Appropriations Request and Other Authorities to Enhance the Nation’s Capability to Respond to Emerging Flu Viruses; and an Appropriations Request to Address the Growing Humanitarian Crisis in Pakistan,” June 2, 2009, <http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/asset.aspx?AssetId=1349>.

nor the Senate provided the degree of flexibility in using the funds that is necessary. The letter said OMB will urge Congress to approve the House level with the flexibility originally requested. In addition, the Administration requested \$2.0 billion more, appropriated as in the April 30 request to the Executive Office of the President in an account for Unanticipated Needs for Influenza for transfer to other agencies. The funding was proposed to be available, however, only “if the President determines that additional resources are required to address critical needs related to emerging influenza viruses.”

In addition to \$2.0 billion requested in contingent appropriations, the proposal requested authority, also contingent on the President determining that additional resources are required, for the Director of OMB to transfer substantial amounts of funds appropriated for other purposes to meet influenza-related needs and to use balances of funds in the BioShield reserve fund for influenza countermeasures. The amounts available under these proposals included:

- Up to 1% of amounts appropriated in Division A of P.L. 110-5, the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA). In all, Division A would have appropriated \$311.2 billion, so the supplemental request would have allowed transfers of as much as \$3.1 billion.⁶
- Up to 1% of discretionary funds available to the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) in FY2009, including balances of funds provided in prior years. FY2009 discretionary budget authority for HHS totals \$78.5 billion, not including \$22.5 billion provided for HHS in ARRA. The supplemental request would have allowed transfer of at least \$785 million plus 1% of unobligated balances of prior year appropriations.⁷
- Remaining funds in the “Biodefense Countermeasures” account in the Department of Homeland Security (i.e., the BioShield Special Reserve Fund) for procurement of medical countermeasures for influenza (e.g., vaccines, antiviral drugs, and laboratory tests). The Special Reserve Fund, which the White House proposed for transfer to HHS in its budget request for FY2010, was estimated to have a balance of \$2.76 billion at the end of FY2009.⁸

For Pakistan, the June 2 supplemental proposal asked for an additional \$200 million in humanitarian assistance, including \$40 million for migration and refugee assistance, \$130 million in the Economic Support Fund account to assist displaced people, and \$30 million in international disaster assistance.

Timing of the Supplemental⁹

As has been the case for the past couple of years, Congress was under some pressure to act quickly on the Administration’s supplemental request. In testimony on April 30, 2009, Secretary

⁶ See CRS Report R40537, *American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (P.L. 111-5): Summary and Legislative History*, by Clinton T. Brass et al., Table 1.

⁷ See Office of Management and Budget, *Budget of the United States Government, Fiscal Year 2010: Historical Tables*, May 2009, Table 5.4.

⁸ Executive Office of the President, Office of Management and Budget, *Appendix, Budget of the U.S. Government, Fiscal Year 2010*, May 2009, p. 544, <http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/budget/fy2010/assets/appendix.pdf>.

⁹ Final update of Defense sections written by Amy Belasco.

Gates suggested that “some operations funds will begin to run out in July,” and that if the supplemental were not enacted by Memorial Day, DOD would “need to consider options to delay running out of funds,” a dilemma that DOD has faced each year since 2004. In addition to using war funding enacted in the FY2009 bridge, the services temporarily tap their baseline funding in order to finance war costs longer, a practice known as cash flowing that has been used frequently in recent years. If necessary, DOD could also extend funding into early August by using its authority to transfer funds between accounts.¹⁰

In the past two years, congressional action on war-related supplemental funding has taken until the end of June, and this year’s request came several weeks later than usual. While Iraq policy, the most contentious issue in recent years, was not in play this year, other issues such as the closing of Guantanamo, release of pictures of detainees, and funding for the IMF proved difficult to resolve. The bill was signed by the President on June 24, 2009 in advance of when Army funds were expected to run out.

Overview of the Enacted Supplemental (P.L. 111-32)

The Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2009 (P.L. 111-32), includes a total of \$105.9 billion in supplemental appropriations, including \$5.8 billion for flu preparedness that is contingent on the President determining that the money is needed to respond to the illness. (For summary of funding by title, see **Table 1**. For account-by-account funding, see **Appendix G**.) The supplemental provides:

- \$79.9 billion for defense and intelligence activities in Iraq and Afghanistan;
- \$10.4 billion for international affairs, including \$700 million for P.L. 480;
- \$5 billion for loans to the IMF;
- \$7.7 billion for influenza preparedness and response, including \$1.9 billion available immediately, and \$5.8 billion contingent on the President determining that the funding is necessary to respond to the outbreak. Of amounts available immediately, \$350 million is for state and local response measures, and \$50 million is in the Global Health and Child Survival Fund for international measures;
- \$250 million, as requested, for domestic fire fighting;
- \$72 million, as requested, for Capitol Police radios;
- \$847 million, as in the Senate bill, in unrequested funds for the Corps of Engineers for disaster-related flood control projects; and
- \$1 billion as initial funding for the “Cash for Clunkers” program to provide vouchers of \$3,500 or \$4,500 for consumers who trade in inefficient vehicles for more efficient new ones.

¹⁰ CRS Report RL33110, *The Cost of Iraq, Afghanistan, and Other Global War on Terror Operations Since 9/11*, by Amy Belasco.

Defense funding in the enacted version totals \$79.9 billion, \$4 billion above the request and includes:

- \$4.0 billion more than requested for procurement including \$2.7 billion more for C-17 and C-130 cargo aircraft; \$1.8 billion for additional light-weight Mine Resistant Ambush Protected (MRAP) vehicles for Afghanistan; \$500 million for National Guard and reserve procurement; \$200 million more for Stryker vehicles, partly offset by cuts for pricing, non-war requests, and unrealistic plans;
- \$600 million, as requested, for 4 F-22 fighter aircraft but with a ban on using any of these funds to shut down the line;
- an additional \$534 million to provide retroactive payments of \$500 per month to all service members serving since 9/11 whose enlistments were extended by stop-loss orders, extending a benefit provided last year to those currently in the force;¹¹
- \$1.1 billion in Coalition Support and lift and sustain funds for assistance to nations, mainly in the region, that have supported U.S. operations in Iraq and Afghanistan, \$250 million below the request;
- \$3.6 billion for training the Afghan Security Forces, and an extension of the availability of \$1 billion previously appropriated for the Iraq security forces;
- \$400 million, as requested, for Defense Department-administered counterinsurgency assistance to Pakistan, with direction to transfer responsibility to the State Department in FY2010, which is given \$700 million for the following year;
- \$1.1 billion for Defense Health including an additional \$155 million for rehabilitation equipment (\$20 million), psychological health and Traumatic Brain Injury (\$75 million) and orthopedic research (\$51 million) to address major war-related health problems;¹² and
- \$2.7 billion for military construction including not only funding for facilities in Afghanistan but also \$276 million for childcare centers, \$440 million for warrior transition centers in the United States, and \$488 million in unrequested construction funds for military hospitals.

For international affairs, the enacted supplemental provides \$10.4 billion, about \$3.3 billion above the request, including:

- \$1.4 billion, \$9 million above the request for assistance and diplomatic operations and facilities in Afghanistan;
- \$1.3 billion, \$1.2 billion above the request, for the Foreign Military Financing Program;

¹¹For more information, see CRS Report R40121, *U.S. Military Stop Loss Program: Key Questions and Answers*, by Charles A. Henning.

¹² According to DOD, 42,600 service members have been diagnosed with Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) and 58,300 with Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI); see *H.Rept. 111-105*, p. 28.

- \$958 million, \$326 million above the request for assistance and diplomatic operations and facilities in Iraq;
- \$2.4 billion, \$800 million above the request, for assistance and diplomatic operations and facilities in Pakistan, including \$700 million for counterinsurgency training and assistance through the State Department to be available in FY2010;
- \$660 million for aid to the West Bank and Gaza;
- \$300 million in unrequested foreign aid to Jordan;
- \$315 million in unrequested assistance to Egypt;
- \$555 million for assistance to Israel, using the supplemental to provide part of the \$2.8 billion requested in the regular FY2010 budget;
- \$700 million, \$400 million above the request, for P.L. 480 international food assistance;
- \$288 million, \$155 million above the request, for aid to Kenya, Somalia, Southern Sudan, and Zimbabwe;
- \$420 million, \$354 million above the request, for aid to Mexico; and
- \$256 million, \$192 million below the request, for aid to developing countries affected by the global financial crisis.

The act also addresses a number of major policy issues, including:

- **Guantanamo detainees.** The act does not include \$50 million requested for the Department of Defense and \$30 million for the Department of Justice to facilitate closure of the Guantanamo Bay prison. Sec. 14103 also prohibits release or transfer of Guantanamo prisoners in the United States except for prosecution; requires a report to Congress 15 days in advance that assesses risks before the transfer or release of prisoners to another country; and requires a classified report to Congress on the disposition of each detainee before the facility can be closed.
- **Policy toward Afghanistan and Pakistan.** The enacted measure does not impose “benchmark-like” conditions on further U.S. government assistance to Afghanistan or Pakistan, but it does establish two new reporting requirements: 1) a one-time report by February 2010 or earlier assessing whether the governments of Afghanistan and Pakistan are demonstrating sufficient “commitment, capability, conduct and unit of purpose” to warrant continuing the President’s March 2009 strategy for these two countries (see Section 1116, P.L. 111-32; for details, see final Congressional action section below); and 2) the act requires that, no later than 90 days after enactment or by late September 2009, the President submit a report listing U.S. objectives and the metrics for evaluating progress for Afghanistan and Pakistan, with updates every 180 days until the end of FY2011 (see Section 1117, P.L. 111-32, and final Congressional action section below.)
- **Prohibition on Permanent Bases in Iraq or Afghanistan.** The sections 314 and 315 of the act prohibit establishment of permanent bases in either Iraq or Afghanistan.

Controversy also developed over a provision proposed by Senators Graham, Lieberman and McCain that would have prohibited the release of additional photographs of detainee abuse because of concern that their release would endanger U.S. troops. In response to a Presidential letter and a June 11, 2009 ruling to stay their release issued by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 2nd Circuit, Congress dropped that provision. The President promised, if necessary, to pursue other measures to prevent the release of photographs.¹³

Table I. Congressional Action on FY2009 Supplemental by Title

Budget authority in millions of dollars

BY TITLE	Request	House	Senate	Conference Agreement	Conference vs. Request	Percent of Enacted Total
Title I: Agriculture, incl. P.L. 480	300.0	500.0	700.0	771.3	471.3	1%
Title II: Commerce and Justice	47.1	17.1	202.1	202.1	155.0	0%
Title III and Title X: Defense, War Costs ^a	75,835.9	84,499.9	75,274.2	79,886.8	4,050.9	75%
Title IV: Defense (Civil) and Energy	89.5	55.0	932.2	882.2	792.7	1%
Title V: Executive Office of the President, Judiciary, and Independent Agencies	2.9	2.9	1,530.9	34.9	32.0	0%
Title VI: Homeland Security	0.0	0.0	287.5	287.5	287.5	0%
Title VII: Interior, and Agriculture	250.0	250.0	250.0	250.0	0.0	0%
Title VIII: Health and Human Services, and Education	3,500.0	1,850.0	82.0	7,732.0	4,232.0	7%
Title IX: Legislative Branch	71.6	71.6	73.6	73.6	2.0	0%
Title XI: Department of State & U.S. Foreign Aid	7,048.1	9,470.5	6,920.6	9,700.2	2,652.1	9%
Title XII: Transportation and Housing and Urban Development	0.0	0.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	0%
Title XIII: Consumer Assistance to Recycle and Save, "Cash for Clunkers"	0.0	0.0	0.0	1,000.0	1,000.0	1%
Title XIV: International Monetary Fund Loan Expansion	5,000.0	0.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	0.0	5%
GRAND TOTAL	92,145.1	96,717.0	91,283.1	105,850.5	9,133.6	100%

Source: House Appropriations Table, "Supplemental Appropriations Act 2009 (H.R. 2346) Conference Agreement (H.Rept. 111-151) in *Congressional Record*, p. H5871ff.

Notes: CRS calculations based on source above. See Table G-1 for account level funding.

a. Funds for the Department of Defense were provided in both Title III and Title X.

¹³ Congressional Quarterly, "War Spending Measure Heads to Senate After Close House Vote," by Josh Rogin, June 16, 2009.

Overview of the House-Passed Bill, H.R. 2346

On May 7, the House Appropriations Committee marked up its version of a bill, H.R. 2346, providing additional FY2009 supplemental appropriations of \$96.3 billion. The House approved the bill by a vote of 368-60 on May 14 with a manager's amendment that was incorporated into the bill when the House approved the rule for floor consideration. The amount in the bill was \$11.4 billion above the amended Administration request. As initially proposed by Appropriations Committee Chairman David Obey, the pre-markup version of the bill provided \$94.2 billion. In its markup of the bill, the committee approved an amendment by Representative Murtha, Chairman of the Subcommittee on Defense, adding \$2.0 billion to the Chairman's proposal for military personnel accounts.¹⁴ The effect of the Murtha amendment was to restore funds for military personnel to about the levels that the Defense Department had requested.

The bill established funding levels for defense, international affairs, and influenza preparedness, and also addressed a number of key issues policy issues, including conditions on aid to Pakistan, assistance to North Korea, and the status of Administration plans to shut down the Guantanamo Bay prison. Highlights of the committee bill as of May 7 included the following:

- **Defense.** Provided a total of \$84.5 billion for the Department of Defense, including military construction, an increase of \$8.7 billion to the request of \$75.8 billion (net of offsetting rescissions).
- **International affairs.** Provided a total of \$9.6 billion for international affairs programs (including P.L. 480 food assistance), an increase of \$2.4 billion compared to the request. (Of the total in the bill, \$9.0 billion was in Chapter 10, which provided foreign operations and State Department appropriations, and \$500 million was for P.L. 480 food assistance included in Chapter 1, which provided agriculture appropriations.)
- **Influenza preparedness.** Provided \$2.05 billion for influenza preparedness, an increase of \$550 million over the \$1.5 billion requested. Of the total in the bill, \$1.85 billion was for the Department of Health and Human Services and \$200 million was in the foreign affairs budget for Global Health and Child Survival.
- **North Korea.** Rejected a request for \$34.5 million in Department of Energy non-proliferation funds to dismantle nuclear facilities in North Korea and rejected \$95 million requested for energy assistance to North Korea in the foreign assistance accounts.
- **Aid to Pakistan.** Provided \$400 million to the Department of Defense, as requested, for the Pakistan Counterinsurgency Fund to finance training and other assistance to the Pakistani military. The Chairman's mark of the bill originally transferred the funds to the Department of State, but Representative Obey offered a manager's amendment at the beginning of the committee markup that restored the funds to the Department of Defense. In the foreign assistance portion of the bill, the committee measure provided \$897 million, \$91 million above the request, for construction of facilities and for diplomatic operations in Pakistan, and \$529 million of economic assistance.

¹⁴ Representative Murtha's amendment was a substitute for an amendment by Representative Bill Young.

- **Conditions on assistance to Pakistan and Afghanistan.** The apparently deteriorating security situation in Pakistan was the focus of extensive discussion in congressional hearings with Administration witnesses on the supplemental appropriations request. Administration officials strongly objected to legislated benchmarks on the performance of the Pakistani government, arguing that conditions on aid would not improve U.S. leverage but would more likely foster resistance to U.S. efforts. Instead of setting benchmarks tied to funding, the House Appropriations Committee bill included a requirement that the President submit a report to Congress no later than February 2010, when the FY2011 budget would be submitted, evaluating the conduct and commitment of the governments of Afghanistan and Pakistan. The report was to include assessments of each nation's level of political commitment to confront security challenges; level of corruption and efforts to counter it; performance of security forces in counterinsurgency operations and in establishing population security; intelligence cooperation with the United States; and the ability to effectively control its territories. House Appropriations Committee Chairman David Obey said that the White House did not object to the House provision.
- **Closure of the Guantanamo Bay Prison.** Rejected the Administration request for \$50 million for the Department of Defense to transfer prisoners out of the Guantanamo Bay facility and also rejected \$30 million requested for the Department of Justice for a task force to facilitate legal activities associated with the closure. The committee noted that the Administration had not presented a specific plan for shutting down the prison and said that funds could be reprogrammed when a plan was decided upon.
- **Border security and counternarcotics assistance to Mexico.** Approved \$350 million requested for the Department of Defense for counternarcotics activities on the Mexican border, including up to \$100 million for transfer to other federal agencies. In the foreign aid chapters of the bill, provided \$160 million for Mexico in the International Narcotics Control And Law Enforcement (INCLE) account, \$94 million above the request—\$66 million was requested to purchase Blackhawk helicopters. The bill also added \$310 million for Mexico in the Foreign Military Financing Program for surveillance planes, helicopters, other equipment, and support activities.

Highlights of Department of Defense Funding in the House-Passed Bill

On major issues in the defense portion of the bill, the House bill,

- Added \$6.0 billion for weapons procurement, including the following:
 - \$2,245.2 million in unrequested funds for 8 C-17 cargo aircraft—the FY2010 defense budget request, released on May 7, proposes terminating the program,
 - \$904.2 million in unrequested funds for 11 C-130 cargo aircraft,
 - \$110.0 million for MQ-9 Reaper UAVs (the request included \$195.8 million),
 - \$432.7 million for 24 additional upgraded Army AH-64 attack helicopters (the request included \$354.3 million),

- \$90.0 million for 3 additional Army CH-47 cargo helicopters (the request included \$120.0 million),
- \$338.4 million for additional Stryker wheeled combat vehicles (the request included \$112.7 million),
- \$500.0 million in unrequested funds for National Guard and Reserve Equipment, and
- \$2,150 million for 800 additional lighter MRAP All Terrain Vehicles for Afghanistan (the request included \$2,693.0 million for 1,000 vehicles).
- Provided \$735 million for payments of \$500 per month, including retroactive payments, to personnel who were prevented from leaving the service at the end of their enlistments because of “Stop Loss” orders;
- Added \$100 million in the Defense Health Program for Brain Injury R&D and \$68 million for orthopedic R&D;
- Cut \$346 million in military personnel accounts for enlistment and reenlistment bonuses because of reduced accession requirements and improved recruiting and retention results;
- Cut \$300 million in operation and maintenance funds to reflect the committee’s direction to replace U.S. contractors providing contract support services and logistics support with Iraqis;
- Cut \$240.0 million from the \$1,050 billion requested in Coalition Support Funds for assistance to Pakistan, Jordan and other key cooperating nations, and cut \$150 million in “Lift and Sustain” assistance to cooperating nations to deploy forces in Iraq and Afghanistan.

Table 2 compares defense funding in the House bill with the Administration request by bill title.

**Table 2. House and Senate Action on FY2009 Supplemental Appropriations:
Department of Defense Funding by Title**
(millions of dollars)

	FY2009 Amended Request April 9, 2009 April 30, 2009	House- Passed Supplemental Appropriations H.R. 2346 May 14, 2009	House Change to Request	Senate Appropriations Committee Supplemental Appropriations S. 1054 May 14, 2009	Senate Change to Request
Military Personnel	16,187.4	17,943.9	+1,756.6	18,014.5	+1,827.1
Operation and Maintenance	29,971.2	29,416.6	-554.6	28,771.3	-1,200.0
Special Funds	5,888.7	5,688.7	-200.0	6,123.7	+235.0
Procurement	21,862.4	27,888.3	+6,026.0	21,889.5	+27.1
RDT&E	809.9	721.9	-88.0	885.9	+76.0
Military Construction/Family Housing	2,294.5	3,200.0	+905.5	2,250.7	-43.8
Other Defense Programs	1,060.0	1,244.0	+184.0	1,042.2	-17.8

	FY2009 Amended Request April 9, 2009 April 30, 2009	House- Passed Supplemental Appropriations H.R. 2346 May 14, 2009	House Change to Request	Senate Appropriations Committee Supplemental Appropriations S. 1054 May 14, 2009	Senate Change to Request
Revolving and Management Funds	846.7	846.7	—	861.7	+15.0
General Provisions	-3,085.0	-2,450.6	+634.4	-4,565.3	-1,480.3
Total Department of Defense	75,835.9	84,499.7	+8,663.8	75,274.2	-561.7

Source: CRS based on data from Department of Defense; House Appropriations Committee report on H.R. 2346 providing supplemental appropriations for FY2009, H.Rept. 111-105; Senate Appropriations Committee report on S. 1054 providing supplemental appropriations for FY2009, S.Rept. 111-20.

Highlights of International Affairs Funding in the House-Passed Bill

Other committee recommendations on international affairs included the following:

- \$1.0 billion, \$421.9 million above the Administration request, for State’s Diplomatic and Consular Programs; of this, \$404.0 million was for worldwide security, \$448.9 for operations in Afghanistan, and \$157.6 million along with authority for the Secretary of State to transfer funds to other U.S. government agencies for a civilian experts surge;
- \$2.9 billion for the Economic Support Fund (ESF), of which \$529.5 million was for Pakistan, \$70.0 million for Afghanistan, and \$556.0 million for the West Bank and Gaza. The committee reduced ESF funds requested for “Countries Impacted by the Global Financial Crisis” by \$148.0 million from \$448.0 million to \$300.0 million, to be available for aid to Haiti, Liberia, Indonesia and other nations;
- \$1.3 billion, \$1.25 billion above the President’s request for the Foreign Military Financing (FMF) Program. Of this amount, the Committee recommended \$310.0 million for Mexico, \$74.0 million for Lebanon; \$150.0 million for Jordan, \$260.0 million in FMF grants for Egypt; and \$555.0 million in FMF grants for Israel.

Overview of the Senate-Passed Bill

On May 21, by a vote of 86-3, the Senate approved its amended version of H.R. 2346, providing supplemental appropriations for FY2009. The Senate-passed measure incorporated, with further amendments on the floor, the provisions of S. 1054, a version reported by the Senate Appropriations Committee on May 14. In all, as reported by the committee and as passed by the Senate, the bill provided \$91.3 billion in FY2009 supplemental appropriations, of which \$5 billion was to support U.S. loans to the International Monetary Fund (IMF) that were not considered in the House bill. The remainder of the bill provided \$86.3 billion, \$1.3 billion above the amended Administration request. The bill provided \$75.4 billion for the Department of Defense (including military construction), which was \$561 million below the request. The

reduction was mainly due to the committee's decision to allocate to other agencies, including the Department of Homeland Security and the Department of Justice, \$350 million for Southwest border security that the Administration had requested be appropriated to the Department of Defense. The bill also added \$240 million for additional border security measures and \$843 million for Corps of Engineers disaster-related programs.

In floor action on the bill, only a few amendments were agreed to and none affected the total amount of funding in the bill. In action on selected floor amendments the Senate—

- Approved by voice vote an amendment by Senator Lieberman to exempt photographs showing treatment of U.S. detainees from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act;
- Approved by voice vote an amendment by Senator Corker to require the development of objectives for U.S. policy in Afghanistan and Pakistan;
- Approved by voice vote an amendment by Senator McCain to provide \$42.5 million for the Republic of Georgia, out of other funds approved in Title XI – the State Department/Foreign Operations title of the bill; and
- By a vote of 30-64, rejected an amendment by Senator DeMint to eliminate funding provided in the bill for loans to the IMF.

Highlights of the Senate-passed bill included the following:

- **Department of Defense funding:** Provided \$75.4 billion for the Department of Defense, of which \$73.0 billion was in regular defense appropriations, \$518 million below the request, and \$2.25 billion was for military construction, \$44 million below the request.
- **International affairs funding:** Provided \$7.6 billion for international affairs funding, \$430 million above the request. Of the total \$700 million was for P.L. 480 food assistance, \$400 above the request, and \$6.9 billion was for the State Department and foreign operations.
- **Influenza preparedness and response:** Provided \$1.5 billion, the amount requested, for influenza preparedness. The committee agreed to appropriate the funds to an account in the Executive Office of the President, renamed “Pandemic Preparedness and Response.” Rather than provide authority, as for the President to allocate funds to other agencies, the bill specified the amounts to be transferred to the Department of Health and Human Services, Department of Homeland Security, and other agencies.
- **Guantanamo prison closure:** Initially, unlike the House, the Senate committee agreed to provide \$50 million requested for the Department of Defense and \$30 million for the Department of Justice to facilitate closure of the Guantanamo Bay prison. The committee prohibited obligation of the defense funds, however, until 30 days after the Secretary of Defense provides Congress with a specific plan for their use. The provision also required that funds may be used only to transfer prisoners to locations outside the United States. In floor debate, the Senate adopted an amendment by 90-6 an amendment by Senators Inouye and Inhofe to eliminate the funds and to prohibit the use of funds in the supplemental or in prior legislation to transfer, release, or incarcerate detainees held at Guantanamo Bay to or within the United States.

- **Defense Department Assistance to Pakistan:** The committee approved \$400 million requested for Pakistan Counterinsurgency Capabilities Fund in the Department of Defense for assistance to Pakistani security forces. The committee expressed concern about the role of the Defense Department, however, and directed the Administration to develop a plan to provide future assistance through the State Department and to report on the plan within 90 days. The committee also put a limit of \$200 million on the amount of money in the fund that could be used for humanitarian purposes, saying it wished to limit the amount of overseas humanitarian aid being provided by DOD rather than State.
- **Added funds for Corps of Engineers projects:** The committee provided \$843 million in unrequested funds for the Corps of Engineers, including \$39 million to restore damaged navigation channels, \$315 million to prepare for natural disaster, and \$489 million for gulf coast barrier island restoration.
- **North Korea counterproliferation assistance:** As did the House, the Senate committee rejected \$34.5 million requested to dismantle nuclear facilities in North Korea. Instead, the committee provided the same amount to the Department of Energy to analyze nuclear weapons intelligence.
- **Southwest border security:** The committee rejected the Administration request that \$350 million to be appropriated to the Department of Defense for border security; instead it added \$250 million and allocated the total to other agencies, including \$140 million for additional Department of Homeland Security personnel for border enforcement support teams, \$100 million for the Department of Justice for additional agents and investigators to cooperate with Mexican authorities, and \$100 million for the Departments of Health and Human Services and Homeland Security for added shelter and transportation costs for unaccompanied alien children.

Highlights of Department of Defense Funding in the Senate-Passed Bill

On major issues in the defense portion of the bill, the Senate—

- In the military personnel accounts, added \$489 million to fully fund increased Army and Marine Corps end-strength, added \$1.4 billion to cover identified shortfalls in some FY2009 accounts, and cut \$140 million in Army recruitment and retention bonuses to reflect easier recruiting due to changes in the economy.
- Provided an additional \$1 billion for the Iraq Security Forces Fund, which pays for equipment and training of Iraqi forces. The Administration requested that Congress rescind and then reappropriate \$1 billion that had previously been provided in order to extend the availability of the money, but the Senate committee rejected the rescission, with the effect of providing \$1 billion in additional funding.
- Provided \$21.9 billion for weapons procurement, about equal to the request, but with some changes. On major programs the committee—
 - Added \$1.55 billion to the \$2.7 billion requested for Mine Resistant Ambush Protected (MRAP) Vehicles, as did the House;

- Added \$500 million for National Guard and Reserve equipment, as did the House;
- Selectively scrubbed funding requests to eliminate money not required to replace combat losses or meet established equipment reset requirements—the committee rejected, for example, \$354 million requested for AH-64 attack helicopter modifications, \$237 million requested for Improved Recovery Vehicle modifications, and \$386 million requested for Family of Medium Tactical Vehicle trucks.
- Added \$246 million for Navy P-3 aircraft wing modifications and \$150 million for Air Force A-10 aircraft re-wing kits.
- Did not follow the House in adding funds for C-17 or C-130 cargo planes.
- Provided \$498 million for F-22 fighter aircraft compared to \$600 million requested—specifically rejected \$147 million for shut-down funding and added \$45 million to fully fund the aircraft request.
- Cut \$350 million from the \$1.5 billion requested for the Joint Improvised Device Defeat Organization (JIEDO) to reflect the pace of funding obligations.

Highlights of International Affairs Funding in the Senate-Passed Bill

On international affairs, the Senate bill provided:

- \$645.4 million, \$51 million more than the Administration's request, for State's Diplomatic and Consular Programs; of this \$410 million would be for operations in Afghanistan, including \$10 million above the public diplomacy request to focus on radio broadcasts in the Afghan-Pakistan border region and programs focused on Arab youth, \$45.5 million for operations in Pakistan, and \$150 million for operations in Iraq. Operational funds for Afghanistan would also include \$57 million for an embassy air capability to move diplomats and USAID staff around Afghanistan and \$135.6 million for State to reimburse other federal agencies such as the Departments of Agriculture and Justice that contribute personnel for the civilian surge to help develop the country.
- \$820.5 million for Embassy Security, Construction and Maintenance, \$78.2 million below the Administration's request. Of this amount, \$10 million would be allocated to Afghanistan and \$805 million to Pakistan.
- \$721 million for Contributions for International Peacekeeping, \$115.9 million below the request to fund peacekeeping missions in the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Central Africa Republic and Chad. The bill does not support CIPA funds to support the African Union peacekeeping mission in Somalia (AMISOM), but includes funding for CIPA under Peacekeeping Operations account with transfer authority.
- \$2.8 billion for the Economic Support Fund, \$46.5 million below the requested level. Of the total, \$866 million would be allocated to Afghanistan, \$439 million to Pakistan, \$439 million to Iraq, \$556 million to the West Bank/Gaza, as well as funds going to Jordan, Burma, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, North Korea, Somalia, Yemen, and Zimbabwe.

- \$345 million for Migration and Refugee Assistance, \$52 million above the Administration request. Funding allocation of these funds would include \$25 million for returning refugees in Afghanistan, \$25 million for those needs in Africa, \$5 million for refugees in Burma, \$15 million for internally displaced persons (IDPs) in Sri Lanka, and \$5 million for IDPs in Colombia.
- \$172.9 million for Peacekeeping Operations, \$122.9 million above the requested funding level. Of this amount, \$155.9 million would support the African Union peacekeeping mission in Somalia via transfer to the State Department’s Contributions for International Peacekeeping Account (CIPA).
- The bill placed prohibition on assistance to Hamas, placed limitations on certain assistance to Mexico, and required a report.
- The bill also authorized an Overseas Comparability Pay for the Foreign Service who are at the mid-level and lower ranks to receive, as salary, an income when posted abroad during 2009 that would be equivalent to being posted in Washington, D.C.

The FY2009 Defense Supplemental Request and Congressional Action

With the new FY2009 request added to the \$65.9 billion provided last June, total supplemental defense funding proposed for FY2009 amounted to \$145 billion, offset by \$3.4 billion of rescissions. Though Congress may well add to the request, this is substantially less than the amount of DOD supplemental funding provided in the past two years, which totaled \$170 billion in FY2007 and \$187 billion in FY2008. The decline is not due to the withdrawal of U.S. forces from Iraq, which has only begun, and which is offset by planned additions to U.S. forces in Afghanistan. Rather, the change is due almost entirely to a reduction in the amount requested for weapons procurement, which falls from \$65 billion in FY2008 to \$28 billion in FY2009.

Table 3 shows the April 9 defense request, compared to amounts of supplemental defense appropriations in FY2007 and FY2008, and final Congressional action on the FY2009 Supplemental request.

Table 3. Defense Bridge and Supplemental Funding by Title, FY2007-FY2009 Enacted

(millions of dollars)

	FY2007 Bridge and Supplemental Funding Enacted	FY2008 Bridge and Supplemental Funding Enacted	FY2009 Bridge Fund Enacted June 2008	FY2009 Supplemental Request April 2009	FY2009 Supplemental Enacted June 24, 2009	FY2009 Enacted Total
Military Personnel	18,894.5	19,137.8	1,194.0	16,658.3	18,726.2	19,920.2
Operation and Maintenance	76,597.9	81,708.6	51,916.0	29,971.2	28,540.1	80,456.2
Special Funds	17,707.8	13,816.3	5,000.0	5,888.7	5,123.7	10,123.7
Procurement	45,418.0	64,896.2	6,135.3	21,862.4	24,846.7	31,981.9

	FY2007 Bridge and Supplemental Funding Enacted	FY2008 Bridge and Supplemental Funding Enacted	FY2009 Bridge Fund Enacted June 2008	FY2009 Supplemental Request April 2009	FY2009 Supplemental Enacted June 24, 2009	FY2009 Enacted Total
RDT&E	1,506.4	1,745.5	387.8	809.9	833.5	1,221.3
Military Construction/Family Housing	4,807.0	4,245.3	—	2,294.5	2,275.3	2,725.3
Other Defense Programs	1,120.5	2,328.9	1,288.0	1,060.0	1,185.2	2,473.2
Revolving and Management Funds	3,356.5	2,842.6	—	846.7	861.7	846.7
General Provisions/Rescissions	1.0	-3,718.0	—	-3,555.9	-3,955.8	-3,955.8
Total Department of Defense	169,409.7	187,003.3	65,921.2	75,835.9	79,886.7	145,807.8
Other Agency Defense Programs	91.0	168.6	—	89.5	55.0	55.0
Total National Defense	169,500.6	187,171.9	65,921.2	75,918.9	79,941.7	145,862.8

Source: CRS from House Appropriations Committee for enacted amounts and Department of Defense for FY2009 request. FY2009 Supplemental Enacted from *Congressional Record*, June 16, 2009, p. H6872ff and p. H 6878.

Notes: Totals may not add due to rounding. “Other Defense Programs” includes defense health, drug interdiction, and inspector general funding. “Special Funds” includes the Iraqi Freedom Fund, Iraq Security Forces Fund, Afghanistan Security Forces Fund, Pakistan Counterinsurgency Capability Fund, and Joint IED Defeat Fund. DOD documents often show Joint IED Defeat Fund amounts as Procurement and other special funds as Operation and Maintenance. All funding for the Mine Resistant Ambush-Protected (MRAP) vehicle fund is shown as Procurement.

DOD’s FY2009 Procurement Request

The decline in procurement in the FY2009 Supplemental request, in turn, was due in large part to a substantial reduction in acquisition of Mine Resistant Ambush-Protected (MRAP) vehicles, with the entire request at the time purchased in FY2007 and FY2008. MRAP funding in FY2008 totaled \$16.8 billion.¹⁵ Congress provided \$1.7 billion for MRAPs in FY2009 in the June 2008 supplemental appropriations act. In the new supplemental request, the Defense Department asked for \$2.7 billion more, for a total of \$4.4 billion in FY2009, \$12.4 billion less than in FY2008.

In addition, the FY2009 procurement total included substantially less than in FY2007 and FY2008 for what the Defense Department refers to as “reconstitution” of the force, much of which is funded in procurement accounts. DOD breaks down reconstitution into three elements:

- “Replenishment” of stocks, mainly of ammunition and missiles, consumed in military operations and in training for deployment;
- “Replacement” of equipment lost in battle or used to a point at which repair is uneconomical—in practice a substantial amount of new equipment has been purchased not only to replace losses, but also to upgrade capabilities; and

¹⁵ For MRAPs, \$5.2 billion was provided as emergency appropriations in the first FY2008 Continuing Resolution, P.L. 110-92, enacted on September 29, 2007, and \$11.63 billion was provided as emergency appropriations in a general provision of the regular FY2008 defense appropriations act, P.L. 110-116, enacted on November 13, 2007.

- “Repair” of equipment both in theater and at domestic depots, including overhaul of equipment at the end of an operation to meet standards for the requirements of deploying units.

Table 4 shows the Defense Department’s breakdown of FY2007, FY2008, and FY2009 funding by functional category, including amounts for replenishment and other elements of supplemental funding. Total funding for replenishment, by DOD’s accounting, increased from \$36.3 billion in FY2007, to \$50.4 billion in FY2008, then decreased to \$23.2 billion in FY2009. Funding for force protection, which includes amounts for MRAPs, nearly doubled from \$12.4 billion in FY2007 to \$23.9 billion in FY2008, but then decreased to \$14.3 billion in FY2009.

Other significant decreases include a drop in the request for funding for Iraqi security forces from \$5.5 billion in FY2007 and \$3.0 billion in FY2008 to \$1.0 billion in FY2009. This was partly offset by a doubling in funding for the Afghan security forces from a total of \$2.7 billion in FY2008 to \$5.6 billion in FY2009.

**Table 4. DOD Breakdown of Supplemental Funding by Functional Category:
FY2007-FY2009**

(amounts in billions of dollars)

	FY2007 Bridge and Supplemental Funding Enacted	FY2008 Bridge and Supplemental Funding Enacted	FY2009 Bridge Fund Enacted June 2008	FY2009 Supplemental Request April 2009	FY2009 Total
Continue the Fight					
Operations	76.6	77.5	38.2	38.0	76.2
Force Protection	12.4	23.9	4.5	9.8	14.3
IED Defeat	4.4	4.2	2.0	1.5	3.5
Military Intelligence Program	3.4	4.9	1.4	3.8	5.1
Iraq Security Forces	5.5	3.0	1.0	–	1.0
Afghan Security Forces	7.4	2.7	2.0	3.6	5.6
Pakistan Counterinsurgency	–	–	–	0.4	0.4
Coalition Support	1.4	1.4	0.3	1.4	1.7
CERP ^a	1.0	1.7	1.0	0.5	1.4
Military Construction	0.9	1.3	–	0.9	0.9
Total Continuing the Fight	113.0	120.5	50.4	59.9	110.2
Reconstitution	36.3	50.5	11.6	11.6	23.2
Additional Requests					
BCT/RCT ^b	3/6	–	–	–	–
Growth the Force/Accelerate Grow the Force	1.5	0.5	0.1	2.2	2.3
Wounded Warrior/Family Support	0.9	1.9	0.8	1.6	2.5
Border Security with Mexico	–	–	–	0.4	0.4

	FY2007 Bridge and Supplemental Funding Enacted	FY2008 Bridge and Supplemental Funding Enacted	FY2009 Bridge Fund Enacted June 2008	FY2009 Supplemental Request April 2009	FY2009 Total
Military Personnel, Army	–	–	–	0.5	0.5
Other and Non-DOD Classified	14.2	13.7	2.9	3.1	6.1
Total Additional Requests	20.2	16.1	3.9	7.8	10.9
Total	169.5	187.1			
Rescissions	–	–	–	-3.4	-3.4
Net Total	169.5	187.1	65.9	75.8	141.7

Source: Department of Defense, *Fiscal Year 2009 Supplemental Request: Summary Justification*, April 2009, Table 2, p. 82.

Notes:

- a. CERP is the Commanders' Emergency Response Program which provides funds for commanders to pay for small scale local development projects.
- b. BCT/RCT refers to Brigade Combat Team/Regimental Combat Team upgrades.

Non-War-Related Funding in the Defense Request

In addition to amounts for ongoing operations in Iraq and Afghanistan, the defense request included funds for other programs, including the following:

- *Accelerate Growth the Force.* \$2.2 billion was requested for increased personnel end-strength in the Army and Marine Corps. The Army is in the process of adding 65,000 active duty troops and the Marine Corps 27,000 to personnel levels compared to numbers at the end of FY2004. Most of the cost of added personnel is now being paid for in the base defense budget. Both services, however, have benefited from improved recruitment, mainly due to the poor economy, to achieve higher end-strength goals earlier than had been planned. Supplemental funding was requested to cover costs of the acceleration of Army and Marine Corps end-strength increases.
- *Wounded Warrior, Family Support, and National Capital Region Base Realignment.* \$1.6 billion was requested for measures to improve health care for wounded personnel; for improved support to military families—mainly increases in child care facilities; and to accelerate closure of the Walter Reed Army Medical Center in Washington, D.C., and opening of the new Walter Reed National Military Medical Center in Maryland and the Fort Belvoir Army Community Hospital in Virginia.
- *Border Security with Mexico.* \$350 million was requested for counternarcotics activities and related activities along the Mexican border. Measures could include assigning National Guard personnel to patrol the border. Up to \$100 million was requested to be available for transfer to other Federal agencies.
- *Army Personnel Funding Shortfall.* \$471 million, offset by an equivalent amount of rescissions in Army funds, was requested to correct some shortfalls in the Army base budget for military personnel. The shortfalls are due to a delay in

redeployment of soldiers from Europe to the United States, which has increased costs of overseas housing allowances and cost of living allowances; to the use of the National Guard in support of the Presidential inauguration; and increased Army Reserve attendance rates. The Army could finance these adjustments by reprogramming funds, but the Defense Department would prefer not to use so much of its limited transfer authority for this purpose.

Enacted FY2009 Supplemental – \$4 billion Above Request for Defense

As enacted, the FY2009 Supplemental (H.R. 2346/P.L. 111-32) included \$79.942 billion for national defense, some \$4 billion higher than the Administration's request, bringing the total for the year to \$145.8 billion. The enacted version splits the difference between the \$84.5 billion recommended by the House and \$75.3 billion recommended by the Senate (see **Table 3**). The chief reasons for the higher amount in the enacted version of the FY2009 Supplemental include:

- an additional \$2.1 billion for military personnel to cover unanticipated adjustments to rates for pay and benefits and higher strength levels reflecting better-than-anticipated recruiting and retention;
- an additional \$534 million to extend eligibility for \$500 per month payments for all active-duty and reserve personnel whose tours were extended using “stop loss” authority since the 9/11 attacks rather than only those members currently in the force.¹⁶
- a \$4 billion increase to procurement bringing the total in the act to \$25.8 billion reflecting primarily Congressional adds for C-17 and C-130 transport planes, Stryker and Bradley fighting vehicles, higher funding for the new lighter MRAPs to be sent to Afghanistan; the total for FY2009 is still less than half of the FY2008 total reflecting a change in the definition of war-related procurement;
- a total of \$80.5 billion including some \$1.4 billion in savings in Operation and Maintenance funding from hiring Iraqis to provide support services as well as savings from assuming historical spending rates;
- higher funding to train Afghan Security Forces fund including the \$3.6 billion requested in the supplemental, bringing the total for the year to \$5.6 billion;
- a cut of \$350 million to the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund (JIEDDF) based on slower than planned spending;
- Military Construction funding of \$2.7 billion, \$430 million more than requested primarily for military hospitals in the United States;
- \$453 million as requested for Commanders Emergency Response Program (CERP) bringing the total for the year to \$1.4 billion; and

¹⁶ Section 310 requires current or former military personnel to submit claims within one year of when DOD enacts regulations, required to be issued within 120 days of enactment.

- a \$2 billion cap on transfers of funding within the bill rather than the \$4 billion requested, and rejects a DOD request for an additional \$1.5 billion in transfer authority applying to baseline funding in the regular FY2009 appropriations act.

Major Issues in Congressional Action on the Defense Request

A number of issues arose as Congress considered the Administration request. Some were resolved by the House and Senate Appropriations Committees, but others remained potential matters of debate in House and Senate conference discussions. Issues included whether to approve congressional additions of funds for major weapons programs, whether the Department of Defense rather than the Department of State should be funded to provide security assistance to Pakistan; and whether less funding or more should be provided to the Defense Department for border security with Mexico.

Added Congressional Funding for Major Weapons Programs

In preliminary discussions of the defense supplemental, Representative Murtha, the Chairman of the House Defense Appropriations Subcommittee, and Senator Inouye, the Chairman of the Senate panel, both stated their intention to add significant amounts to the request for several major weapon systems. Additions they and others mentioned include funding for a new mid-air refueling tanker for the Air Force, the multi-service F-35 Joint Strike Fighter, the Navy F/A-18E/F multirole fighter, the Navy E-2D radar plane, and Army Stryker wheeled armored vehicles. Additions to military personnel accounts were proposed to cover monthly stipends of up to \$500 for service members prevented from leaving at the end of their enlistments by “stop loss” orders. Representative Murtha reportedly said that he might propose adding as much as \$16-18 billion to the request.¹⁷

The Administration included funds for four Air Force F-22 fighter aircraft in the supplemental request, though Secretary of Defense Gates subsequently announced plans to terminate the program. Funding for the aerial tanker program was a matter of extensive debate, and there remain disagreements in Congress about proposals to require that purchases be split between competing bidders. The Air Force is preparing to recompet the contract, with bids expected from Boeing and a team of Northrop Grumman and EADS. The Northrop Grumman-EADS team won a competition last year only to have the award overturned by the Comptroller General after Boeing appealed. Several Members of Congress have urged splitting the contract between both bidders, but the Air Force and other legislators argue that a split will increase costs significantly.

Congressional Final Action

Neither the House nor the Senate Appropriations Committees added as much money to the Administration request as some had discussed. In the end, the House committee added \$6 billion for weapons procurement, while the Senate committee shifted funds out of some programs and into others with no significant effect on the total.

¹⁷ Josh Rogin, “Lawmakers See Last Chance for Procurement Funds in Supplemental,” *CQ Today*, March 3, 2009.

Notably, neither committee added funds for Air Force aerial tankers. House subcommittee Chairman Murtha said he tried to get agreement on a proposal to add funds and to require that funding be split between competing bidders, but could not do so and therefore agreed not to add money for the program.

The House added \$2.245 billion for 8 C-17 cargo aircraft and \$904 million for 11 C-130 variants. The Senate did not provide funds for either program. Conferees provided \$2.170 billion for eight C-17s and \$504 million for seven C-130 variants.

The House added \$2.15 billion and the Senate added \$1.55 billion to the \$2.7 billion requested for variants of the Mine Resistant Ambush Protected (MRAP) vehicle to be used in Afghanistan. The conference agreement provided a total of \$4.5 billion for MRAPs vehicles, and required that the funds be spent on a small version better suited to the Afghan terrain than the larger MRAPs used in Iraq.

Conferees also provided the \$600 million requested for four F-22 fighters and barred use of any of those funds to shut down the F-22 production line.

In other action relating to weapons procurement and upgrades, the conferees,

- Added \$500 million for equipment for National Guard and reserve units;
- Added \$200 million for new Stryker armored combat vehicles and \$243 million to refurbish Bradley troop carriers;
- Added \$433 million to upgrade the “A” model Apache helicopters of one National Guard battalion to “D” model aircraft, with more sophisticated combat electronics;
- Rejected the request for \$253 million to equip C-17 and C-130 cargo planes with equipment to jam anti-aircraft missiles aimed at the planes; conferees said the Air Force would be unable to install the equipment within the next year.

Conferees also added to the bill \$165 million to cover the cost of repairing three Navy ships damaged in collisions or groundings.

Defense Department Assistance to Pakistan

The Administration request included \$400 million in funding for the Defense Department in a new account called the Pakistan Counterinsurgency Capability Fund. The purpose of the funding is to provide equipment, support, and training to Pakistani security forces in combating insurgent forces on its territory. Traditionally, funding for such security assistance is provided through the State Department, while the Defense Security Cooperation Agency (DSCA), a part of the Defense Department, generally administers provision of the assistance. While Congress has supported the financing of similar activities in Iraq and Afghanistan through the Defense Department, many legislators have urged that other security assistance continue to be provided through the State Department, as in the past, in order to ensure that it is coordinated with overall foreign policy goals. The House Appropriations Committee has been particularly assertive recently, in urging that assistance to Africa and other areas now being provided through the Defense Department should be returned to the State Department.

Congressional Final Action

In congressional hearings on the supplemental, both Secretary of Defense Gates and Secretary of State Clinton argued that the State Department is currently not prepared to administer such a large amount of security assistance to Pakistan and urged that funding be provided through defense. The initial House Chairman's mark of the supplemental bill provided \$400 million for the Pakistan Counterinsurgency Capabilities Fund through the State Department. At the start of the May 7 committee markup, however, Chairman Obey, offered a manager's amendment to shift the funds back to the Defense Department, and the committee approved the bill with that change. The Senate Appropriations Committee provided funding as requested through the Defense Department, but required a report by the Secretary of State on means of managing funding through the State Department in the future.

The enacted conference agreement provided \$400 million for Department of Defense counterinsurgency assistance to Pakistan. It also appropriated \$700 million, to be available in FY2010, in the State Department for the Pakistan Counterinsurgency Capabilities Fund. Following the sentiment in both houses, the conference report required the Administration to move management of the Pakistan counterinsurgency funding to the Department of State in FY2010, relying on DOD only in the immediate future.¹⁸

Defense Department Role in Border Security with Mexico

Escalating drug-related violence in Mexico has emerged as a significant security issue for the United States, particularly along the U.S.-Mexico border where kidnapping and gun battles threaten to spill over into the United States. The supplemental appropriations request included \$350 million for the Defense Department for counternarcotics and other activities on the border with Mexico, including assistance to other federal agencies. Up to \$100 million may be transferred to other agencies. The international affairs supplemental requests included an additional \$66 million in the International Narcotics Control and Law Enforcement (INCLE) account for assistance to Mexico under the Mérida initiative. Those funds were for the purchase of three Blackhawk military utility helicopters.

Congressional Final Action

In Congress, some argue that funding for counternarcotics assistance for Mexico should be provided through the State Department, as is the current Mérida Initiative, rather than through the Defense Department. There has also been some support, however, for a larger DOD role. The House-passed supplemental provided \$350 million, as requested for the Department of Defense for counternarcotics and related activities on the Mexican border. In the foreign affairs accounts, it also provided \$66 million, as requested, for narcotics control and added \$310 million in Foreign Military Financing (FMF) funds for aviation support to Mexico, including funds for surveillance planes and medium lift helicopters. The Senate bill rejected the request for Defense Department funds and instead allocated the money to other agencies, along with \$250 million in additional funding. The enacted conference agreement provided \$420 million in foreign assistance funding to Mexico, \$354 million above the request. It also added \$140 million for Department of Justice

¹⁸ H.Rept. 111-151, p. 85.

programs that address violence and narcotics trafficking on the southwest border, and \$158 million for Department of Homeland Security border security measures.

Congressional Final Action on Oversight Provisions

While the FY2009 Supplemental largely endorses the Administration's policies to withdraw troops gradually from Iraq and increase troops in Afghanistan this year, P.L. 111-32, the enacted version of H.R. 2346, requires several reports to assess the effectiveness of the new policies.

Iraq Withdrawal Report

Sec. 316 requires a detailed report 90 days after enactment, or in late September 2009, and every 90 days thereafter through September 2010 on the status of the withdrawal including:

- a monthly description of the movement of U.S. troops, equipment, and contractors out of Iraq, and how these comply with the Administration's plans to withdraw all combat brigades by August 31, 2010 and all U.S. forces by December 31, 2011;¹⁹
- the roles and responsibilities of contractors including how many may remain after the 2010 and 2011 deadlines; and
- how the Iraqi government is dealing with reconciliation initiatives during the transition.

This report is to be submitted either separately or along with the Iraq Metrics report required by Section 9204 of the FY2008 Supplemental (P.L. 110-252).

Afghanistan and Pakistan Progress Reports

Two reports are required on Afghanistan and Pakistan. The first, based on a provision in H.R. 2346, the agreement requires the President to submit a one-time report not later than February 2010, assessing whether the governments of Afghanistan and Pakistan are demonstrating sufficient "commitment, capability, conduct and unity of purpose" to warrant continuing the President's March 2009 strategy for those two countries. For each country, the report is to include:

- the level of political consensus;
- corruption and actions to eliminate it;
- counterinsurgency (COIN) efforts by their security forces;
- cooperation by local intelligence agencies on counterterrorism efforts;
- the effectiveness of government control; and
- the contribution of U.S. assistance to meeting these objectives.

¹⁹ For an analysis of troop levels and costs for the Iraq withdrawal and the increases in Afghanistan, see CRS Report R40682, *Troop Levels in the Afghan and Iraq Wars, FY2001-FY2012: Cost and Other Potential Issues*, by Amy Belasco.

The second reporting requirement in Sec. 1117, based on the Senate-passed bill, requires that not later than 90 days after enactment, or by late September 2009, the President submit a report listing U.S. objectives for Afghanistan and Pakistan, together with the metrics to be used to evaluate progress toward each objective. Then, every 180 days thereafter until the end of FY2011, the enacted bill requires that the President submit a report assessing U.S. government progress toward each objective, together with a justification of any changes to the metrics themselves, and an estimate of additional resources or authorities needed.

The FY2009 International Affairs Supplemental Request and Congressional Final Action

The Administration's Request

On April 9, the President requested \$7.1 billion in FY2009 supplemental funds for the Department of State and USAID. The supplemental request for the Department of State totaled \$2.3 billion, of which \$594.3 million was for Diplomatic and Consular Programs (D&CP)²⁰ for Iraq, Afghanistan, and Pakistan; \$898.7 million for Embassy Security, Construction and Maintenance's (ESCM) improved, secure facilities in Afghanistan and Pakistan in order to accommodate the civilian surge proposal; \$7.2 million for the Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction (SIGAR); and \$836.9 million for the Contributions to International Peacekeeping Account (CIPA). On June 2, the President requested \$200 million of additional supplemental funds for Pakistan within the Migration and Refugee Assistance, Economic Support Fund, and International Disaster Assistance accounts.

As the U.S. government continues to turn over responsibilities to the Government of Iraq, about 40% of the D&CP account for Iraq (\$150 million) would pay for anticipated lease costs for facilities that have been occupied by the United States at no cost previously. Approximately 85% of the requested D&CP funds for Afghanistan (\$261.5 million) was to accommodate the civilian staffing surge proposal by the State Department and other federal agencies to complement increased military operations in Afghanistan. In Pakistan, while funding was provided for increased U.S. staffing at the Embassy in Kabul, approximately 85% of the requested funding (\$36.5 million) was for increased public diplomacy initiatives in that country.

The total proposed FY2009 supplemental for USAID was \$5.0 billion. The overall emphasis of the request included: supporting key frontline states—Afghanistan (\$980.0 million), Pakistan (\$697.0 million), and Iraq (\$482.0 million); aid for urgent global needs—migration and refugee assistance (\$333.0 million), P.L. 480 title II food aid (\$300.0 million), international disaster assistance (\$200.0 million), and aid to developing countries affected by the global financial crisis (\$448.0 million). Regional priorities included: North Korea, support of phase III of the six party talks (\$142.0 million); support to stabilize Georgia (\$243.0 million); West Bank and Gaza (\$715.0 million); and the Mérida program in Mexico (\$66.0 million) to buy 3 Blackhawk helicopters.

²⁰ The Diplomatic and Consular Programs (D&CP) account provides for operations, public diplomacy, security, the civilian surge, and the work of the Inspectors General in Afghanistan, Iraq, and Pakistan.

Reflecting the Obama Administration's focus on the war in Afghanistan and a new counter-insurgency strategy that raises the profile of non-military methods, the FY2009 supplemental request would significantly increase economic aid efforts in both Afghanistan and Pakistan provided under the 150 account, State, Foreign Operations appropriations portion of the proposed legislation. The newly requested funding for Afghanistan, totaling \$980 million, was within three accounts—\$839 million in the Economic Support Fund (ESF), \$129 million in the International Narcotics Control and Law Enforcement (INCLE) account, and \$12 million in the Non-proliferation, Anti-terrorism, Demining, and Related programs (NADR) account. More than a third of the new funding request was devoted to improving governance at all levels of the Afghan government, including anti-corruption measures and other efforts to strengthen the justice system.

The request for aid to Pakistan would double the level of FY2008. The FY2009 supplemental request was \$697 million—\$429.5 million in ESF, \$200 million for humanitarian assistance, \$65.5 million in INCLE, and \$2 million in NADR funds. Its emphasis was almost entirely devoted to supporting Pakistan's economic growth and stability, supplementing that government's IMF Standby Agreement with possible programs to strengthen its social safety net and provide budget support.

Congressional Final Action

The enacted FY2009 Supplemental Appropriation Act, 2009 (P.L. 111-32) provides \$10.4 billion for State Department, Foreign Operations, and P.L. 480 food aid. The funding level represents an increase of more than \$3.0 billion, or 41% more for International Affairs (150 function) accounts than the \$7.4 billion the Administration had requested. For the Department of State, Congress increased the funding level to \$2.7 billion, 17% more than had been requested. For Foreign Operations, Congress increased funding to \$7.0 billion, 49% more than requested. The enacted bill provides \$700.0 million for P.L. 480 food aid, 133% more than the \$300.0 million requested. **Tables 5 and 6** show details of the international affairs supplemental funding provided in the Supplemental Appropriations Act, FY2009 (P.L. 111-32).

Out of 20 accounts for International Affairs, Congress boosted all but four above the Administration's supplemental request. Accounts with significant increases include State's D&CP account which is \$997.9 million or \$403.6 million above the request. The increase in D&CP reflects significant congressional increases for Iraq and Afghanistan operations and security, as well as added funding for worldwide security protection. Included within the Afghanistan operations is a \$42.0 million to develop an air mobility for the Department of State and USAID, and \$10 million above the request for enhanced public diplomacy. Security in the D&CP account for Afghanistan is also increased by \$15 million. Funding for Iraq is \$486.0 million, or \$336.0 million above the \$150.0 million requested by the Administration. The Appropriations Committee explains that this is for activities included in the Administration's regular FY2010 budget request to assist in the transition of U.S.-Iraqi diplomatic relations.

Congress increased by \$22.8 million the funding above the requested level of \$898.7 million for the Embassy Security, Construction, and Maintenance account. This funding is to provide for land acquisition and development for the facility in Afghanistan to accommodate the planned civilian surge, as well as for facilities in Islamabad, Lahore, and Peshawar, Pakistan.

The only State Department account that Congress did not increase supplemental funding over the Administration's request was for U.S. Contributions to International Peacekeeping. The Administration requested \$836.9 million, but was provided \$721.0 million, a 14% decrease

amounting to \$115.9 million. The conferees explained that additional funds were provided for peacekeeping in Somalia within the Foreign Operations Peacekeeping Operations (PKO) account.

Within the Foreign Operations, Congress provided \$150.0 million for Global Health and Child Survival for which the Administration had no request. Of that, \$50 million is to support global pandemic preparedness and response and \$100.0 million for additional U.S. contributions to the Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis, and Malaria.

Congress increased funding by \$1.2 billion for the Foreign Military Financing Program (FMF). The total amount of \$1.3 billion expands aviation support for the Mexican Navy and provides \$69.0 million for assistance to Lebanon. In addition, mindful of the FY2010 budget request for assistance to the following countries, the account is to provide \$150.0 million for assistance to Jordan, \$260.0 million in FMF grants to Egypt, and \$555.0 million for FMF grants to Israel.

Within the FY2009 supplemental act, funding of \$700.0 million for the Pakistan Counterinsurgency Capability Fund (PCCF) is provided to the Department of State. It becomes available September 30, 2009 and remains available through FY2011. The conference report (H.Rept. 111-151, p. 135) states that the Secretary of State is the principal advisor to the President on foreign policy matters, and thus, the PCCF should be under the authority of the Department of State (DOS) working in close coordination with the Department of Defense (DOD). It should be noted that Congress also provided PCCF funding for FY2009 to the Department of Defense, and both Departments are to coordinate activities and plan for the transition from DOD to DOS.

Supplemental funds for P.L. 480 Title II food aid grants, within the International Affairs 150 Function but appropriated in Title I Department of Agriculture, amounted to \$700.0 million to remain available until expended, as proposed by the Senate. (The House had proposed \$500.0 million.) This reflects a 133% increase as compared to the Administration's request of \$300.0 million.

The Economic Support Fund (ESF) is among the few international affairs accounts for which Congress provided less funding than was requested. Congress provided \$2.9 billion, \$30.9 million below the request, largely because of reduced funding within ESF to Pakistan, Iraq, and North Korea, as well as a reduction of \$192.4 million in assistance to Developing Countries Affected by the Global Financial Crisis.

Table 5. FY2009 Supplemental Appropriations for International Affairs by Account
(in Millions of current \$)

	Request	House	Senate	Enacted
State Dept				
Diplomatic and & Consular Programs	594.3	1,016.2	645.4	997.9
Embassy Security	898.7	989.6	820.5	921.5
Office of Inspector General	7.2	17.1	22.2	24.1
Contributions to International Peacekeeping	836.9	836.9	721.0	721.0
Total	2,337.1	2,859.9	2,209.1	2,664.5

Foreign Operations

	Request	House	Senate	Enacted
USAID Operating Expenses	152.6	152.6	112.6	157.6
Capital Investment Fund	48.5	48.5	48.5	48.5
Office of Inspector General	---	3.5	3.5	3.5
Global Health & Child Survival	---	300.0	50.0	150.0
Development Assistance	38.0	---	38.0	---
International Disaster Assistance	230.0	200.0	245.0	270.0
Economic Support Fund	3,004.5	2,907.5	2,828.0	2,973.6
Assist Europe, Eurasia & Central Asia	242.5	242.5	230.0	272.0
Int'l Narcotics Control and Law Enforcement	389.5	483.5	393.5	487.5
Migration & Refugee Assist.	333.0	343.0	345.0	390.0
Nonproliferation, anti-terrorism, demining	122.0	98.5	102.0	102.0
Peacekeeping Operations	50.0	80.0	172.9	185.0
Int'l Military Education & Training	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Foreign Military Financing Program	98.4	1,349.0	98.0	1,294.0
Pakistan Counterinsurgency Fund	(400.0) ^a	400.0	---	700.0
Total Foreign Operations	4,711.0	6,610.6	4,711.5	7,035.7
Total State & Foreign Operations	7,048.1	9,470.5	6,920.6	9,700.2
P.L. 480 Food aid	300.0	500.0	700.0	700.0
Total Int'l Affairs (150 Function)	7,348.1	9,970.5	7,620.6	10,400.2

Source: Department of State.

a. Budget request includes \$400.0 million under Title III.

Table 6. FY2009 Foreign Assistance Supplemental by Country/Program
(In Millions of current \$)

	Request	House	Senate	Enacted
AFRICA	133.0	151.0	273.9	288.0
Democratic Republic of the Congo	10.0	10.0	25.0	25.0
PKO	(10.0)	(10.0)	(15.0)	(15.0)
ESF	---	---	(10.0)	(10.0)
Kenya	38.0	18.0	38.0	35.0
ESF	---	(18.0)	---	(35.0)
DA	(38.0)	---	(38.0)	---
Somalia	40.0	80.0	165.9	178.0
PKO	(40.0)	(70.0)	(155.9)	(168.0)
ESF	---	(10.0)	(10.0)	(10.0)

	Request	House	Senate	Enacted
Zimbabwe - ESF	45.0	28.0	45.0	40.0
Sudan - ESF	---	15.0	---	10.0
EAST ASIA AND PACIFIC	155.0	13.0	40.0	13.0
Burma - ESF	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0
North Korea	142.0	---	27.0	0.0
NADR	(47.0)	---	(27.0)	(0.0)
ESF	(95.0)	---	(0.0)	(0.0)
EUROPE AND EURASIA	242.5	242.5	230.0	272.0
Georgia - AEECA	242.5	242.5	200.0	242.0
Krygyzstan - AEECA	---	---	30.0	30.0
NEAR EAST	1,295.4	2,386.0	1,452.0	2,367.0
Iraq	482.0	482.0	472.0	461.0
IMET	(2.0)	(2.0)	(2.0)	(2.0)
ESF	(449.0)	(449.0)	(439.0)	(439.0)
INCLE	(20.0)	(20.0)	(20.0)	(20.0)
NADR	(11.0)	(11.0)	(11.0)	---
Israel - FMF	---	555.0	0.0	555.0
Egypt	---	310.0	2.0	312.0
FMF	---	(260.0)	---	(260.0)
PKO	---	---	(2.0)	(2.0)
ESF	---	(50.0)	---	(50.0)
Jordan	---	250.0	150.0	300.0
ESF	---	(100.0)	(150.0)	(150.0)
FMF	---	(150.0)	0.0	(150.0)
Lebanon - FMF	98.4	74.0	98.0	69.0
West Bank and Gaza	715.0	715.0	715.0	660.0
INCLE	(109.0)	(109.0)	(109.0)	(109.0)
NADR	(50.0)	(50.0)	(50.0)	(0.0)
ESF	(556.0)	(556.0)	(556.0)	(551.0)
Yemen - ESF	---	---	15.0	10.0
SOUTH AND CENTRAL ASIA	1,607.0	1,977.0	1,517.5	2,298.5
Afghanistan	980.0	980.0	1,011.0	994.0
INCLE	(129.0)	(129.0)	(133.0)	(133.0)
NADR	(12.0)	(12.0)	(12.0)	(0.0)
ESF	(839.0)	(839.0)	(866.0)	(861.0)
Pakistan	627.0	997.0	506.5	1,304.5
PCCF	---	(400.0)	---	(700.0)

FY2009 Spring Supplemental Appropriations for Overseas Contingency Operations

	Request	House	Senate	Enacted
INCLE	(65.5)	(65.5)	(65.5)	(65.5)
NADR	(2.0)	(2.0)	(2.0)	(0.0)
ESF	(559.5)	(529.5)	(439.0)	(539.0)
WESTERN HEMISPHERE	66.0	470.0	66.0	420.0
Mexico - Merida	66.0	470.0	66.0	354.0
INCLE	(66.0)	(160.0)	(66.0)	(94.0)
FMF	---	(310.0)	(0.0)	(260.0)
BUREAU OF POPULATION, REFUGEES AND MIGRATION	333.0	343.0	345.0	390.0
MRA	333.0	343.0	345.0	390.0
DEMOCRACY, CONFLICT AND HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE	530.0	700.0	945.0	970.0
IDA	(230.0)	(200.0)	(245.0)	(270.0)
P.L. 480	(300.0)	(500.0)	(700.0)	(700.0)
USAID ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES	201.1	204.6	164.6	209.6
USAID Operating Expenses	(152.6)	(152.6)	(112.6)	(157.6)
USAID Capital Investment Fund	(48.5)	(48.5)	(48.5)	(48.5)
USAID Inspector General	---	(3.5)	(3.5)	(3.5)
HEALTH - CSH	---	300.0	200.0	150.0
HINI		(200.0)	(150.0)	(50.0)
Global Fund		(100.0)	(50.0)	(100.0)
Undetermined NADR		23.5		102.0
Assist. to Developing Countries re: Global Financial Crisis	448.0	300.0	285.0	255.6
Total	5,011.0	7,110.6	5,519.0	7,735.7

Source: Department of State.

Notes: PKO = Peacekeeping Operations; ESF = Economic Support Fund; DA = Development Assistance; NADR = Nonproliferation, Antiterrorism, Demining & Related Programs; AEECA = Assistance for Europe, Eurasia and Central Asia; IMET = International Military Education & Training; INCLE = International Narcotics Control and Law Enforcement; PCCF = Pakistan Counterinsurgency Capability Fund; and IDA = International Disaster Assistance.

Other FY2009 Supplemental Funding Requests and Congressional Action

Responding to the H1N1 Influenza Pandemic²¹

In late April 2009, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) reported several cases of a new form of H1N1 human influenza, dubbed “swine flu,” in California and Texas. Since then, health officials have tracked a global spread of the new pathogen. On June 11, the World Health Organization (WHO) declared that the outbreak was a flu pandemic. (The last flu pandemic occurred in 1968.) From the outset, the United States adopted a response posture to address the situation. The Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) has begun development of a vaccine, which could be available starting in the Fall of 2009. Congress considered FY2009 supplemental funding to address the situation.

There are a number of recent precedents for providing funding for pandemic flu preparedness in supplemental appropriations bills. In 2005, facing fears of a flu pandemic due to a strain of avian influenza (“bird flu”), Congress provided \$6.1 billion in FY2006 supplemental funds to several federal departments for preparedness efforts.²² Most of this funding went to HHS, which has received annual regular appropriations for continued pandemic planning since then. In FY2008 supplemental appropriations (P.L. 110-252), Congress provided \$75 million to USAID for avian and pandemic flu activities, to be available through FY2009. Pandemic planning funds were included in the House-passed version of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA, P.L. 111-5), but were not provided in the enacted law.²³

Administration Request for Influenza Funding

On April 30, President Obama sent a letter to House Speaker Nancy Pelosi formally requesting \$1.5 billion for response efforts to the H1N1 flu outbreak. The request asked that Congress appropriate the funds to the Executive Office of the President in a new account entitled “Unanticipated Needs for Influenza.” The funds would be made available until expended for transfer to other agencies by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) subject to notification to Congress. In a letter to the President accompanying the request, OMB Director Peter Orszag said that this would provide “maximum flexibility ... to ... target responses and resources as this emerging and unpredictable situation evolves.” Though the request did not specify accounts to which funds may be transferred, the White House mentioned activities such as bolstering antiviral stockpiles; developing a vaccine; supporting monitoring, diagnostic, and public health response capabilities; and assisting international efforts to stem this outbreak and to address related international needs.²⁴

²¹ Prepared by Sarah A. Lister, Specialist in Public Health and Epidemiology. For more information, see CRS Report R40554, *The 2009 Influenza Pandemic: An Overview*, by Sarah A. Lister and C. Stephen Redhead.

²² CRS Report RS22576, *Pandemic Influenza: Appropriations for Public Health Preparedness and Response*, by Sarah A. Lister.

²³ CRS Report R40181, *Selected Health Funding in the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009*, coordinated by C. Stephen Redhead.

²⁴ Executive Office of the President, Office of Management and Budget, Estimate #2, 111th Cong., 1st Sess., April 30, (continued...)

On June 2, while the House- and Senate-passed FY2009 supplemental spending bills (discussed below) were being reconciled in conference, the White House requested the higher amount of \$2.05 billion provided in the House-passed bill, along with additional funding and transfer authorities, to address the current H1N1 flu outbreak and prepare for a possible pandemic.²⁵ As with the April 30 request, the White House again asked that funds be provided to a new account for the Executive Office of the President, with provisions to allow spending flexibility. The June 2 request sought the following funds and transfer authorities, each of which would be used only upon notification to Congress, and only if the President were to determine that the additional resources were “required to address critical needs related to emerging influenza viruses....”²⁶:

- An appropriation of \$2 billion (in addition to the \$2.05 billion included in the House supplemental bill) to the Executive Office of the President in a new account entitled “Unanticipated Needs for Influenza” (as per the April 30 request), to remain available until September 30, 2010, for transfer to other federal departments and agencies upon notice to Congress by the OMB Director.
- Authority to transfer up to 1% of amounts appropriated in Division A of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) to the “Unanticipated Needs for Influenza” account, to remain available until September 30, 2010, for subsequent transfer to other federal departments and agencies, upon notice to Congress by the OMB Director. (The Congressional Budget office estimated that ARRA Division A provided \$311.2 billion in discretionary budget authority to several federal departments and agencies.²⁷)
- Authority to transfer up to 1% of discretionary funds available to HHS in FY2009, including balances remaining from prior year appropriations, to other accounts within the department, upon notice to Congress by the Secretary of HHS. (HHS received \$78.5 billion in discretionary budget authority in FY2009 Omnibus appropriations.²⁸ The White House request did not state whether the discretionary HHS funds available for the 1% transfer are intended to include funds also provided through ARRA, which would then be potentially subject to both proposed transfer authorities.)
- Authority to use remaining funds in the “Biodefense Countermeasures” account the in the Department of Homeland Security (i.e., the BioShield Special Reserve Fund) for procurement of medical countermeasures for influenza (e.g., vaccines, antiviral drugs, and laboratory tests). (The Special Reserve Fund, which the White House proposed for transfer to HHS in its budget request for FY2010, is estimated to have a balance of \$2.76 billion at the end of FY2009.²⁹)

(...continued)

2009, http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/assets/budget_amendments/supplemental_04_30_09.pdf.

²⁵ Executive Office of the President, Office of Management and Budget, Estimate #5, 111th Cong., 1st Sess., June 2, 2009, http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/assets/budget_amendments/supplemental_06_02_09.pdf.

²⁶ Ibid.

²⁷ See Table 1 in CRS Report R40537, *American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (P.L. 111-5): Summary and Legislative History*, by Clinton T. Brass et al..

²⁸ HHS, “Fiscal Year 2010 Budget in Brief,” p. 8, May 7, 2009, <http://www.hhs.gov/asrt/ob/docbudget/2010budgetinbrief.pdf>.

²⁹ Executive Office of the President, Office of Management and Budget, Appendix, Budget of the U.S. Government, Fiscal Year 2010, May 2009, p. 544, <http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/budget/fy2010/assets/appendix.pdf>.

The magnitude of funds that could be mobilized under the requested transfer authorities suggested that the Administration was looking for a means to purchase a large number of vaccines against H1N1 flu. Funds already available to HHS could support vaccine development and modest procurements, but would not have been adequate for procurements and related activities sufficient to support a mass-vaccination campaign, if one were needed. GAO has noted that the *National Strategy for Pandemic Influenza: Implementation Plan* (2006), which lays out 324 action items for federal agencies to prepare for and respond to a flu pandemic, contains no discussion of the possible costs of these actions, or how they would be financed.³⁰ There has not been a Stafford Act declaration for the H1N1 pandemic, so disaster relief funds administered by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) are not available for response efforts. Many relevant activities, such as vaccine purchase, may not be eligible for the use of these funds, even if such funds were available.³¹

House Action on Influenza Funding

On May 4, Representative Obey released a summary of his proposed supplemental appropriations bill, calling for more than \$2 billion for the current outbreak, \$550 million above the request.³² In a May 7 markup, the House Appropriations Committee approved the amount in Obey's May 4 proposal, and the committee bill that was reported on May 12, H.R. 2346 included this amount.³³ On May 14, the House passed H.R. 2346, including the amounts reported for influenza response, without amendment. H.R. 2346 would not have provided the funds to the new account requested by the White House, but would instead have provided transfer authority that allows flexibility in how the funds could be used to address the fluid situation. H.R. 2346 would have provided the following amounts and instructions:

- \$1.85 billion to HHS for the Public Health and Social Services Emergency Fund, including no less than \$200 million to CDC for several specified activities, and no less than \$350 million to upgrade state and local public health response capacity.
- \$200 million to the President for the Global Health and Child Survival account, to support global efforts to control the spread of the outbreak.
- Of the \$1.3 billion to HHS not specifically designated, funds could be transferred to other HHS accounts and to other federal agencies, as the Secretary of HHS determined to be appropriate. All such transfers would require notification to the

³⁰ U.S. Government Accountability Office, *Influenza Pandemic: Continued Focus on the Nation's Planning and Preparedness Efforts Remains Essential*, GAO-09-760T, June 3, 2009, pp. 10-11, <http://www.gao.gov>. See also Homeland Security Council, *National Strategy for Pandemic Influenza: Implementation Plan*, May 2006, <http://www.pandemicflu.gov/plan/federal/pandemic-influenza-implementation.pdf>.

³¹ The Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (the Stafford Act) authorizes assistance to federal, state, and local governments, and private non-profit entities, upon a Presidential declaration of emergency or disaster. See "Federal Statutory Authorities for Disaster Response" and "Federal Funding to Support an ESF-8 Response," in CRS Report RL33579, *The Public Health and Medical Response to Disasters: Federal Authority and Funding*, by Sarah A. Lister. See also CRS Report RL34724, *Would an Influenza Pandemic Qualify as a Major Disaster Under the Stafford Act?*, by Edward C. Liu.

³² House Committee on Appropriations, "Obey Statement: 2009 Supplemental Appropriations for Iraq, Afghanistan, Pakistan and Pandemic Flu," press release, May 4, 2009.

³³ H.Rept. 111-105, pp. 38-40 and 53-54.

House and Senate Appropriations Committees. Transfers to other federal agencies would also require consultation with the OMB Director.

- Of the \$1.3 billion to HHS not specifically designated, funds could be used for purchases for the Strategic National Stockpile, and for construction or renovation of privately owned vaccine production facilities. Funds could also be provided to the Covered Countermeasure Process Fund, to compensate individuals who may be harmed by vaccines or other countermeasures used to control the outbreak.³⁴
- The Secretary of HHS would have to report to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees on two matters. The Secretary would have to continue monthly reporting of funds obligated and actions taken using funds designated for pandemic flu preparedness. Also, in collaboration with the CDC Director, the Secretary would have to report within 90 days regarding the CDC's initial response to the outbreak in Mexico and the United States.
- If WHO were to announce that the H1N1 outbreak had progressed to a global flu pandemic (i.e., Phase 6),³⁵ and upon the President's determination and notification to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees, available funds in four accounts from prior appropriations acts for the Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs—Global Health and Child Survival; Development Assistance; Economic Support Fund; and Millennium Challenge Corporation—could be used for pandemic response activities.

Senate Action on Influenza Funding

On May 14, the Senate Committee on Appropriations marked up and reported S. 1054, the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2009, which would provide \$1.5 billion for influenza activities, the amount requested by the Administration.³⁶ The Committee recommended funding for the Executive Office of the President, as requested, but under a new account entitled “Pandemic Preparedness and Response” instead of the account entitled “Unanticipated Needs for Influenza” as proposed by the President. Amounts would be used to combat the current outbreak, and for pandemic flu preparedness in general. On May 21, the Senate passed an amended version of H.R. 2346, including the flu provisions in S. 1054. It would have provided the following amounts and instructions for flu activities:

- \$900 million to HHS for the Public Health and Social Services Emergency Fund, for allocation by the Secretary for pandemic preparedness and response activities including vaccine development, purchase of antivirals and medical equipment, diagnostic and vaccine delivery equipment, antiviral research, and support for state and local preparedness; and an additional \$50 million to the HHS Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for activities including vaccine and antiviral development, manufacturer assistance, approval reviews, and safety activities, including blood and consumer protection response.

³⁴ The Covered Countermeasure Process Fund, established in Section 319F-4 of the Public Health Service Act, does not currently have a balance. See CRS Report RS22327, *Pandemic Flu and Medical Biodefense Countermeasure Liability Limitation*, by Henry Cohen and Vanessa K. Burrows.

³⁵ WHO declared the situation to be a flu pandemic, Phase 6, on June 11. See CRS Report R40554, *The 2009 Influenza Pandemic: An Overview*, by Sarah A. Lister and C. Stephen Redhead.

³⁶ S.Rept. 111-20, pp. 58-60.

- \$190 million to the DHS Departmental Management and Operations account, for allocation by the Secretary, with emphasis on planning and coordination, and on purchasing personal protective equipment and antivirals for DHS personnel and state and local responders.
- \$100 million to the Secretary of Agriculture, for the Agricultural Programs, Production, Processing and Marketing account, for activities including animal health surveillance and disease investigation, and impacts resulting from misinformation about flu transmission.
- \$110 million for the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA), Veterans Health Administration, for pandemic preparedness, including purchasing protective equipment for high-risk populations and occupations, expanding its antiviral stockpile, and improving information technology capabilities.
- \$150 million to the President for the Global Health and Child Survival account, to facilitate information sharing, limit the spread of the virus, reduce mortality and the social and economic impacts, and respond to emergency needs in affected countries.

Enacted Influenza Funding

The enacted legislation includes the following to address the response to the H1N1 flu outbreak:³⁷

- As proposed by the House, \$1.85 billion to HHS for the Public Health and Social Services Emergency Fund, to be available until expended, including no less than \$200 million to CDC for a number of specified activities, and no less than \$350 million to upgrade state and local public health capacity for responding to the outbreak.
- As proposed by the House, of the \$1.3 billion to HHS not specifically designated, funds could be transferred to other HHS accounts and to other federal agencies, as the Secretary of HHS determined to be appropriate. All such transfers would require notification to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees. Transfers to other federal agencies would also require consultation with the OMB Director.
- As proposed by the House, of the \$1.3 billion to HHS not specifically designated, funds could be used for purchases for the Strategic National Stockpile, for construction or renovation of privately owned vaccine production facilities, and for the Covered Countermeasure Process Fund.
- An additional contingent emergency appropriation of \$5.8 billion to HHS for the Public Health and Social Services Emergency Fund, which would become available for obligation 15 days after the President provided a detailed written request to Congress to obligate specific amounts for specific purposes, and only if needed to address the emergency. If such requirements were met, funds could generally be made available and transferred as per the \$1.3 billion in non-contingent funds provided as above, including for purchases for the Strategic National Stockpile and the Covered Countermeasure Process Fund. However,

³⁷ H.Rept. 111-151, pp. 27-28, 34-35, 110, 114-116, 125-126, and 142.

authority to use these contingent funds for construction or renovation of privately owned vaccine production facilities would not be not provided.

- As proposed by the House, the Secretary of HHS would have to report to the Appropriations Committees on two matters; (1) continued monthly reporting of funds obligated and actions taken using funds designated for pandemic flu preparedness; and (2) in collaboration with the CDC Director, within 90 days, a report regarding the CDC's initial response to the outbreak in Mexico and the United States.
- \$50 million to the President for the Global Health and Child Survival account, to support global efforts to control the spread of the outbreak.
- If WHO announced that the current outbreak had progressed to a global flu pandemic (i.e., Phase 6),³⁸ and upon the President's determination and notification to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees, available funds in four accounts from prior appropriations acts for the Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs— Global Health and Child Survival; Development Assistance; Economic Support Fund; and Millennium Challenge Corporation—could be used for pandemic response activities. If this authority were used, OMB must seek replenishments for any funds reprogrammed from these accounts.

Other Supplemental Funding Requests

In addition to defense and foreign affairs funding, the Administration's original April 9 request proposed a relatively limited amount of funding for some other programs, including

- \$89.5 million for Department of Energy counter-proliferation programs, of which \$55 million is to finance new initiatives to safeguard nuclear materials in Russia and \$34.5 million is to implement denuclearization programs in North Korea;
- \$21.6 million in authority to use balances of funds in the Strategic Petroleum Reserve account to finance SPR site maintenance, with no net additional appropriations;
- \$47 million for a number of Department of Justice national security-related programs, of which \$30 million is to implement the Administration decision to shut down the Guantanamo Bay prison by supporting a task force to review detainee records and carry on prosecutions;
- \$250 million for fighting wildfires and restoring burned areas of which \$200 million is for the Department of Agriculture Forest Service and \$50 million is for the Department of the Interior;
- \$2.9 million in funds appropriated to the President for operations of the National Security Council; and
- \$71.6 million for the Legislative Branch for a new encrypted radio system for the Capitol Police.

³⁸ WHO declared the situation to be a flu pandemic, Phase 6, on June 11. See CRS Report R40554, *The 2009 Influenza Pandemic: An Overview*, by Sarah A. Lister and C. Stephen Redhead.

Guantanamo Bay Prison Shutdown

The request for funds to support shutting down the Guantanamo Bay prison prompted a number of questions at the Senate Appropriations Committee hearing on the Administration request on April 30, at which Secretary of Defense Gates and Secretary of State Clinton testified. The House Appropriations Committee did not provide \$50 million requested for the Department of Defense to support the relocation of detainees and to facilitate the closure of detainee facilities, nor did it agree to provide \$30 million requested for the Department of Justice to implement executive orders to shut down the prison and review of the U.S. detention and interrogation procedures. In its report on the bill, the committee said,

Once a decision has been made, the Committee expects the Department to report on its plan for implementation and submit a reprogramming request for any funds it requires to relocate detainees from the Guantanamo Bay Naval Base, to relocate military and support forces associated with detainee operations, and to close detainee facilities.³⁹

The Senate Appropriations Committee included both Defense Department and Department of Justice funding to close the prison in the bill it reported, but funding was the initial order of business when the bill was brought up on the floor on May 19. Senators Inouye and Inhofe immediately offered an amendment, S.Amdt. 1133, deleting the funding and providing that none of the funds in the supplemental or in any prior act be used to relocate any detainee to the United States. The Senate approved the amendment by a vote of 90-6 on May 20; the funding was not in the final act (P.L. 111-32).

³⁹ U.S. Congress, House Committee on Appropriations, *Report to Accompany H.R. 2346, Making Supplemental Appropriations for the Fiscal Year Ending September 30, 2009, and for Other Purposes*, 111th Cong., 1st sess., May 12, 2009, H.Rept. 111-105 (Washington: GPO, 2009), p. 15.

Appendix A. FY2009 Supplemental Appropriations for Iraq Reconstruction⁴⁰

The FY2009 supplemental assistance request for Iraq reflected the Administration's intention to move toward a diminished U.S. presence in the country. The total non-humanitarian foreign operations aid request amounted to \$482 million, which, with already appropriated amounts from the June 2008 FY2009 "bridge" supplemental (P.L. 110-252) and the regular FY2009 appropriations approved in March 2009 (P.L. 111-8), would bring total non-humanitarian foreign operations assistance to \$605 million, basically the same amount as appropriated in FY2008. The equivalent amount in FY2007 was \$2 billion, which would have been available through FY2008. Without a similar cushion of funds from the preceding year, the FY2009 request could have been seen as a notable decrease in economic assistance.

The request for DOD assistance represented a more pronounced decline. The Administration asked that the \$1 billion appropriated in the FY2009 "bridge" appropriation to the Iraq Security Forces Fund (ISFF), which was to support training and equipping of Iraqi security forces, be rescinded and re-appropriated in this new FY2009 supplemental bill. In essence, the request was made in order to extend availability of these funds. The "bridge" appropriation would have expired at end of September 2009; with this new appropriation it would be available until end of September 2010. If the request was approved—as largely turned out to be the case—the trend in ISFF totals would appear as follows: \$5.5 billion in FY2007, \$3 billion in FY2008, and \$1 billion in FY2009. The Commander's Emergency Response Program (CERP) request, totaling \$453 million, was, as for other years, to be shared by both Afghanistan and Iraq.

The \$482 million foreign operations request broke down as follows—\$449 million in Economic Support Fund (ESF), \$20 million in International Narcotics and Law Enforcement (INCLE), \$2 million in International Military Education and Training (IMET), and \$11 million in Narcotics, Anti-Terrorism, Demining and Related Programs (NADR) funds. Within these accounts, the largest amounts were requested for certain programs in ESF that well-characterize the Iraq assistance program at this stage. Of programs supporting improved governance, the Quick Response Fund (\$45 million), a civilian equivalent of the CERP, is a key tool of the Provincial Reconstruction Teams (PRTs) that allow U.S. civilians, with security provided by the U.S. military, to maintain a presence in the provinces, deal directly with local leaders, and bolster local government. The Local Governance Program (\$55 million), managed by USAID, helps build management and knowledge skills of provincial government personnel. The Community Action Program (CAP) (\$35 million) funds projects identified by local representative associations. Ministerial Capacity Development (\$60 million) seeks to enhance the capabilities of Iraqi central government personnel, especially focusing on helping them execute their budgets. Significant funding (\$112 million) is being requested to support national elections scheduled for later this year. Key programs supporting economic growth in Iraq are Economic Reform (\$50 million) activities to build a better regulatory system and in Agriculture (\$43 million), which after oil production is Iraq's best hope for an improved economy.

In addition to the security and economic aid-related requests for Iraq, the supplemental request contained a humanitarian aid component. The Migration and Refugee Assistance account (MRA)

⁴⁰ Prepared by Curt Tarnoff, Specialist in Foreign Affairs.

included \$108 million to address the needs of the roughly 4.8 million Iraqi refugees and internally displaced persons (IDPs).

Table A-I. FY2009 Supplemental and Iraq Reconstruction: Request and Conference Report

	Request		Conference Report	
I 50 Foreign Operations Accounts				
Economic Support Fund (ESF)	\$449 million, of which:		\$439 million, of which:	
	Civil Society & Elections	\$112 million	Civil Society & Elections	\$118 million
	Community Action Program (CAP)	\$35 million	Community Action Program (CAP)	\$50 million
	Ruzicka War Victims Fund	\$3.5 million	Ruzicka War Victims Fund	\$10 million
	War Widows	\$5 million	War Widows	\$5 million
	Quick Response Fund	\$45 million	Iraq Cultural Antiquities	\$2 million
	Ministerial Capacity Development	\$60 million	Targeted Stability Programs	\$15 million
	Local Governance	\$55 million	Unallocated	\$239 million
	Economic Reform	\$50 million		
	Provincial Economic Growth	\$27.5 million		
	Agriculture	\$43 million		
	Program Support	\$13 million		
International Narcotics and Law Enforcement (INCLE)	\$20 million, of which:		\$20 million, unallocated	
	Police Transition Planning	\$5 million		
	Judiciary	\$9 million		
	Rule of Law Advisors	\$3 million		
	Program Support	\$3 million		
Nonproliferation, Anti-Terrorism, Demining and Related Programs (NADR)	\$11 million of which:		Level for Iraq not Specified	
	Train ISF for Diplomatic Protection	\$6 million		
	Terrorist Interdiction	\$0.5 million		
	Border Control	\$2 million		
	WMD	\$1 million		
	Nuclear Waste Disposal	\$1.5 million		
International Military Education and Training (IMET)	\$2 million		\$2 million	

	Request	Conference Report		
Migration and Refugee Assistance (MRA)	\$108 million	Level for Iraq not Specified		
050 DOD Accounts				
Iraq Security Forces Fund (ISFF)	\$1 billion	Coinciding with cancellation of \$1 billion from FY2009 “bridge” (P.L. 110-252).	\$1 billion	Coinciding with rescission of \$1 billion from FY2009 “bridge” (P.L. 110-252).
Commander’s Emergency Response Program (CERP)	\$453 million	For both Iraq and Afghanistan.	\$453 million	For both Iraq and Afghanistan.

Source: Department of State, OMB, and Conference Report H.Rept. 111-151 on H.R. 2346.

House Action on Iraq Reconstruction

The House-approved bill (H.R. 2346) matched the Administration request for most items associated with Iraq reconstruction aid. Bill language appropriated the request for the ISFF, and House Appropriations Committee explanatory language provided the request for the CERP, ESF, INCLE, NADR, and IMET accounts. Sufficient funds were provided to the overall MRA account to meet the Iraq request here.

The most notable change from the Administration request came in operating expense accounts. The State Department Diplomatic and Consular Programs account which funds staff salaries, expenses, and security was increased in the case of Iraq by 224%, from a request of \$150 million to a House allocation of \$486 million. The Appropriations Committee took this action in order to fund the Iraq Mission through the first quarter of 2010 as it transitions “both to an annualized funding cycle and to a more regular diplomatic and development program.” Funds are largely to lease facilities supporting the Mission and to provide for civilian security needs that, presumably, are expected to increase as U.S. troops draw down.

Senate Action on Iraq Reconstruction

The FY2009 supplemental approved by the Senate mostly followed the Administration request for Iraq reconstruction—matching the request for CERP, INCLE, NADR, and IMET accounts, and reducing by only \$10 million the ESF level to \$439 million. Sufficient funds were also provided to the overall MRA account to meet the Iraq request. On the ISFF, however, the Senate bill departed from the request. Instead of rescinding \$1 billion from the FY2009 “bridge” supplemental and re-appropriating it in this bill, the Senate version left the “bridge” appropriation intact and still appropriated \$1 billion in the new supplemental. Further, recognizing that responsibility to train Iraqi security forces will transition from DOD to the Department of State in

August 2010, the Committee added language transferring unobligated balances, as of July 31, 2010, to State to use for this purpose. Unlike the House bill, the Senate bill matched the Administration's \$150 million request for the State Diplomatic and Consular Programs account.

Enacted Supplemental for Iraq Reconstruction

H.R. 2346, as enacted (P.L. 111-32), closely follows the Administration request for Iraq reconstruction aid. The enacted supplemental for FY2009 matches the request and provides \$439 million for ESF, \$10 million less than the request; \$20 million for INCLE, and \$2 million for IMET. Congress essentially extended the ISFF appropriation from P.L. 110-252 for another year, by the rescission and reappropriation of its \$1 billion. The act also provides \$453 million in requested CERP funds (shared with Afghanistan).

The act specifies that not less than \$15 million of ESF be used for targeted development programs determined by the Ambassador. Explanatory language made special reference to the treatment of women in Iraq and encouraged the use of funds to incorporate women in the course of stabilizing the country and building government institutions.

Congress adopted the \$486 million House level for State Operating Expenses, addressing the FY2010 request early in order to assist the embassy transition to a more regular diplomatic and aid program.

Appendix B. FY2009 Supplemental Appropriations for Assistance to Afghanistan⁴¹

Despite significant progress in Afghanistan during the past eight years—a new constitution and successful presidential elections in 2004, parliamentary elections in 2005, and increased personal freedom for Afghan citizens, especially the participation of women in economic and political life—insurgent threats to Afghanistan’s government have escalated since 2006 to the point that some experts began questioning the success of U.S. stabilization efforts. An expanding militant presence in some areas previously considered secure, increased numbers of civilian and military deaths, growing disillusionment with corruption in the government of Afghan President Hamid Karzai, and Pakistan’s inability to prevent Taliban and other militant infiltration into Afghanistan led the Obama Administration to conduct its own “strategic review,” the results of which were announced on March 27, 2009.

In part because of the many different causes of continued instability in Afghanistan, there reportedly was difficulty reaching consensus on a new strategy. The thrust of the new strategy is a focus not on adding U.S. troops—although at least 21,000 are being added in 2009—but rather on enhancing non-military steps such as economic development and coordination among international donors, building local governing structures, building capacity and reforming the Afghan government, expanding and reforming the Afghan security forces, and trying to improve Pakistan’s efforts to curb militant activity on its soil.

The FY2009 supplemental request reflects the Administration strategy by seeking to significantly increase economic aid to Afghanistan provided under the 150 account, State, Foreign Operations appropriations portion of the proposed legislation. If the requested level was approved—as is largely the case—total FY2009 non-humanitarian economic aid to Afghanistan would amount to \$2.6 billion, an increase of 32% (\$631 million) over the previous year’s appropriations. The newly requested funding for Afghanistan, totaling \$980 million, would come from three accounts—\$839 million under the Economic Support Fund (ESF), \$129 million under International Narcotics and Law Enforcement account (INCLE), and \$12 million under the Nonproliferation, Anti-Terrorism, and Demining (NADR) account. More than a third of the new funding would be devoted to improving governance at all levels of the Afghan government, including anti-corruption and other efforts to strengthen the justice system. Substantively, according to the Administration, the request also “represents a major shift” from short and long-term reconstruction and development activities scattered throughout all of Afghanistan to programs “focused on countering the insurgency, primarily in the south and east.”⁴²

The \$839 million ESF request consisted of five components. Security-related programs, including counternarcotics alternative development programs, stabilization projects targeting critical districts, construction of district centers where citizens can meet with local officials, and quick support projects delivered by Provincial Reconstruction Teams (PRTs) represented \$214 million. Governance programs, accounting for \$295 million, include building the capacity of the Afghan government at all levels, anti-corruption activities, election support, Ministry of Justice

⁴¹ Prepared by Curt Tarnoff, Specialist in Foreign Affairs, Kenneth Katzman, Specialist in Middle Eastern Affairs and Rhoda Margesson, Specialist in International Humanitarian Policy.

⁴² Department of State and USAID, *FY2009 Supplemental Justification*, p. 51.

assistance, and U.S. contributions to the World Bank’s Afghanistan Reconstruction Trust Fund. Providing basic services to vulnerable populations and creating short-term employment opportunities amounted to \$135 million. Economic growth efforts, totaling \$170 million, encompass projects in agriculture, monetary and fiscal policy reform, expansion of a central business registry, creation of a national land registry, and micro and small business credit activities. Funding of administration and oversight of these programs amounted to \$25 million.

The \$129 million INCLE program in Afghanistan would focus on counternarcotics programs (\$46 million), including special assistance to communities adopting anti-narcotics policies to tide them over until development efforts take effect and support to the Afghan Counternarcotics Advisory Team; rule of law efforts (\$78 million), including legal education, support for women prisoners, and assistance to the Central Prison Directorate; and program administrative and oversight support (\$5 million). The \$12 million NADR program would bolster the capacities of the Afghan Presidential Protective Service.

The State, Foreign Operations portion of the request also included \$261.5 million in State Department Diplomatic and Consular Program account funds, most of which would support operational expenses of the proposed civilian staff surge from multiple agencies that would bring staff levels in Kabul up from 394 to 567 and expand PRT staff by 110 temporary posts. Similarly, there was a \$140 million request for USAID Operating Expenses, most of which is meant to increase USAID staff in the PRTs. In addition, \$101.5 million would go to security protection for U.S. facilities and personnel, and \$87 million would go to embassy physical expansion to provide room for new housing. The Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction (SIGAR) request was for \$7.2 million. In all, these operational expense requests amounted to about \$600 million.

In addition to these economic efforts, the Administration request included \$3.6 billion for the Afghan Security Forces Fund (ASFF), which supports the training and equipping of Afghan army and police. The Administration has also asked that \$125 million previously appropriated to the ASFF in the FY2009 “bridge” legislation be rescinded and re-appropriated in this new FY2009 supplemental bill. In essence, the request was made in order to extend availability of these funds. The “bridge” appropriation would have expired at end of September 2009; with this new appropriation it would be available until end of September 2010. The Administration’s \$453 million request for the Commander’s Emergency Response Program (CERP) was, as has been the case in the past, for both Iraq and Afghanistan.

Table B-1. FY2009 Supplemental and Afghanistan Aid: Request and Conference Report

Request		Conference Report		
150 Foreign Operations Accounts				
Economic Support Fund (ESF)	\$839 million, of which:		\$861 million, of which:	
	Security & Stabilization (PRT programs)	\$214 million	Afghan Civilian Assistance Program	\$12 million
	Governance	\$295 million	Afghan Reconstruction Trust Fund	\$115 million
	Social Services/Employment	\$135 million	Agriculture	\$100 million

Request		Conference Report	
Economic Growth	\$170 million	Alternative Development	\$65 million
Program Support	\$25 million	Widows Assistance	\$5 million
		Women NGOs	\$30 million
		Unallocated	\$534 million
International Narcotics and Law Enforcement (INCLE)	\$129 million, of which:	\$133 million, of which:	
Counter-narcotics Planning	\$46 million	Good Performers Initiative	\$23 million
Rule of Law	\$78 million	Combating Violence Against Women and Girls	\$10 million
Program Support	\$5 million	Unallocated	\$100 million
Nonproliferation, Anti-Terrorism, Demining and Related Programs (NADR)	\$12 million	Level for Afghanistan not Specified	
Migration and Refugee Assistance (MRA)	\$7 million	Level for Afghanistan not Specified	
050 DOD Accounts			
Afghanistan Security Forces Fund (ISFF)	\$3.6 billion	Coinciding with cancellation of \$125 million from FY2009 "bridge" (P.L. 110-252).	\$3.6 billion
Commander Emergency Response Program (CERP)	\$453 million	For both Iraq and Afghanistan.	\$453 million For both Iraq and Afghanistan.

Source: Department of State, OMB, and Conference Report H.Rept. 111-151 on H.R. 2346.

House Action on Afghanistan Assistance

H.R. 2346, approved by the House on May 14, 2009, largely matched the Administration request for economic assistance to Afghanistan. Bill language provided the requested \$3.6 billion for the ASFF. Explanatory language in the Appropriations Committee report (H.Rept. 111-105) provided the Administration request for the CERP (\$453 million, shared with Iraq), NADR (\$12 million), INCLE (\$129 million), and ESF (\$839 million) accounts. The report language broke out the ESF in slightly different amounts and categories than the request—\$70 million for the National Solidarity Program, \$159 million for the PRTs, \$85 million for Agriculture, \$55 million for

Alternative Development, \$200 million of Economic Growth, \$25 million for Elections, \$115 million for Governance and Civil Society, and \$20 million for Rule of Law.

The House bill would have provided more in the State Department operating expense account, the Diplomatic and Consular Program, than the Administration requested—\$448.9 million instead of the \$363 million request. Most of the difference is in staff expense allocations, as opposed to security costs. The House provided \$327.4 million for State and other agency staff expenses, rather than the \$261.5 million request. This is meant to support the proposed staff surge—170 U.S. Direct Hires in Kabul, 251 temporary PRT staff, and 106 local staff, as well as 59 existing staff from other agencies (Agriculture, Treasury, etc.) and up to 73 new staff from these agencies. The House bill matched the Administration request for USAID operating expenses at \$140 million and for the Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction at \$7.2 million.

Senate Action on Afghanistan Assistance

The Senate-approved version of the FY2009 supplemental matched the Administration request for the NADR account (\$12 million) and slightly altered the request for ESF (\$866 million vs. a request of \$839 million), and INCLE (\$133 million vs. a request of \$129 million). The Senate bill also provided \$25 million for MRA assistance to internally displaced people and refugees versus a request of \$7 million. The bill provided the requested amount for the CERP and the ASFF, but did not cancel and re-appropriate the \$125 million in FY2009 “bridge” funds sought by the Administration.

The Senate bill provided \$308.6 million for State D&CP staff operating expenses (vs. \$261.5 million request) and \$100 million for USAID operating expenses (vs. \$140 million request). It matched the \$7.2 million request for the SIGAR.

The Enacted FY2009 Supplemental

The FY2009 Supplemental, as passed by Congress (P.L. 111-32), closely follows the Administration request levels for most accounts with regard to Afghanistan assistance. It provides \$861 million in ESF—\$22 million above the request; \$133 million in INCLE—\$4 million above the request; and \$3.6 billion for the ASFF and \$453 million for the CERP (to be shared with Iraq), matching those requests. Afghanistan funding levels for NADR and MRA accounts were not specified in the conference report, but are likely to be allocated funds at the requested levels.

Of special note, the act provides not less than \$150 million of ESF and INCLE be used for programs addressing the needs of women and girls. It requires that 10% of INCLE funds be withheld until the Secretary of State reports that the Afghan government is taking steps to remove officials engaged in narcotics or human rights crimes. It provides \$70 million for the National Solidarity Program.

The enacted supplemental provides \$413.2 million in State Diplomatic and Consular Program operating expenses vs. the \$363 million requested. Half of the additional amount is an early response to the FY2010 budget request for air transport needs of the Embassy. The Embassy Security account, however, is allocated \$67 million less than the request, due to concerns regarding the plan to acquire land adjacent to the Embassy to meet civilian expansion needs. The USAID Operating Expense account request of \$140 million is met by the legislation.

Appendix C. FY2009 Supplemental Appropriations for Assistance to Pakistan⁴³

The President's request for supplemental FY2009 appropriations included a total of more than \$900 million in proposed spending directly related to Pakistan, and another \$1 billion in Coalition Support Funds (CSF), much of which is likely to be used to reimburse Pakistan for its support of U.S. military operations in the region. The Administration argued that \$497 million in new foreign operations assistance was urgently needed "to help stem the rapidly deteriorating security and economic conditions confronting" Pakistan, and it emphasized that "failure to address these conditions could lead to a further opening for extremists" there.⁴⁴ It further argued that ongoing CSF reimbursements are "critical to maintaining the viability" of U.S.-led military coalition efforts, and that establishment of a new Pakistan Counterinsurgency Capability Fund (PCCF) was necessary to bolster that country's security forces and make it a more effective partner in U.S. efforts to stabilize neighboring Afghanistan and the region.⁴⁵

The DOD assistance request would continue CSF payments to reimburse Pakistan for its ongoing operational and logistical support of U.S. efforts in the region. Such funds have accounted for the bulk of U.S. financial transfers to Pakistan since 2001, and they have come under scrutiny as concerns grew in Congress and among independent analysts that standard accounting oversight procedures were not being employed.⁴⁶ Pakistan has received approximately 80% of appropriated CSF funds since 2001 at an average rate of nearly \$80 million per month. This ratio suggests that Pakistan could receive approximately \$800 million in supplemental CSF in FY2009 in addition to the \$200 million already disbursed through the FY2009 "bridge" supplemental. Requested counterdrug funds in the DOD budget totaled \$25.8 million. Moreover, the proposed PCCF funds would be used to 1) fund an existing, multi-year Security Development Plan for Pakistan; 2) assist Pakistani security forces to organize, train, equip, and operate as a counterinsurgency-capable force; and 3) provide humanitarian relief in post-combat/conflict areas. Secretary of Defense Robert Gates has favored funding the PCCF with up to \$3 billion over a five-year period and folding into this single account many sometimes disparate Pentagon-funded security programs for Pakistan. There was debate in Congress about whether the PCCF should come under the authority of the Department of Defense or the Department of State.

The \$497 million foreign operations request broke down as follows: \$430 million in Economic Support Fund (ESF), \$65.5 million in International Narcotics and Law Enforcement (INCLE), and \$2 million in Narcotics, Anti-Terrorism, Demining and Related Programs (NADR) funds. Within these accounts, the largest amounts (\$400 million) are requested for ESF programs that would help address Pakistan's economic crisis and balance of payment deficit by supplementing Islamabad's \$7.65 billion Standby Agreement with the International Monetary Fund. Another \$21.5 million in ESF would be used to expand USAID's Community Rehabilitation Infrastructure Support Program (CRISP) in ongoing efforts to stimulate economic activity, create jobs and

⁴³ Prepared by Alan Kronstadt, Specialist in South Asian Affairs.

⁴⁴ U.S. Department of State, "FY2009 Supplemental Justification," April 9, 2009.

⁴⁵ U.S. Department of Defense, "Fiscal Year 2009 Supplemental Request," April 2009.

⁴⁶ The Government Accountability Office was tasked to address oversight of coalition support funds that go to Pakistan. Its June 2008 report concluded that increased oversight and accountability was needed over Pakistan's reimbursement claims for such funds (see <http://www.gao.gov/new.items/d08806.pdf>).

improve service delivery in Pakistan. Humanitarian assistance for persons internally displaced by instability in Pakistan's western border regions would total \$8 million. These amounts would add to the "bridge" FY2009 supplemental providing \$150 million and a base FY2009 request of \$453 million, bringing the FY2009 ESF total for Pakistan to about \$1.03 billion, a huge increase as compared to previous years. Requested INCLE funds include \$35 million to continue train and equip programs for elite police forces and other law enforcement agencies in Pakistan's North West Frontier Province and Federally Administered Tribal Areas, and \$22.5 million to expand the air wing of the paramilitary Frontier Corps to improve tactical counternarcotics, law enforcement, and border security capacities. The \$2 million requested for NADR would fund four additional Crisis Response Team training courses for Pakistan's Federal Investigative Agency's anti-terrorist team, said to be a high priority of the Interior Ministry.

State Department and USAID operations costs to be funded under the supplemental request include \$806.2 million for security, construction and maintenance of several U.S. posts in Pakistan, the bulk of which would fund renovation or replacement of the existing chancery and construction of a new annex at the Islamabad post. Moreover, \$45.6 million requested for costs related to diplomatic and consular activities of the U.S. Mission in Pakistan broke down as follows: \$30.9 million to enhance U.S. public diplomacy efforts there; \$9.1 million to provide increased security for U.S. facilities; and \$5.5 million to fund a staffing surge. For USAID, \$7.6 million to support significant increases in USAID staffing levels in Pakistan. These funds would pay for salaries, benefits, and related expenses for 81 approved new positions, including 16 new U.S. Direct-Hires.

In a conference report on FY09 supplemental appropriations (H.Rept. 111-151) subsequently approved by both chambers in mid-June (P.L. 111-32), conferees resolved differences between the House and Senate versions and authorized the following: \$1 billion for coalition support fund reimbursements to "key cooperating nations (Pakistan since 2001 has received roughly 80% of such funds); \$896 million for embassy security, construction, and maintenance; \$539 million in ESF; \$65.5 million for INCLE; no less than \$55 million for International Disaster Assistance for Pakistani IDPs; \$45.6 million for diplomatic and consular activities; \$10 million for public diplomacy broadcasting activities near the Pakistan-Afghanistan border; \$10 million for Pentagon counterdrug activities; \$7.6 million for USAID operating costs; and \$3.5 million for Inspector General oversight of programs (in both Afghanistan and Pakistan).

Congress also established two new funds to build Pakistan's counterinsurgency capabilities. The first, a Pakistan Counterinsurgency Fund (PCF), will receive \$400 million for such purposes through FY2010. These funds will be overseen by the Secretary of Defense with the concurrence of the Secretary of State. The second, a Pakistan Counterinsurgency Capability Fund (PCCF) will receive \$700 million for such purposes beginning on the final day of FY2009 and available through FY2011. These funds will be overseen by the Secretary of State with the concurrence of the Secretary of Defense. In their report, the conferees expressed concern about providing the Pentagon with oversight of an assistance program that would traditionally fall under the purview of the State Department and so directed the Secretary of Defense and Secretary of State to jointly develop a plan for transitioning the PCF from Defense to State by FY2010, fully executed by FY2011 (H.Rept. 111-151).

Table C-1. FY2009 Supplemental Appropriations for Pakistan

150 Foreign Operations Accounts		
Economic Support Fund (ESF)	\$429.5 million	of which:
		Unallocated
		\$399 million (majority intended for use in FATA and NWFP)
		Humanitarian Assistance/Protection for Vulnerable Populations
		\$125 million
		Democracy programs
		\$10 million
		Baluchistan and East Indus River Development Programs
		\$5 million
International Narcotics and Law Enforcement (INCLE)	\$65.5 million	of which:
		NWFP Police Training
		\$35 million
		Frontier Corp Air Wing Expansion
		\$22.5 million
		Police Training Venue Security
		\$3 million
		Program Support
		\$5 million
Nonproliferation, Anti-Terrorism, Demining and Related Programs (NADR)	\$2 million	of which:
		Crisis Response Team Training
		\$2 million
International Disaster Assistance	\$55 million	For use to meet the growing needs of internally displaced persons.
050 DOD Accounts		
Pakistan Counterinsurgency Fund	\$400 million	A new fund to build the counterinsurgency capabilities of Pakistan's security forces, overseen by the Secretary of Defense through FY2010.
Pakistan Counterinsurgency Capability Fund	\$700 million	A new fund to build the counterinsurgency capabilities of Pakistan's security forces, overseen by the Secretary of State FY2010-2011.
Coalition Support Funds	\$1 billion	Reimbursements to coalition partners for expenses incurred in support of U.S. military operations (Pakistan since 2001 has received roughly 80% of such funds).
Counterdrug Funds	\$10 million	

Source: Department of State and OMB.

Appendix D. FY2009 Supplemental Appropriations for Other Humanitarian Assistance⁴⁷

Although proposed aid packages for specific countries anticipate and identify some humanitarian needs, the Administration also seeks funding for what it describes as new FY2009 humanitarian requirements that stem from events unfolding in late 2008 and early 2009 that were not anticipated in the FY2009 regular budget request.

Migration and Refugee Assistance (MRA)

The Administration's FY2009 emergency supplemental request initially asked for \$293 million for the Migration and Refugee Assistance (MRA) account for anticipated and unanticipated refugee and migration emergencies, of which: \$108 million was requested for humanitarian assistance to Iraqi refugees, Internally Displaced Persons (IDPs) and conflict victims; \$125 million was requested for the emergency needs of Palestinian refugees in West Bank and Gaza and \$25 million for Palestinian refugees in Lebanon; \$7 million was requested to support the work of international organizations in South Asia, including Afghanistan and Pakistan; \$15 million was requested to assist with massive displacement and the provision of humanitarian assistance in several interconnected conflicts in Africa—in eastern Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), Sudan, Uganda, and Rwanda; \$10 million was requested for the global protection/emergency response requirements/food assistance worldwide, but primarily in Africa; and \$3 million was requested for Burmese refugees in camps along the Thai-Burma border.

On June 2, 2009, the Administration revised its request and asked for an additional \$200 million to address humanitarian needs in Pakistan, \$40 million of which was for MRA. The revised request for MRA thus totaled \$333 million.

House Action

The House Appropriations Committee-reported bill included \$343 million for the MRA account, which was \$50 million above the Administration's initial request (and \$10 million above its revised request.) The report cites urgent humanitarian requirements for refugees and IDPs in Iraq, Jordan, Syria, the West Bank and Gaza, Lebanon, Afghanistan, Pakistan, Africa (in addition to specific reference to food aid), and Burmese refugees in Asia.

In explanatory language of its report, the Committee referred to ongoing concerns about whether the United Nations Relief and Works Agency (UNRWA) is operating with sufficient transparency and is doing all that is possible to prevent the inappropriate use of funds in the form of supporting terrorists and other extremists, specifically in the West Bank and Gaza. Section 21004 of the bill focuses on accountability measures for humanitarian and project assistance to the West Bank and Gaza: Section 21004(a) would limit the funds appropriated under MRA that may be made available to UNRWA to \$119 million. Section 21004(b) would require the Secretary of State to

⁴⁷ Prepared by Rhoda Margesson, Specialist in International Humanitarian Policy.

submit to the Committees on Appropriations an accountability report assessing the status on eight accountability measures. Section 21004(c) would transfer \$1,000,000 from funds made available under the Economic Support Fund (ESF) to the Inspector General of the Department of State and Broadcasting Board of Governors to conduct oversight of funding made available to the West Bank and Gaza and the region.

Senate Action

The Senate Committee on Appropriations provided \$345 million for the MRA account (which would have increased the Administration's initial request by \$52 million and its revised request by \$12 million) to assist refugees and IDPs. Of this amount, explanatory language allocated \$25 million for returning IDPs in Afghanistan, \$25 million for "such needs" in Africa, \$5 million for refugees from Burma, \$15 million for IDPs in Sri Lanka, and \$5 million for IDPs in Colombia.

Enacted FY2009 Supplemental

The enacted legislation (H.R. 2346, P.L. 111-32) provides \$390 million for MRA, which is \$57 million above the Administration's revised request and an increase over the amounts sought by both the House and Senate. The funding is to respond to urgent humanitarian needs of refugees and IDPs worldwide with specific reference to the Middle East, South and Central Asia (including Pakistan and Sri Lanka), Southeast Asia, Africa, Colombia.

A "Refugee Programs and Oversight" heading in the legislation also states that of the MRA total, funding provided to the United Nations Relief and Works Agency (UNRWA) is limited to \$119 million. Reporting requirements on seven issues related to accountability measures and transparency within UNRWA and the transfer of funds for oversight activities are similar to those proposed by the House.

It is anticipated that \$45 million of MRA funding is for humanitarian assistance in Pakistan.

International Disaster Assistance (IDA)

The Administration's initial FY2009 emergency supplemental request asked for \$200 million for the International Disaster Assistance (IDA) account to respond to additional humanitarian requirements worldwide or to replenish costs incurred for these emergencies. The request did not specify how the \$200 million would be allocated, but referred to specific complex emergencies—in East and Central Africa (Somalia Ethiopia, Sudan, Zimbabwe), and to assist IDPs in Pakistan.

On June 2, 2009, the Administration revised its request and asked for an additional \$200 million to address humanitarian needs in Pakistan, \$30 million of which was for IDA. The revised request for IDA thus totaled \$230 million.

House Action

The House Appropriations Committee bill matched the Administration's initial request for \$200 million for the IDA account. Explanatory language referred specifically to increased insecurity, armed conflict, and weather complications in Africa (including in Somalia, Ethiopia, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, and Zimbabwe.) It also referred to needs in other regions and

countries including the Middle East and Central and South Asia (in particular, Pakistan, Tajikistan, and Kyrgyzstan.) In addition, the Committee highlighted its concerns about limited humanitarian access in Somalia and urged the Administration to work with regional partners to promote safe access for humanitarian operations there. It also noted the loss of life and limited humanitarian assistance to civilians in Sri Lanka and urged the Secretary of State to continue to apply pressure to the Government of Sri Lanka to comply with international humanitarian law and to allow access on the part of humanitarian organizations and independent monitors; to press for the provision of humanitarian assistance to displaced populations; and to support programs—through other relevant accounts—that encourage the participation of ethnic Tamils in Sri Lanka and reconciliation between the Tamil and Sinhalese communities.

Senate Action

The Senate Appropriations Committee bill recommended \$245 million for the IDA account, an increase of \$15 million over the Administration's revised request to address basic needs of IDPs (in particular in Africa, the Middle East, and South and Central Asia) and to respond to humanitarian crises.

Enacted FY2009 Supplemental

The enacted legislation (H.R. 2346, P.L. 111-32) provides \$270 million in IDA funding, a \$40 million increase over the Administration's revised request and an increase over the amounts sought by both the House and Senate. The conferees directed that not less than \$55 million to be for IDPs in Pakistan, and the balance for IDPs worldwide, including Africa, the Middle East, and South and Central Asia, and to respond to other humanitarian crises. The conferees also urged the Secretary of State and USAID to ensure humanitarian assistance is provided to IDPs in Sri Lanka, and further, similar to explanatory language in the House bill, to support programs—through other relevant accounts—that encourage the participation of ethnic Tamils in Sri Lanka and reconciliation between the Tamil and Sinhalese communities.

P.L. 480, Title II

The Administration's FY2009 emergency supplemental request asked for \$300 million in additional P.L. 480 - Title II assistance to meet emergency food needs in Africa and elsewhere worldwide.

House Action

The House-approved bill (H.R. 2346) provided \$500 million for P.L. 480 Title II grants, an increase of \$200 million over the Administration's request, for urgent humanitarian food assistance and to enhance available resources for unanticipated food aid emergencies.

Senate Action

The Senate-approved bill (S. 1054) provided \$700 million for P.L. 480 Title II grants, an increase of \$400 million over the Administration's request, for humanitarian food assistance (with specific reference to Africa) and unanticipated emergency food aid needs. The explanatory language also

stated that the recommended increase would enable the United States to continue its historical share of food aid contributions.

Enacted FY2009 Supplemental

The enacted legislation (H.R. 2346, P.L. 111-32) increases the amount requested in P.L. 480 – Title II assistance to \$700 million for urgent humanitarian food assistance. This is an increase of \$400 million above the Administration’s request. The legislation makes note of the impact of the global financial crisis and recession on food emergencies in many countries and the corresponding decrease in global response. The increase in funding above 2008 levels is expected to enhance available resources for food aid emergencies.

Economic Support Fund (ESF) Humanitarian Assistance

In its initial request, the Administration asked for humanitarian assistance activities that would be appropriated under the Economic Support Fund (ESF), including Burma (\$13 million), West Bank/Gaza (\$168 million), and Pakistan (\$8 million). Other aspects of proposed ESF appropriations are discussed elsewhere in the report. The Administration revised its initial request to include an additional \$200 million to address humanitarian needs in Pakistan, \$130 million of which was for ESF.

Enacted FY2009 Supplemental

Both House and Senate Appropriations Committees made specific reference to humanitarian assistance activities under ESF. The enacted legislation (H.R. 2346, P.L. 111-32) provides:

Burma: \$13 million for humanitarian assistance with explanatory language similar to the House bill (below.) The conferees also directed the Comptroller General of the United States to conduct an assessment of the U.S. and other donor assistance provided in response to Cyclone Nargis. It further directs the Secretary of State to submit a report detailing the review of U.S. policy toward Burma.

Jordan: \$150 million for assistance in part related to the impact of refugee populations hosted by Jordan.

Pakistan: The conference report recommends that \$125 million be made available for humanitarian assistance and the protection of vulnerable populations in Pakistan.

West Bank and Gaza: The enacted legislation includes \$5 million less than the Administration’s overall request for \$556 million in ESF funding, but it does not specify the amount to be used for humanitarian assistance.

Other Humanitarian Assistance of Note

Pakistan Counterinsurgency Fund

The enacted legislation limits to \$2 million funding drawn from the Pakistan Counterinsurgency Fund than can be used for urgent humanitarian assistance for the people of Pakistan. It further

states that these funds can only be used as part of civil-military training exercises for Pakistani security forces and to assist the Government of Pakistan in setting up a mechanism (with Pakistan funding) that can be applied to humanitarian needs in support of counterinsurgency operations conducted inside Pakistan.

The Senate Appropriations Committee recommended a statutory limit of \$2 million to be used for humanitarian assistance from the Pakistan Counterinsurgency Capability Fund. The Committee noted that although humanitarian assistance can be of value to counterinsurgency operations, it is concerned about granting an additional authority within the Department of Defense (DOD) for funding humanitarian assistance projects. The Committee pointed to existing authorities—the Combatant Commander Initiative Fund and the Overseas, Humanitarian, Disaster and Civic Aid account—that support humanitarian projects. The Committee recommended that DOD work with the Pakistani Government to develop a mechanism beginning in FY2010 (using Pakistani funds) that can include funding for humanitarian needs in support of counterinsurgency operations conducted in Pakistan.

Development Assistance

The Administration requested \$38 million for Development Assistance funding. The conferees did not include funding for Development Assistance as these needs were expected to be met through other accounts. The conferees did direct the Secretary of State to report to the Appropriations Committee not later than 45 days after the enactment of the supplemental legislation with detail on incidents that occurred during the conflict in Sri Lanka that may have been violations of international humanitarian law or crimes against humanity, and where possible, the parties responsible.

Appendix E. FY2009 Supplemental Appropriations for Support of the International Monetary Fund⁴⁸

On May 12, 2009, the White House formally requested that Congress consider increasing the U.S. contribution to the International Monetary Fund (IMF) based on commitments made by the U.S. government at an April G-20 meeting in London, England. G-20 nations agreed that the IMF's New Arrangements to Borrow (NAB), a supplemental fund to bolster IMF resources, should be increased by up to \$500 billion from its present level of \$50 billion. The Obama Administration has proposed a U.S. contribution of up to \$100 billion. Pledges totaling \$255 billion have been received from several countries and others are considering additional contributions. The G-20 also agreed that the IMF should create new Special Drawing Rights (SDRs) to a value of \$250 billion and to allocate them to its members through its SDR Department. These new resources would expand world foreign exchange reserves by about 4% and provide needed resources to countries in crisis.

Already pending at the time of the G-20 meeting was a proposal for a new increase in IMF quota resources. Negotiations on a package of reforms and a new quota increase had been completed in April 2008 and submitted to the House and Senate by the Bush Administration last November. The U.S. share, measured in Special Drawing Rights (SDR) pegged to a number of currencies, would be SDR 4.97 billion (about \$8 billion). The package includes reforms in the IMF governance process, finances, and procedures. It also includes a proposal that the IMF sell 403 metric tons of gold to create a facility that would cover the costs of its country and global surveillance, technical assistance, research, and other non-lending operations. Also pending in 2008 was a proposed Fourth Amendment to the IMF Articles, originally proposed in 1997, that would create a new allocation of about SDR 21.4 billion.

Some elements of the above require congressional approval and some do not. U.S. participation in the new IMF quota increase and a U.S. subscription of \$100 billion for the NAB would require congressional approval. Likewise, amendments to the IMF Articles—including the prospective Fourth Amendment for a new SDR allocation—would require congressional approval. On the other hand, the proposed \$250 billion allocation of SDRs (which is being made under a different provision of the IMF Articles) is too small to trigger the legal requirement that Congress give its assent.

Any contributions to the IMF, to fund increases in the U.S. quota or to subscribe new resources to the NAB, must be authorized by Congress. In 1967, a Presidential Commission on Budget Concepts recommended that U.S. payments to the IMF should not be treated as budget outlay but rather they should be counted as an exchange of assets which is matched by transfers of equivalent value to the United States from the IMF. Since that time, payments to the IMF have been deemed to have no impact on the Federal budget or on the Federal budget deficit.

In the spring of 2009, however, there was concern that the procedural aspects of the budget process may have an impact on congressional consideration of the proposed new U.S.

⁴⁸ Prepared by Martin Weiss and Jonathan Sanford, Specialists in International Trade and Finance.

subscriptions to the IMF. Some are concerned that Members of Congress may vote against providing budget authority for the proposed \$100 billion line of credit to the IMF through the NAB on account of “bailout fatigue,” even though the payment would have no outlay effect under then-existing congressional budget scorekeeping procedures.

Peter Orszag, director of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), was quoted in April 2009 as saying that he cannot see “any analytical rationale for why something would score zero as an outlay but then score as something in budget authority.” He reportedly urged the Congressional Budget Office (CBO) and the House and Senate Budget Committees to use an alternative “exchange of assets” procedure (like that use for IMF payments in 1976 and 1977) in which no appropriations would be required to put the \$100 billion line of credit through the NAB and U.S. participation in the IMF quota increase into effect.⁴⁹ Others have been concerned, though, that changing the existing budgetary procedure for IMF subscriptions at the present moment might weaken their support because it might be seen as an effort to change the rules in the face of controversy or a signal that the Administration fears that it may not have the votes to approve the measure through the established process. By contrast, critics of the current scorekeeping system say that it would be unfortunate if bookkeeping procedures hindered Congress from considering the IMF proposals on their merits.

On May 12, the White House and Congress reached an agreement to treat the U.S. subscription to the IMF as a line of credit for budgetary purposes, after which, the President made the formal request to include authorization and appropriations for the IMF in the defense supplemental. Reminiscent of the method used for the 1966 quota increase, Congress has been asked by the Administration to authorize the United States to extend a line of credit to the IMF for the NAB and quota subscriptions that total \$108 billion. Unlike IMF quota increases since 1967 that were treated as an exchange of assets with no budgetary impact, under the new agreed framework, the U.S. contribution would be scored as a loan for budgetary purposes under the existing credit reform legislation. This legislation says that Congress need not appropriate the full face value but only the expected amount of loss for any loans made by the United States Government. When U.S. contributions to the IMF were treated as an exchange, there was considered to be no risk of default by the IMF in its obligations to the United States. Under credit reform, a small fraction of the total would be appropriated to cover possible losses. CBO has determined that the U.S. contributions to the IMF would require \$5 billion to be appropriated.⁵⁰ This re-calculation of the cost is expected by many to ease the prospects for enacting this legislation.

Once agreement was reached between the budget committees and the Administration on how to account for U.S. contributions in the federal budget, Senate leadership agreed to include the Administration’s IMF request in the defense supplemental. The final enacted supplemental (P.L. 111-32) contains the IMF funding.

⁴⁹ David Rogers. “How to spend without ‘spending.’” *Politico*, April 22, 2009, p. 1.

⁵⁰ “Obama IMF Aid Request goes into Senate Bill,” *Reuters*, May 13, 2009.

Appendix F. FY2008 and FY2009 Defense Funding, Detail Table

Table F-1. FY2008 and FY2009 Bridge and Supplemental Defense Appropriations: Detail by Bill and Account
(amounts in millions of dollars)

	FY2008 Continuing Resolution^a FY2008 Defense Appropriations^b	FY2008 Bridge Fund in Consolidated Appropriations^c	FY2008 in June 2008 Supplemental Appropriations^d	Total Enacted FY2008 Bridge/Supple mental Appropriations	FY2009 Bridge Fund in June 2008 Supplemental Appropriations^d	FY2009 Supplemental Appropriations Request April 2009^e	FY2009 Supplemental Appropriatio ns Enacted June 24, 2009^f	FY2009 Supplemental Appropriation s Conference vs. Request	Total Enacted FY2009 Bridge/ Supplemental
Military Personnel									
Army	0	782.5	12,216.70	12,999.20	839.0	10,666.00	11,750.7	1,084.7	12,589.7
Navy	0	95.6	894.2	989.8	75.0	1,354.80	1,627.3	272.5	1,702.3
Marine Corps	0	56.1	1,826.70	1,882.70	55.0	1,420.00	1,524.9	104.9	1,579.9
Air Force	0	138	1,355.50	1,493.60	75.0	1,390.60	1,500.7	110.1	1,575.7
Army Reserve	0	0	304.2	304.2	0.0	284.2	418.2	134.0	418.2
Navy Reserve	0	0	72.8	72.8	0.0	39.5	39.5	0.0	39.5
Marine Corps Reserve	0	0	16.7	16.7	0.0	29.2	29.2	0.0	29.2
Air Force Reserve	0	0	5	5	0.0	16.9	14.9	-2.0	14.9
Army National Guard	0	0	1,369.70	1,369.70	150.0	1,439.30	1,775.7	336.4	1,925.7
Air National Guard	0	0	4	4	0.0	17.9	45.0	27.1	45.0

	FY2008 Continuing Resolution ^a FY2008 Defense Appropriations ^b	FY2008 Bridge Fund in Consolidated Appropriations ^c	FY2008 in June 2008 Supplemental Appropriations ^d	Total Enacted FY2008 Bridge/Supplemental Appropriations	FY2009 Bridge Fund in June 2008 Supplemental Appropriations ^d	FY2009 Supplemental Appropriations Request April 2009 ^e	FY2009 Supplemental Appropriations Enacted June 24, 2009 ^f	FY2009 Supplemental Appropriations Conference vs. Request	Total Enacted FY2009 Bridge/Supplemental
Total, Military Personnel	0.0	1,072.2	18,065.5	19,137.7	1,194.0	16,658.4	18,726.2	2,067.8	19,920.2
Operation and Maintenance									
Army	0.0	35,152.4	17,223.5	52,375.9	37,300.0	14,119.4	13,769.4	-350.0	51,069.4
Navy ^c	0.0	3,664.0	2,977.9	6,641.9	3,500.0	2,390.1	2,274.9	-115.2	5,774.9
Marine Corps	0.0	3,965.6	159.9	4,125.5	2,900.0	1,090.8	1,034.4	-56.4	3,934.4
Air Force	0.0	4,778.0	5,972.5	10,750.5	5,000.0	6,294.0	5,980.4	-313.6	10,980.4
Defense-Wide	0.0	2,117.0	3,657.6	5,774.5	2,648.6	5,667.5	5,101.7	-565.8	7,750.3
Army Reserve	0.0	77.7	164.8	242.6	79.3	115.0	110.0	-5.0	189.3
Navy Reserve	0.0	41.7	109.9	151.5	42.5	25.6	25.6	0.0	68.1
Marine Corps Reserve	0.0	46.2	70.3	116.4	47.1	30.8	30.8	0.0	77.9
Air Force Reserve	0.0	12.1	166.0	178.1	12.4	34.6	34.6	0.0	47.0
Army National Guard	0.0	327.0	685.6	1,012.6	333.5	203.4	178.4	-25.0	511.9
Air National Guard	0.0	51.6	287.4	339.0	52.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	52.7

	FY2008 Continuing Resolution^a FY2008 Defense Appropriations^b	FY2008 Bridge Fund in Consolidated Appropriations^c	FY2008 in June 2008 Supplemental Appropriations^d	Total Enacted FY2008 Bridge/Supple mental Appropriations	FY2009 Bridge Fund in June 2008 Supplemental Appropriations^d	FY2009 Supplemental Appropriations Request April 2009^e	FY2009 Supplemental Appropriatio ns Enacted June 24, 2009^f	FY2009 Supplemental Appropriation s Conference vs. Request	Total Enacted FY2009 Bridge/ Supplemental
Total, Operation and Main- tenance	0.0	50,233.3	31,475.4	81,708.5	51,916.1	29,971.2	28,540.1	-1,431.1	80,456.2
Special Funds									
Joint IED Defeat Fund	0.0	4,269.0	0.0	4,269.0	2,000.0	1,466.7	1,116.7	-350.0	3,116.7
Iraq Freedom Fund	0.0	3,747.3	50.0	3,797.3	0.0	415.0	0.0	-415.0	0.0
Afghanistan Security Forces Fund	0.0	1,350.0	1,400.0	2,750.0	2,000.0	3,606.9	3,606.9	0.0	5,606.9
Iraq Security Forces Fund ^f	0.0	1,500.0	1,500.0	3,000.0	1,000.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1,000.0
Pakistan Counterin- surgency Capability Funding	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	400.0	0.0	-400.0	0.0
Pakistan Counterin- surgency Funding				0.0	0.0	0.0	400.0	400.0	400.0

	FY2008 Continuing Resolution^a FY2008 Defense Appropriations^b	FY2008 Bridge Fund in Consolidated Appropriations^c	FY2008 in June 2008 Supplemental Appropriations^d	Total Enacted FY2008 Bridge/Supple mental Appropriations	FY2009 Bridge Fund in June 2008 Supplemental Appropriations^d	FY2009 Supplemental Appropriations Request April 2009^e	FY2009 Supplemental Appropriatio ns Enacted June 24, 2009^f	FY2009 Supplemental Appropriation s Conference vs. Request	Total Enacted FY2009 Bridge/ Supplemental
Total Special Funds	0.0	10,866.3	2,950.0	13,816.3	5,000.0	5,888.6	5,123.7	-764.9	10,123.7
Procurement									
Army									
Aircraft	0.0	943.6	954.1	1,897.7	84.0	762.6	1,192.7	430.1	1,276.7
Missile	0.0	0.0	561.7	561.7	0.0	767.1	704.0	-63.1	704.0
Weapons & Tracked Combat Vehicles	0.0	1,429.4	5,463.5	6,892.9	822.7	1,683.4	1,984.0	300.6	2,806.7
Ammuni- tion	0.0	154.0	344.9	498.9	46.5	230.1	230.1	0.0	276.6
Other Procure- ment Army	0.0	2,027.8	16,337.3	18,365.1	1,009.1	8,121.6	7,113.7	-1,007.9	8,122.8
Navy									
Aircraft	0.0	48.5	3,563.3	3,611.8	0.0	601.0	636.7	35.7	636.7
Missile	0.0	0.0	317.5	317.5	0.0	99.5	29.5	-70.0	29.5
Ammuni- tion Navy & Marine Corps	0.0	304.9	304.9	609.9	0.0	348.9	348.9	0.0	348.9
Other Procure- ment Navy	0.0	91.5	1,399.1	1,490.6	27.9	264.8	197.2	-67.6	225.1

	FY2008 Continuing Resolution^a FY2008 Defense Appropriations^b	FY2008 Bridge Fund in Consolidated Appropriations^c	FY2008 in June 2008 Supplemental Appropriations^d	Total Enacted FY2008 Bridge/Supple mental Appropriations	FY2009 Bridge Fund in June 2008 Supplemental Appropriations^d	FY2009 Supplemental Appropriations Request April 2009^e	FY2009 Supplemental Appropriatio ns Enacted June 24, 2009^f	FY2009 Supplemental Appropriation s Conference vs. Request	Total Enacted FY2009 Bridge/ Supplemental
Marine Corps	0.0	703.3	2,197.4	2,900.6	565.4	1,638.4	1,526.4	-112.0	2,091.8
Air Force									
Aircraft	0.0	51.4	7,103.9	7,155.3	201.8	2,378.8	4,592.1	2,213.3	4,793.9
Missile	0.0	0.0	66.9	66.9	0.0	57.4	49.7	-7.7	49.7
Ammunition	0.0	0.0	205.5	205.5	0.0	183.7	158.7	-25.0	158.7
Other Procurement Air Force	0.0	30.7	1,953.2	1,983.9	1,500.6	1,835.0	1,802.1	-32.9	3,302.7
Defense-Wide	0.0	274.7	408.2	683.0	177.2	197.1	237.9	40.8	415.1
National Guard and Reserve Equipment	0.0	0.0	825.0	825.0	0.0	0.0	500.0	500.0	500.0
Rapid Acquisition Fund	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MRAP Fund (Continuing Resolution ^a)	5,200.0	0.0	0.0	5,200.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

	FY2008 Continuing Resolution^a FY2008 Defense Appropriations^b	FY2008 Bridge Fund in Consolidated Appropriations^c	FY2008 in June 2008 Supplemental Appropriations^d	Total Enacted FY2008 Bridge/Supple mental Appropriations	FY2009 Bridge Fund in June 2008 Supplemental Appropriations^d	FY2009 Supplemental Appropriations Request April 2009^e	FY2009 Supplemental Appropriatio ns Enacted June 24, 2009^f	FY2009 Supplemental Appropriation s Conference vs. Request	Total Enacted FY2009 Bridge/ Supplemental
MRAP Fund (Defense Appropriations Act ^b)	11,630.0	0.0	0.0	11,630.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MRAP (FY2008- 2009 Supplemen tal ^c & Request)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1,700.0	2,693.0	4,543.0	1,850.0	6,243.0
Total, Procure- ment	16,830.0	6,059.8	42,006.4	64,896.3	6,135.2	21,862.4	25,846.7	3,984.3	31,981.9
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation									
Army	0.0	0.0	163.0	163.0	0.0	73.7	52.9	-20.8	52.9
Navy	0.0	0.0	366.1	366.1	113.2	144.5	136.8	-7.7	250.0
Air Force	0.0	0.0	399.8	399.8	72.0	108.3	160.5	52.2	232.5
Defense- Wide	0.0	0.0	816.6	816.6	202.6	483.4	483.3	-0.1	685.9
Total, Research, Develop- ment, Test and Evaluation	0.0	0.0	1,745.5	1,745.5	387.8	809.9	833.5	23.6	1,221.3

	FY2008 Continuing Resolution ^a FY2008 Defense Appropriations ^b	FY2008 Bridge Fund in Consolidated Appropriations ^c	FY2008 in June 2008 Supplemental Appropriations ^d	Total Enacted FY2008 Bridge/Supple- mental Appropriations	FY2009 Bridge Fund in June 2008 Supplemental Appropriations ^d	FY2009 Supplemental Appropriations Request April 2009 ^e	FY2009 Supplemental Appropriations Enacted June 24, 2009 ^f	FY2009 Supplemental Appropriation s Conference vs. Request	Total Enacted FY2009 Bridge/ Supplemental
Revolving and Management Funds									
Defense Working Capital Funds	0.0	1,000.0	1,837.5	2,837.5	0.0	846.7	861.7	15.0	861.7
National Defense Sealift	0.0	0.0	5.1	5.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total, Revolving and Manage- ment Funds	0.0	1,000.0	1,842.6	2,842.6	0.0	846.7	861.7	15.0	861.7
Other Department of Defense Programs									
Defense Health Program ⁱ	0.0	575.7	1,413.9	1,989.6	1,100.0	909.3	1,055.3	146.0	2,155.3
Psychologi- cal Health and Traumatic Brain Injury	0.0	0.0	75.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	h	h	0.0
Drug Interdic- tion and Counter- Drug Activities, Defense	0.0	192.6	65.3	257.9	188.0	141.2	120.4	-20.8	308.4

	FY2008 Continuing Resolution^a FY2008 Defense Appropriations^b	FY2008 Bridge Fund in Consolidated Appropriations^c	FY2008 in June 2008 Supplemental Appropriations^d	Total Enacted FY2008 Bridge/Supple mental Appropriations	FY2009 Bridge Fund in June 2008 Supplemental Appropriations^d	FY2009 Supplemental Appropriations Request April 2009^e	FY2009 Supplemental Appropriatio ns Enacted June 24, 2009^f	FY2009 Supplemental Appropriation s Conference vs. Request	Total Enacted FY2009 Bridge/ Supplemental
Office of Inspector General	0.0	0.0	6.4	6.4	0.0	9.6	9.6	0.0	9.6
Total, Other DOD Programs	0.0	768.3	1,560.6	2,328.9	1,288.0	1,060.1	1,185.2	125.1	2,473.2
Military Construction									
Military construc- tion, Army	0.0	0.0	1,108.2	1,108.2	0.0	1,229.7	1,183.0	-46.7	1,183.0
Military construc- tion, Navy & Marine Corps	0.0	0.0	355.9	355.9	0.0	239.0	235.9	-3.1	235.9
Military construc- tion, Air Force	0.0	0.0	399.6	399.6	0.0	281.0	281.6	0.6	281.6
Military construc- tion, Defense- Wide	0.0	0.0	890.9	890.9	0.0	181.5	661.6	480.1	661.6
Family Housing, Navy & Marine Corps	0.0	0.0	11.8	11.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

	FY2008 Continuing Resolution^a FY2008 Defense Appropriations^b	FY2008 Bridge Fund in Consolidated Appropriations^c	FY2008 in June 2008 Supplemental Appropriations^d	Total Enacted FY2008 Bridge/Supple mental Appropriations	FY2009 Bridge Fund in June 2008 Supplemental Appropriations^d	FY2009 Supplemental Appropriations Request April 2009^e	FY2009 Supplemental Appropriatio ns Enacted June 24, 2009^f	FY2009 Supplemental Appropriation s Conference vs. Request	Total Enacted FY2009 Bridge/ Supplemental
Base realign- ment & closure account, 2005	0.0	0.0	1,278.9	1,278.9	0.0	263.3	263.3	0.0	263.3
NATO Security Investment Program	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
General Provisions, Military Construc- tion, Barracks Improve- ments	0.0	0.0	200.0	200.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Military Construc- tion	0.0	0.0	4,245.3	4,245.3	0.0	2,294.5	2,725.3	430.8	2,725.3
General Provisions									
Transfer from Defense Coopera- tion Acct	0.0	0.0	6.5	6.5	0.0	6.5	6.5	0.0	6.5

	FY2008 Continuing Resolution^a FY2008 Defense Appropriations^b	FY2008 Bridge Fund in Consolidated Appropriations^c	FY2008 in June 2008 Supplemental Appropriations^d	Total Enacted FY2008 Bridge/Supple mental Appropriations	FY2009 Bridge Fund in June 2008 Supplemental Appropriations^d	FY2009 Supplemental Appropriations Request April 2009^e	FY2009 Supplemental Appropriatio ns Enacted June 24, 2009^f	FY2009 Supplemental Appropriation s Conference vs. Request	Total Enacted FY2009 Bridge/ Supplemental
Section 9308, Rescissions	0.0	0.0	-146.6	-146.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Section 8003, General Defense Reduction	0.0	0.0	-3,577.8	-3,577.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rescissions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-2,910.0	-3,961.2	-1,051.2	-3,961.2
Reappro- priation Reduction Army	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-470.9	0.0	470.9	0.0
Rescission to O&M, Defense- wide ⁱ	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-181.5	-181.5	0.0	-181.5
Rescission, Procure- ment, Army	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-354.0	-354.0	-354.0
Stop Loss Transfer Fund	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	534.4	534.4	534.4
Total, General Provisions	0.0	0.0	-3,717.9	-3,717.9	0.0	-3,555.9	-3,955.8	-399.9	-3,955.8
Total, DOD	16,830.0	69,999.9	100,173.4	187,003.2	65,921.1	75,835.9	79,886.7	4,050.8	145,807.8

Source: CRS based on House Appropriations Committee tables in the *Congressional Record* when the House approved final appropriations bills.

Notes:

- a. FY2008 Continuing Resolution, H.J.Res. 52, P.L. 110-92, September 29, 2007.
- b. FY2008 Defense Appropriations Act, H.R. 3222, P.L. 110-116, November 13, 2007.
- c. FY2008 Consolidated Appropriations, Division L, H.R. 2754, P.L. 110-161, December 26, 2007. Note: FY2008 total for O&M Navy includes \$110 million for transfer to the Coast Guard.
- d. FY2008 Supplemental Appropriations, H.R. 2642, P.L. 110-252, June 30, 2008.
- e. "Supplemental Appropriations Act 2009 (H.R. 2346) Conference Agreement (H.Rept. 111-151)" in *Congressional Record*, p. H6872-H68775 for Title III, and P. H6878 for Title X .
- f. *Ibid* and FY2009 Supplemental Appropriations, H.R. 2346, P.L. 111-32, June 24, 2009.
- g. In its request, the Administration proposed cancelling \$1 billion for the Iraq Security Forces Fund, and re-appropriating the funds in FY2009 to be available until September 2010; the Conference report rescinded the \$1 billion appropriated in the FY2008 Supplemental and appropriated \$1 billion in the FY2009 Supplemental, available until September 30, 2010. Thus, in both cases, the net effect on the bill was zero because the cancellation or rescission offset the re-appropriation. In both cases, the \$1 billion provided for the ISFF was available until September 30, 2010.
- h. The Administration requested \$400 million in a new Pakistan Counterinsurgency Capability Fund to be executed by the Department of Defense.FY2008 First Continuing Resolution, H.J.Res. 52, P.L. 110-92, September 29, 2007. The Conference version re-named the fund, the Pakistan Counterinsurgency Fund to be implemented initially by DOD but then directed that administration be transferred to the Department of State in FY2010; see H.Rept. 110-151, p. 6-7, and p. 85.
- i. Defense Health account includes \$75 million for Traumatic Brain Injury and Psychological Health in FY2009 Supplemental. FY2008 Defense Appropriations Act, H.R. 3222, P.L. 110-116, November 13, 2007.
- j. Request and enacted include rescission of \$181.5 million from O&M, Defense-wide to fund Military Construction, Defensewide.FY2008 Consolidated Appropriations, Division L, H.R. 2764, P.L. 110-161, December 26, 2007. Note: Total for O&M Navy includes \$110 million for transfer to the Coast Guard.

Appendix G. FY2009 Supplemental Request and Congressional Action

Table G-I. Table G-I: Congressional Action on FY2009 Supplemental (H.R. 2346/P.L. 111-32) by Title, Department, Program, and Account

By Department/Agency/Title/Account	Request	House	Senate	Conference Agreement	Conference vs. Request
Title I: AGRICULTURE					
Foreign Agricultural Service					
Public Law 480 Title II Grants	300.0	500.0	700.0	700.0	400.0
Title I: General Provisions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Agricultural Credit Insurance Fund Program Account:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Additional Loan Authorizations	[0]	[450.2]	[225.0]	[450.2]	[450.2]
Additional Loan subsidies					
Farm ownership loans:	0.0	22.9	22.9	22.9	22.9
Farm operating loans:	0.0	47.2	26.5	47.2	47.2
Unsubsidized guaranteed:	0.0	1.3	0.0	1.3	1.3
Rural Development mission (rescission)	0.0	-71.3	-49.4	0.0	0.0
Total, Agricultural Credit Insurance Fund [Loan Authorization]	[0.0]	[0.0]	[0.0]	[71.3]	0.0
TOTAL: TITLE I, AGRICULTURE	300.0	500.0	700.0	771.3	471.3
TITLE II: COMMERCE AND JUSTICE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Department of Commerce	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Economic Development Administration: Economic development assistance	0.0	0.0	40.0	40.0	40.0
Department of Justice	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
General Administration: Salaries and Expenses: Closure of Guantanamo	30.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-30.0
Detention trustee: along U.S.-Mexico border	0.0	0.0	60.0	60.0	60.0

By Department/Agency/Title/Account	Request	House	Senate	Conference Agreement	Conference vs. Request
Salaries and expenses, general legal activities: Training Iraqis	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	0.0
U. S. Attorneys, Salaries and expenses: Terrorism Prosecutions	5.0	5.0	15.0	15.0	10.0
United States Marshalls Service: Salaries and Expenses, Mexican border surveillance	0.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
National Security Division: terrorism prosecutions	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	0.0
Federal Bureau of Investigation: Salaries and Expenses, fraud and market manipulation investigations	0.0	0.0	35.0	35.0	35.0
Drug Enforcement Administration, Salaries and Expenses: expand Mexican investigation	0.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	20.0
Bureau of Alcohol Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, Salaries and Expenses: Iraq and Mexico	4.0	4.0	14.0	14.0	10.0
Federal Prison System, Salaries and Expenses	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	0.0
Total, Department of Justice	47.1	17.1	162.1	162.1	115.0
General Provisions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Office of Inspector General (OIG): extends availability	0.0	3.0	0.0	3.0	3.0
OIG Rescission	0.0	-3.0	0.0	-3.0	-3.0
TOTAL: TITLE II, COMMERCE AND JUSTICE	47.1	17.1	202.1	202.1	155.0
TITLE III: DEFENSE					
Military Personnel, Army	10,195.1	10,924.6	11,455.8	11,750.7	1,555.6
Military Personnel, Navy	1,354.8	1,716.8	1,565.2	1,627.3	272.5
Military Personnel, Marine Corps	1,420.0	1,577.9	1,464.4	1,524.9	105.0
Military Personnel, Air Force	1,390.6	1,783.2	1,469.2	1,500.7	110.2
Reserve Personnel, Army	284.2	381.2	387.2	418.2	134.0
Reserve Personnel, Navy	39.5	39.5	39.5	39.5	0.0
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps	29.2	29.2	29.2	29.2	0.0
Reserve Personnel, Air Force	16.9	16.9	14.9	14.9	-2.0
National Guard Personnel, Army	1,439.3	1,373.3	1,542.3	1,775.7	336.4

By Department/Agency/Title/Account	Request	House	Senate	Conference Agreement	Conference vs. Request
National Guard Personnel, Air Force	17.9	101.4	46.9	45.0	27.1
Total, Military Personnel	16,187.4	17,943.9	18,014.5	18,726.2	2,538.8
Operation & Maintenance, Army	14,119.4	14,024.7	13,933.8	13,769.4	-350.0
Operation & Maintenance, Navy	2,390.1	2,368.0	2,337.4	2,274.9	-115.2
Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps	1,090.8	1,084.1	1,037.8	1,034.4	-56.5
Operation & Maintenance, Air Force	6,294.0	6,216.7	5,992.1	5,980.4	-313.6
Operation & Maintenance, Defense-Wide	5,667.5	5,353.7	5,065.8	5,101.7	-565.8
Operation & Maintenance, Army Reserve	115.0	101.3	110.0	110.0	-5.0
Operation & Maintenance, Navy Reserve	25.6	24.3	25.6	25.6	0.0
Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	30.8	30.8	30.8	30.8	0.0
Operation & Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	34.6	34.6	34.6	34.6	0.0
Operation & Maintenance, Army National Guard	203.4	178.4	203.4	178.4	-25.0
Subtotal, Operation and Maintenance	29,971.2	29,416.6	28,771.3	28,540.2	-1,431.1
Special accounts in O&M					
Iraq Freedom Fund	415.0	365.0	0.0	0.0	-415.0
Afghanistan Security Forces Fund	3,606.9	3,606.9	3,606.9	3,606.9	0.0
Iraq Security Forces Fund	0.0	0.0	1,000.0	0.0	0.0
Pakistan Counterinsurgency Capability Fund ^a	400.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-400.0
Pakistan Counterinsurgency Fund ^a	0.0	400.0	400.0	400.0	400.0
Total, Operation and Maintenance with special accounts above	34,393.2	33,788.6	33,778.2	32,547.1	-1,846.1
Procurement					
Aircraft Procurement, Army	762.6	1,285.3	315.7	1,192.7	430.1

By Department/Agency/Title/Account	Request	House	Senate	Conference Agreement	Conference vs. Request
Missile Procurement, Army	767.1	677.1	737.0	704.0	-63.1
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army	1,683.4	2,233.9	1,434.1	1,984.0	300.6
Procurement of Ammunition, Army	230.1	230.1	230.1	230.1	0.0
Other Procurement, Army	8,121.6	8,039.3	7,029.1	7,113.7	-1,007.8
Aircraft Procurement, Navy	601.0	691.9	754.3	636.7	35.7
Weapons Procurement, Navy	99.5	31.7	31.4	29.5	-70.0
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps	348.9	348.9	348.9	348.9	0.0
Other Procurement, Navy	264.8	172.1	207.2	197.2	-67.6
Procurement, Marine Corps	1,638.4	1,510.0	1,658.3	1,526.4	-111.9
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force	2,378.8	5,138.3	2,064.1	4,592.1	2,213.3
Missile Procurement, Air Force	57.4	57.4	49.7	49.7	-7.7
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force	183.7	183.7	138.3	158.7	-25.0
Other Procurement, Air Force	1,835.0	1,745.8	1,910.3	1,802.1	-32.9
Procurement, Defense-Wide	197.1	200.1	237.9	237.9	40.8
Mine Resistant Ambush Protection Vehicle Fund	2,693.0	4,843.0	4,243.0	4,543.0	1,850.0
National Guard and Reserve Equipment	0.0	500.0	500.0	500.0	500.0
Total, Procurement	21,862.4	27,888.6	21,889.5	25,846.7	3,984.3
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation					
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	73.7	73.7	71.9	52.9	-20.8
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy	144.5	96.2	141.7	136.8	-7.8
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Air Force	108.3	92.6	174.2	160.5	52.2
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defensewide	483.4	459.4	498.2	483.3	-0.1
Total, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation	809.9	721.9	885.9	833.5	23.6

By Department/Agency/Title/Account	Request	House	Senate	Conference Agreement	Conference vs. Request
Revolving and Management Funds					
Defense Working Capital Fund, Army	443.2	443.2	443.2	443.2	0.0
Defense Working Capital Fund, Air Force	0.0	0.0	15.0	15.0	15.0
Defense Working Capital Fund, Defense-Wide	403.5	403.5	403.5	403.5	0.0
Total, Revolving and Management Funds	846.7	846.7	861.7	861.7	15.0
Other Defense Programs					
Defense Health					
Operation and maintenance	845.5	845.5	845.5	845.5	0.0
Procurement	30.2	50.2	30.2	50.2	20.0
Research and development	33.6	201.6	33.6	159.6	126.0
Total, Defense Health Program	909.3	1,097.3	909.3	1,055.3	146.0
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense	141.2	137.2	123.4	120.4	-20.8
Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund:					
Attack the Network	499.8	499.8	349.8	349.8	-150.0
Defeat the Device	607.4	457.4	457.4	457.4	-150.0
Train the Force	333.5	333.5	283.5	283.5	-50.0
Staff and infrastructure	26.0	26.0	26.0	26.0	0.0
Total, Joint IED Defeat Fund	1,466.7	1,316.7	1,116.7	1,116.7	-350.0
Office of the Inspector General.	9.6	9.6	9.6	9.6	0.0
Total, Other Department of Defense Programs	2,526.8	2,560.8	2,159.0	2,302.0	-224.8
Department of Defense General Provisions					
Special Defense Transfer Authority: this title only	[4,000.0]	[2,000.0]	[2,500.0]	[2,500.0]	[-1,500.0]

By Department/Agency/Title/Account	Request	House	Senate	Conference Agreement	Conference vs. Request
Additional Transfer Authority for FY2009	[1,500.0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[-1,500.0]
Defense Cooperation Account	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	0.0
Iraq Security Forces Fund	1,000.0	1,000.0	0.0	1,000.0	0.0
Rescission	-1,000.0	-1,000.0	0.0	-1,000.0	0.0
Afghanistan Security Forces Fund	125.0	125.0	0.0	0.0	-125.0
Rescission	-125.0	-125.0	0.0	0.0	125.0
Fuel rescission	-2,900.0	-3,010.0	0.0	-1,003.0	1,897.0
Rescission	0.0	0.0	0.0	-1,907.0	-1,907.0
Classified Rescission	-10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0
Classified and other rescission	0.0	0.0	0.0	-1,051.2	-1,051.2
Fuel, Classified, and Other rescissions	0.0	0.0	-925.2	0.0	0.0
Rescissions	0.0	0.0	-3,646.6	0.0	0.0
Personnel: Army, Army Reserve, & Army National Guard	470.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	-470.9
Procurement, Army rescission	-470.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	470.9
Procurement, Army rescission	0.0	0.0	0.0	-354.0	-354.0
Personnel: Army, Army Reserve, and Army National Guard [by transfer]	0.0	[150.6]	0.0	0.0	0.0
Procurement, Army [transfer out]	0.0	[-150.6]	0.0	0.0	0.0
Operation and maintenance, Defense-wide rescission ^b	-181.5	-181.5	0.0	-181.5	0.0
Stop Loss Transfer Fund	0.0	734.4	0.0	534.4	534.4
Total, DOD General Provisions	-3,085.0	-2,450.6	-4,565.3	-3,955.8	-870.8
TOTAL: TITLE III, DEFENSE	73,541.3	81,299.9	73,023.5	77,161.4	3,620.1
[Rescissions]	[-4,687.0]	[-4,687.0]	[-4,572.0]	[-5,497.0]	[-809.0]
[Transfer out]	[-159.5]	[-310.1]	[0]	[0]	[0]

By Department/Agency/Title/Account	Request	House	Senate	Conference Agreement	Conference vs. Request
[Transfer authority]	[5,500.0]	[2,000.0]	[2,500.0]	[2,500.0]	[-3,000.0]
TITLE IV: DEFENSE, CIVIL, AND ENERGY					
<i>Corps of Engineers - Civil</i>					
Operation and maintenance	0.0	0.0	38.4	42.9	42.9
Flood Control and Coastal Emergencies	0.0	0.0	804.3	754.3	754.3
<i>Total, Corps of Engineers - Civil</i>	0.0	0.0	842.7	797.2	797.2
ENERGY					
Energy Programs					
Strategic Petroleum Reserve [by transfer]	[21.6]	[21.6]	[21.6]	[21.6]	[0]
National Nuclear Security Administration					
Weapons activities	0.0	0.0	34.5	30.0	30.0
Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation	89.5	55.0	55.0	55.0	-34.5
<i>Total, National Nuclear Security Administration.</i>	89.5	55.0	89.5	85.0	-4.5
TOTAL, TITLE IV, DEFENSE, CIVIL AND ENERGY	89.5	55.0	932.2	882.2	792.7
TITLE V: EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, JUDICIARY, AND INDEPENDENT AGENCIES					
Treasury					
Departmental Offices: Salaries and Expenses	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0
Executive Office of the President and Funds Appropriated to the President					
Unanticipated Needs for influenza ^c	[3,500.0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]
Pandemic preparedness and response	0.0	0.0	1,500.0	0.0	0.0
National Security Council: salaries and expenses	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	0.0

By Department/Agency/Title/Account	Request	House	Senate	Conference Agreement	Conference vs. Request
Total, Executive Office of the President	2.9	2.9	1,502.9	2.9	0.0
The Judiciary					
Courts of Appeals, District Courts, and Other Judicial Services: salaries and expenses	0.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Independent Agencies					
Securities and Exchange Commission: Salaries and expenses	0.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission: Salaries and expenses	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.0	8.0
Title V: General Provisions					
Federal Deposit Insurance Act amendment.	0.0	0.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
TOTAL: TITLE V	2.9	2.9	1,530.9	34.9	32.0
TITLE VI: HOMELAND SECURITY					
U.S. Customs and Border Protection					
Salaries and expenses	0.0	0.0	46.2	46.2	46.2
Air and marine interdiction, operations, maintenance and procurement	0.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Total, Customs and Border Protection					
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement					
Salaries and expenses	0.0	0.0	66.8	66.8	66.8
Operating expenses	0.0	0.0	139.5	139.5	139.5
Federal Emergency Management Agency					
State and local programs	0.0	0.0	30.0	30.0	30.0
Title VI: General Provisions					
FEMA Disaster Relief rescission	0.0	0.0	-100.0	-100.0	-100.0
FEMA State and local programs	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Federal Share of Disaster Assistance	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

By Department/Agency/Title/Account	Request	House	Senate	Conference Agreement	Conference vs. Request
TOTAL: TITLE VI	0.0	0.0	287.5	287.5	287.5
TITLE VII: INTERIOR AND AGRICULTURE					
INTERIOR					
Department-wide Programs					
Wildland fire management: suppression and emergency rehabilitation	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	0.0
AGRICULTURE					
Forest Service					
Wildland fire management: Wildfire suppression and emergency rehabilitation	200.0	200.0	200.0	200.0	0.0
TOTAL: TITLE VII	250.0	250.0	250.0	250.0	0.0
TITLE VIII: HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, AND EDUCATION					
Health and Human Services					
Administration for Children and Families					
Office of the Secretary					
Refugee and entrant assistance	0.0	0.0	82.0	82.0	82.0
Public Health and Social Services Emergency Fund (PHSSEF), Influenza Preparedness and Response ^d	1,500.0	0.0	See Title V	0.0	-1,500.0
Contingent/Emergency Funds	2,000.0	1,850.0	0.0	1,850.0	-150.0
Education: Career, Technical, and Adult Education [by transfer].	0.0	0.0	[176.8]	[10.3]	[10.3]
TOTAL: TITLE VIII	3,500.0	1,850.0	82.0	7,732.0	4,232.0
	3,500.0	1,850.0	82.0	1,932.0	-1,568.0
TITLE IX: JOINT ITEMS					
Capitol Police: General expenses	71.6	71.6	71.6	71.6	0.0

By Department/Agency/Title/Account	Request	House	Senate	Conference Agreement	Conference vs. Request
Congressional Budget Office: Salaries and Expenses	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
TOTAL: TITLE IX	71.6	71.6	73.6	73.6	2.0
TITLE X: DEFENSE, MILITARY CONSTRUCTION					
Military construction, Army	1,229.7	1,407.2	1,229.7	1,183.0	-46.7
Military construction, Army	0.0	0.0	49.0	143.2	143.2
Rescission	0.0	-142.5	-49.0	-143.2	-143.2
Military construction, Navy and Marine Corps	239.0	235.9	243.1	235.9	-3.2
Military construction, Air Force	281.0	279.1	265.5	281.6	0.7
Rescission	0.0	-30.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Military construction, Defense-Wide Transfer from Title III ^b	181.5	0.0	181.5	0.0	-181.5
Military Construction, Defense-Wide	0.0	1,087.0	0.0	661.6	661.6
Subtotal, Military construction	1,931.2	2,836.7	1,919.8	2,362.0	430.8
North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
Department of Defense Base Closure Account 2005.	263.3	263.3	230.9	263.3	0.0
TOTAL: TITLE X	2,294.5	3,200.0	2,250.7	2,725.3	430.8
TITLE XI: STATE					
Administration of Foreign Affairs					
Diplomatic and Consular programs	594.3	1,016.2	645.4	997.9	403.6
Worldwide security protection	[117.9]				
International Broadcasting Operations, Pakistan-Afghanistan Border Region [by transfer]	[0]	[10.9]	[10.0]	[10.0]	[10.0]
Office of Inspector General	7.2	17.1	22.2	24.1	16.9
Special Inspector General for Iraq Reconstruction	[0]	[0]	[7.0]	[7.0]	[7.0]

By Department/Agency/Title/Account	Request	House	Senate	Conference Agreement	Conference vs. Request
Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction	[7.2]	[7.2]	[7.2]	[7.2]	[0]
Embassy security, construction, and maintenance	898.7	989.6	820.5	921.5	22.8
Total, Administration of Foreign Affairs	1,500.2	2,023.0	1,488.1	1,943.5	443.3
Contributions for international peacekeeping activities, current year	836.9	836.9	721.0	721.0	-115.9
Total, International Organizations	836.9	836.9	721.0	721.0	-115.9
Total, State	2,337.1	2,859.9	2,209.1	2,664.5	327.4
US Agency for International Development	201.1	204.6	164.6	209.6	8.5
Funds Appropriated to the President					
Operating expenses	152.6	152.6	112.6	157.6	5.0
Capital investment fund	48.5	48.5	48.5	48.5	0.0
Office of Inspector General	0.0	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
Bilateral Economic Assistance					
Funds Appropriated to the President					
Global Health and Child Survival	0.0	300.0	50.0	150.0	150.0
Development assistance	38.0	0.0	38.0	0.0	-38.0
International disaster assistance	230.0	200.0	245.0	270.0	40.0
Economic Support Fund	3,004.5	2,907.5	2,828.0	2,973.6	-30.9
Assistance for Europe, Eurasia and Central Asia	242.5	242.5	230.0	272.0	29.5
Assistance for Georgia	0.0	0.0	42.5	0.0	0.0
					29.5
Department of State					
International narcotics control and law enforcement	389.5	483.5	393.5	487.5	98.0
Migration and refugee assistance	333.0	343.0	345.0	390.0	57.0
Nonproliferation, anti-terrorism, demining and related programs	122.0	98.5	102.0	102.0	-20.0

By Department/Agency/Title/Account	Request	House	Senate	Conference Agreement	Conference vs. Request
Total, Bilateral Economic Assistance	4,359.5	4,575.0	4,274.0	4,645.1	285.6
International Security Assistance					
Peacekeeping operations	50.0	80.0	172.9	185.0	135.0
International Military Education and Training	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0
Foreign Military Financing Program	98.4	1,349.0	98.0	1,294.0	1,195.6
Pakistan Counterinsurgency Capability Fund ^e	0.0	400.0	0.0	700.0	700.0
Total, International Security Assistance	150.4	1,831.0	272.9	2,181.0	2,030.6
TOTAL: TITLE XI	7,048.1	9,470.5	6,920.6	9,700.2	2,652.1
TITLE XII: TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT					
TRANSPORTATION					
Office of the Secretary					
Payments to air carriers [Airport & Airway Trust Fund]	0.0	0.0	13.2	13.2	13.2
Federal Aviation Administration					
Grants-in-aid for airports, Airport and Airway Trust Fund rescission of contract authority	0.0	0.0	-13.2	-13.2	-13.2
HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT					
Public and Indian Housing					
Tenant-based rental assistance	0.0	0.0	30.0	30.0	30.0
TITLE XII, General Provisions					
Federal Highway Administration, Emergency Relief, North Dakota [outlays only]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Federal Transit Administration, Transit Capital Assistance	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL: TITLE XII	0.0	0.0	30.0	30.0	30.0
TITLE XIII: CONSUMER ASSISTANCE TO RECYCLE AND SAVE ACT					

By Department/Agency/Title/Account	Request	House	Senate	Conference Agreement	Conference vs. Request
Consumer Assistance to Recycle and Save	0.0	0.0	0.0	1,000.0	1,000.0
TOTAL: TITLE XIII	0.0	0.0	0.0	1,000.0	1,000.0
TITLE XIV: OTHER MATTERS					
<i>International Assistance programs</i>					
<i>International Monetary Programs</i>					
United States Quota, International Monetary fund and Loans to International Monetary fund	5,000	0	5,000	5,000	0
TOTAL: TITLE XIV	5,000	0	5,000	5,000	0
GRAND TOTAL	92,145.1	96,717.0	91,283.1	105,850.5	13,705.4

Source: House Appropriations Committee, "Supplemental Appropriations Act (H.R. 2346) Conference Agreement (H.Rept. 111-151) as printed in *Congressional Record*, June 16, 2009; p. H6871.

- Notes:** a. House recommends an additional \$400 million under International Security Assistance, Pakistan Counterinsurgency Capability Fund (Title XI).
b. Budget request includes rescission to fund Military Construction, Defense-Wide.
c. Administration requested funds under Executive Office of the President; House and Conference funded under Health and Human Services.
d. Budget request and Senate proposed funds under the Executive Office of the President

Author Contact Information

Stephen Daggett, Coordinator
Specialist in Defense Policy and Budgets
sdaggett@crs.loc.gov, 7-7642

Susan B. Epstein, Coordinator
Specialist in Foreign Policy
sepstein@crs.loc.gov, 7-6678

Curt Tarnoff
Specialist in Foreign Affairs
ctarnoff@crs.loc.gov, 7-7656

Rhoda Margesson
Specialist in International Humanitarian Policy
rmargesson@crs.loc.gov, 7-0425

Kennon H. Nakamura
Analyst in Foreign Affairs
knakamura@crs.loc.gov, 7-9514

K. Alan Kronstadt
Specialist in South Asian Affairs
kkronstadt@crs.loc.gov, 7-5415

Sarah A. Lister
Specialist in Public Health and Epidemiology
slister@crs.loc.gov, 7-7320